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## PROBABILITY MODEL OF WINNING TENNIS MATCH


#### Abstract

Probability model on match involving two opposing players is discussed with particular emphasis on the relative probabity of a server in a play. It is assumed that player A has a constant probability $p_{A}$ of winning any point while he is serving and that player B has a constant $p_{B}$ of winning any point on his service. Tennis match consists of either the best 2 out of 3 sets or the best 3 out of 5 sets.

Expressions for the probability that a player wins a match are obtained. In order to simplify determination the probability of winning a match the special probability matrices are used. We present a simple numerical example for the illustration calculating the probability of winning a match.
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## I. INDRODUCTION

The simplest model in analysing tennismatches is based on the assumption that two fixed probabilities govern a match: the probability of winning a service point for both players. Then, one can calculate the probability of winning a game or set (see: H si and Burych (1971) or Carter and Crows (1974)). It seems to be natural calculating the probability of winning a match. Notice that the probability of winning a set is not equal the product of the probabilities of winning and losing games of the set by both players. Similarly, the probability of winning a match is not immediately stated as the product of the probabilities of winning and losing sets of the match.

[^0]In this paper we will discuss probability model of winning tennis match by one of two players. We assume that player A wins each point of his service with probability $p_{A}$ and player $B$ wins each point of his service with probability $p_{B}$. For illustrating our model we present a numerical example.

## II. FORMAL DESCRIPTION STATES OF MATCH

In tennis there are two cases playing of match:
(a) the best 2 out of 3 sets (2/3),
(b) the best 3 out of 5 sets (3/5).

Let us assume that player A wins a match. We have to consider the following posibilites:
$\Rightarrow(a)$ : player A wins two first sets with match $2: 0$, or loses the first set and wins the next two or loses the second one and wins the remaining two, with result of match $2: 1$.
$\Rightarrow(b)$ : player A wins three first sets with match $3: 0$, or wins the fourth set and loses one of three played before with match $3: 1$ or wins the fifth set but loses exactly two of four played before with match $3: 2$.

Let $S_{A}\left(\bar{S}_{A}\right)$ denote an event that player A wins (loses) a set. Denote by $M_{A}(2 / 3)$ or $M_{A}(3 / 5)$ events of winning the match by player A in case (a) and (b), respectively. At last let $M_{A}(m: k), m=2,3 ; k=0,1, \ldots, m-1$ denote an event ,player $A$ won the match with result $m: k$ ". Now, we can write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow(a): & M_{A}(2: 0)
\end{aligned}=S_{A} \cap S_{A}, ~ 子 \begin{aligned}
M_{A}(2: 1) & =\left(\bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap S_{A}\right) \cup\left(S_{A} \cap \bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A}\right), \\
M_{A}(2 / 3) & =M_{A}(2: 0) \cup M_{A}(2: 1) ; \\
\Rightarrow(b): M_{A}(3: 0) & =S_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap S_{A}, \\
M_{A}(3: 1) & =\left(\bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap S_{A}\right) \cup\left(S_{A} \cap \bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap S_{A}\right) \cup \\
& \cup\left(S_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap \bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A}\right), \\
M_{A}(3: 2) & =\left(\bar{S}_{A} \cap \bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap S_{A}\right) \cup \\
& \cup\left(\bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap \bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap S_{A}\right) \cup \\
& \cup\left(\bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap \bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A}\right) \cup \\
& \cup\left(S_{A} \cap \bar{S}_{A} \cap \bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap S_{A}\right) \cup \\
& \cup\left(S_{A} \cap \bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap \bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A}\right) \cup \\
& \cup\left(S_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap \bar{S}_{A} \cap \bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A}\right) \\
M_{A}(3 / 5) & =M_{A}(3: 0) \cup M_{A}(3: 1) \cup M_{A}(3: 2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the transcription: $S_{A} \rightarrow 1$ and $\bar{S}_{A} \rightarrow 0$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow(a): M_{A}(2: 0) & =(11), M_{A}(2: 1)=(011) \cup(101) ; \\
\Rightarrow(b): M_{A}(3: 0) & =(111), M_{A}(3: 1)=(0111) \cup(1011) \cup(1101), \\
M_{A}(3: 2) & =(00111) \cup(01011) \cup(01101) \cup(10011) \cup \\
& \cup(10101) \cup(11001) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of components for $M_{A}(m: k)$ is equal $\binom{m+k-1}{k}$, for $m=2,3$; $k=0,1, \ldots, m-1$. Analogous formulas can be given for player B. We would like to emphasize that the probability of winning a set depends on the number played games - odd or even (see e.g. Pollard 1983, Riddle 1988, W ag ner and Majewska 1996, Pasewicz and Wagner 2000), where in particular was considered the tie-breaker set if the games score reached 6 games each. It is very important who of players is serving first. Therefore, we have to consider both the aspects calculating the probability of winning tennis match.

