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Abstract
Our interaction with digital resources is becoming increasingly based on touch, gestures, and now also eye movement. Many everyday consumer electronics products already include touch-based interfaces, from e-book readers to tablets, and from the last personal computers to the GPS system in your car. What implications do these new forms of interaction offer for language learning and teaching? In this short article, I will first consider some of the most recent technological developments for their pedagogic potential, and in particular for their support for embodied and extended cognition. Next, I will offer some suggestions for researching their impact on learning and teaching, as well as ways in which teachers and materials developers can capitalise on these advances in technology to support more interactive and dynamic forms of learning.
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1. Touch and gesture-based technologies
Advances in our understanding of the ways people interact with information, their natural responses to new environments to locate and retrieve that information, and their ways of sharing it with others, are examples of insights that are starting to challenge our conceptions of the ways people learn, and by extension how we teach. Extended cognition (Atkinson 2010), for example, emphasises the inextricable connection between the mind and the environment and embodied cognition “views cognitive activity as grounded in bodily states and activities” (Atkinson, 2010, p. 599). What both of these conceptions of cognition have in common is the role of the physical world in our thinking, and by extension, our learning. For example, spontaneous gestures have been shown to support thinking and learning, and there is also evidence that designed gestures, as well as manipulation of objects (e.g. on a screen) can have an impact on learning (Segal, 2011).
Recently, new ways of interacting with information have become widely available as a result of a range of technological developments that make more use of touch, gestures and other bodily actions. For example, touch is now an important feature of many devices. Button or screen presses are now commonly acknowledged with so-called ‘haptic feedback’ (a brief vibration to indicate your command has been received). In addition, multiple-touch commands are now commonly used to manipulate phones, tablets, and other devices. Swiping to move objects across a screen, ‘pinching’ to zoom out or in, and more complex multiple-finger touch combinations are examples of fairly recent ways of interacting with devices. (It is hard to imagine that only a few years ago this type of interaction did not exist in mainstream electronics). Recent desktop computers and laptops increasingly have touch built-in as an additional way of manipulating content.

Motion is another recent development allowing users to move their phone in a particular direction to use it as a compass, or to turn the phone upside down to turn the phone off. In-built accelerometers recognise when users tilt their phone, and let them move objects on the screen, increase or decrease the volume, and so on.

Gestures and movements are also becoming increasingly common. Microsoft’s Kinect technology, for instance, recognises game players’ movements, allowing them to use their whole bodies to control game characters, objects and the like. Gesture control is also being built into mobile devices, letting users wave across the screen to turn sound on or off, for example, and into webcams. More recent developments, such as Leap Motion’s gesture recognition system, will recognise individual finger movements and can be used to type or draw simply by holding your hand in the air in front of a screen.

Eye-tracking research has given us considerable insight into cognitive processes, and has also informed research in language learning (for example, to show which aspects of the input learners are paying attention to). Although still in its infancy, developments in eye movement are showing considerable promise in allowing users to manipulate objects on the screen simply by focusing their eyes on a particular point, such as Tobii’s Gaze technology (http://www.tobii.com).

2. Research on touch-based technologies in education

The brief examples above are related to everyday object manipulation or games, but what impact do these types of interaction have on education? To some extent, this is still uncharted territory. Apart from the use of, for example, eye-tracking for the purposes of research, the
most common application of this technology for learning has been incorporating touch as a response mechanism in (educational) games. Embodied and extended cognition theory (Atkinson, 2010) argues that cognition is inherently connected to bodily sensations and that interaction with the environment plays a key role in learning, even in supposedly abstract cognitive tasks. Dijkstra, Kashak & Zwaan (2007), for example, have shown that verbalization of memory is facilitated when learning assumes original body posture during recall. Embodied interaction (or the relationship between the purely biological and the cognitive) is a type of sociocognitive action that touch and gestural interfaces have the potential to support.

Kuznetsov (2009) employed wearable bracelets that mapped distinct haptic pulse signatures to new concepts. When these concepts were re-encountered at a later time, the corresponding haptic cues were replayed to aid recall and recognition. The authors found that the feedback helped in particular those with impaired memory and that the bracelets worked discreetly in comparison with existing technology that relies on audio or video cues that may be disruptive in daily use. Other studies have investigated the use of haptic feedback in the areas of flight simulation (Sankaranarayanan et al., 2003), dentistry and high school physics classes (Hamza-Lup & Adams, 2008).

3. Ideas for possible use of touch-based technologies in the language classroom

Beyond interacting with devices on which language content is stored, very little use has been made of touch in language education. Some ideas for how this could be done are presented below.