## III. PROBABILITY MODEL OF WINNING A MATCH

Consider the following events for an individual set:
$T_{1}(A)$ - „player A serves first",
$T_{2}(A)$ - „player A wins in an even number of games",
$T_{3}(A)$ - ,player A wins in an odd number of games".
Similar events can be given for player B. Using the concatenation principle we can write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{00}=T_{1}(A) \& T_{2}(A), A_{01}=T_{1}(A) \& T_{3}(A), \\
& A_{10}=T_{1}(B) \& T_{2}(A), A_{11}=T_{1}(B) \& T_{3}(A), \\
& B_{00}=T_{1}(A) \& T_{2}(B), B_{01}=T_{1}(A) \& T_{3}(B), \\
& B_{10}=T_{1}(B) \& T_{2}(B), B_{11}=T_{1}(B) \& T_{3}(B),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{i j}\left(B_{i j}\right), i=0,1 ; j=0,1$ denote events that player A (player B ) wins a set. The first subscript indicates which player served the first game of the set ( 0 for A and 1 for B$)$, while the second subscript indicates the modulo $2((i+j) \bmod 2)$ that is ( Riddle 1988):

$$
(0+0) \bmod 2=0,(0+1) \bmod 2=1,(1+0) \bmod 2=1,(1+1) \bmod 2=0 .
$$

Let $a_{i j}=P\left(A_{i j}\right)$ and $b_{i j}=P\left(B_{i j}\right)$ denote the probabilities of events $A_{i j}$ and $B_{i j}$, respectively. First we calculate the probability that player A wins a match in
case (a) with result $2: 0$. In order to consider possible events $A_{i j}$ in the case we present the service principle in tennis (tab. 1).

The events for a match with 2:0

| The events | Set I |  |  | Set II |  |  | Product <br> of events |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | f | e | o | f | e | o |  |
| 1 | A | $*$ |  | A | $*$ |  | $\mathrm{~A}_{00} \mathrm{~A}_{00}$ |
| 2 | A | $*$ |  | A |  | $*$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{000} \mathrm{~A}_{01}$ |
| 3 | A |  | $*$ | B | $*$ |  | $\mathrm{~A}_{01} \mathrm{~A}_{10}$ |
| 4 | A |  | $*$ | B |  | $*$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{01} \mathrm{~A}_{11}$ |

In table 1 the letters $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{e}$ and o denote a player serves first, an even number of games and an odd number of games in a set, respectively. Because of

$$
M_{A}(2: 0)=\left(A_{00} \cap A_{00}\right) \cup\left(A_{00} \cap A_{01}\right) \cup\left(A_{01} \cap A_{10}\right) \cup\left(A_{01} \cap A_{11}\right),
$$

the probability $p_{1}$ that A wins the match with $2: 0$ has the following form:

$$
p_{1}=P\left(M_{A}(2: 0)\right)=a_{00}^{2}+a_{00} a_{01}+a_{01} a_{10}+a_{01} a_{11} .
$$

Now, we calculate the probability $p_{2}$ that player A wins a match with $2: 1$ and loses the first set. We give the second table of service principle (tab. 2).