Some language teaching methods specifically draw on tactile activities to support learning. For example, Total Physical Response (Asher, 1977) is based on the premise that a combination of a visual and/or auditory with a tactile (touch) and kinaesthetic (body posture/movement) experience is more likely to be incorporated into the learner’s developing language system than exposure to input through visual or oral means alone. In TPR, learners respond to commands from the teacher by getting up, walking around, touching or pointing at objects, or through other movements.

Although TPR was never widely used, it did help to increase interest in the role of kinaesthetic experiences in learning languages. In the late 1970s an interest developed in the ways in which different learners acquired new knowledge. This focus on ‘learning styles’ emphasised the importance of direct, physical experience for learners (Naiman et al 1978).
Many of the motion-based technologies above could be employed to include a kinaesthetic experience in the language learning process; for example, in my own classes I have used an XBOX with a Kinect sensor to teach beginner level students different action verbs.

Motion technologies can also help expand the range of exercise types for students. For example, information can be responded to by moving an object on a phone screen (e.g. to reorder the different words in a sentence) or by pointing the device in a particular direction (for example to ‘point to’ the correct answer to a question). This can be particularly helpful for self-study materials to offer a larger number of options for interacting with language content. For example, one application is for the teaching of directions or other spatial directives. Questions can require learners to move objects across the screen or to point their phones in a particular direction. Quizzes like this can be created using free software such as one of the many available app creators.

A more ambitious project would allow learners to construct or deconstruct sentences by clause, or collocation, verbal phrase, or other segmentations. Being able to visually see the building blocks of the language while being able to manipulate them could make language associations stronger and more durable. Zooming in or out from text to paragraph, and from sentence to word and to phoneme level, could be helpful for teachers and learners alike.

Particularly promising is the effect of the new technologies described above on the ways in which learners can be supported through different types of feedback. Frequent feedback has been shown to have a significant impact on learning, but feedback given during task completion can be disruptive, whether given by a teacher through oral comments or by a computer through on-screen visuals. Haptic feedback, or a brief vibration from the device being used, is one way to indicate, for example, that a response was incorrect. Or, more positively, haptic feedback could be given whenever a learner gets an answer right.

Another use for haptic feedback is to indicate sentence or word stress. By combining oral and haptic information, learners may find it easier to identify common stress patterns, for example. Hwang & Cho (2012) used this to teach intonation. Related to this is the use of haptic feedback as a form of input enhancement (attempts to direct the learner’s attention to a specific linguistic form in the target language input; Sharwood Smith, 1993).

Touch and motion are common features in many games and play an important role in allowing players to control their environment and in giving them feedback. I have written about games and their benefits for language learning before (Reinders 2010, 2012), but in a nutshell, games have been shown to be successful in engaging students more deeply into the
learning process. A great way of breaking normal classroom routine is to use an XBOX with a Kinect sensor. One way of using this is to choose games that include a lot of language. Adventure games include many instructions and imperatives (‘Jump!’, ‘Go to the temple as fast as you can’) and your learners need to respond by moving around. Keep the activities short so that all students get a chance. There is no need for only one or two players respond, though – make room in the class and everyone can play along (but of course only the players’ actions are recorded by the sensor). Of course, not all schools have an XBOX lying around, but maybe you or one of your colleagues (or more likely, their kids!) have one at home that you could borrow for a day.

There is also potential for gestures technologies to support the acquisition of non-verbal communication. Most languages use gestures to support meaning, and some languages famously frequently so, such as Italian. Applications that recognise the gestures students make linked with the meaning they are orally trying to convey could help with the acquisition of this important aspect of the language.

Although beyond the scope of this article, another obvious application is for the teaching of sign language. A number of mobile applications now exist that start to make use of touch and motion.

4. Where do I start?

If you decide to attempt any of the ideas above, and as with all teaching activities, you need to consider what you are trying to achieve. Playing XBOX games may work really well with beginner level school children, but not go down so well with executives on a Business English course. For the latter group, flashcards with haptic feedback that they practise with on their mobile phones between meetings may be more suitable – and will give them immediate feedback. Of course, there is also the question of what is the most effective. Computer games may be entertaining but if they don’t contribute to learning, they are obviously not suitable for use in class.

Once you have decided on your outcome, think of the activity that your students will complete. In the TPR example above, what will be the language focus? Will it be on following directions? Or on responding to spatial commands (‘Move the book to the left’)? You will also have to consider how to connect the activity with the preceding and following lesson content. For example, learners can be asked to use the commands they heard when playing a game in their subsequent group work?
It is important to realise, though, that many of these technologies are in their infancy; there are no dedicated materials, set guidelines, or examples of best practice to follow. In this sense, the use of motion technologies is a form of language teaching experimentation. Expect for some things to go wrong, but to also learn a lot during the process.
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