Table 2
The events for a match with $2: 1$ (A loses the first set)

| The events | f | $\bar{S}_{A}$ e | 0 | f | $\begin{gathered} S_{A} \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $f$ |  | 0 | Probabilities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | * |  | A | * |  | A | * |  | $\mathrm{b}_{00} \mathrm{a}_{00} \mathrm{a}_{00}$ |
| 2 | A | * |  | A | * |  | A |  | * | $\mathrm{b}_{00} \mathrm{a}_{00} \mathrm{a}_{01}$ |
| 3 | A | * |  | A |  | * | B | * |  | $\mathrm{b}_{00} \mathrm{a}_{01} \mathrm{a}_{10}$ |
| 4 | A | * |  | A |  | * | B |  | * | $b_{00} a_{01} a_{11}$ |
| 5 | A |  | * | B | * |  | B | * |  | $\mathrm{b}_{01} \mathrm{a}_{10} \mathrm{a}_{10}$ |
| 6 | A |  | * | B | * |  | B |  | * | $\mathrm{b}_{01} \mathrm{a}_{10} \mathrm{a}_{11}$ |
| 7 | A |  | * | B |  | * | A | * |  | $b_{01} a_{11} a_{00}$ |
| 8 | A |  | * | B |  | * | A |  | * | $b_{01} a_{11} a_{01}$ |

Using results from Table 2, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{2}=P\left(\bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A} \cap S_{A}\right)=b_{00}\left(a_{00}^{2}+a_{00} a_{01}+a_{01} a_{10}+a_{01} a_{11}\right)+ \\
\\
+b_{01}\left(a_{10}^{2}+a_{10} a_{11}+a_{11} a_{00}+a_{11} a_{01}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly, the probability $p_{3}$ that player A wins a match with $2: 1$ and he loses the second set is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{3}=P\left(S_{A} \cap \bar{S}_{A} \cap S_{A}\right)=a_{00}\left(b_{00} a_{00}+b_{00} a_{01}+b_{01} a_{10}+b_{01} a_{11}\right) \\
&+a_{01}\left(b_{11} a_{00}+b_{10} a_{10}+b_{11} a_{01}+b_{10} a_{11}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the probability that player A wins a match in case (a) is the following:

$$
P\left(M_{A}(2 / 3)\right)=p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}
$$

We can simplify determination probabilities $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ using the probability matrices of winning a set of the form

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{00} & a_{01} \\
a_{10} & a_{11}
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \tilde{P}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{00} & a_{01} \\
a_{11} & a_{10}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Note that transformation $(i, j) \rightarrow(i, i+j) \bmod 2$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
(0,0) \rightarrow(0,0+0) \bmod 2=(0,0), \quad(0,1) \rightarrow(0,0+1) \bmod 2=(0,1) \\
(1,0) \rightarrow(1,1+0) \bmod 2=(1,1), \\
(1,1) \rightarrow(1,1+1) \bmod 2=(1,0)
\end{gathered}
$$

leads to $\tilde{P}_{i, j}=P_{i, i+j}$ for $i, j=0,1$. Let $Q$ and $\tilde{Q}$ denote the corresponding matrices for the probabilities $b_{i j}$ for player B , that is

$$
Q=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
b_{00} & b_{01} \\
b_{10} & b_{11}
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \tilde{Q}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
b_{00} & b_{01} \\
b_{11} & b_{10}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus for a match consisting of the best 2 out of 3 sets the probability that $A$ wins given that A serves first is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(M_{A}(2 / 3)\right)=[P P+Q \tilde{P} P+P \tilde{Q} P] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript * denotes summation over the first row.

Note that, the order notation matrices $P, \tilde{P}, Q, \tilde{Q}$ ensue directly from the binary form of registration events of played sets during the match. We introduce the following principle of notation:

- a number of elements of a binary sequence is equal a number of matrices,
- the first and the last matrix is without of $\sim$ while the remaining matrices are with ~,
- introduce the transcription: $0 \rightarrow Q, 1 \rightarrow P$.

For instance, considering the binary sequence 01011 , we have $Q \tilde{P} \tilde{Q} \tilde{P} P$. Using the transcription the probability that player A wins the match given that A serves first in case (b) is the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
P\left(M_{A}(3 / 5)\right)=[P \tilde{P} P+(Q \tilde{P} \tilde{P} P+P \tilde{Q} \tilde{P} P+P \tilde{P} \tilde{Q} P)+ \\
+(Q \tilde{Q} \tilde{P} \tilde{P} P+Q \tilde{P} \tilde{Q} \tilde{P} P+Q \tilde{P} \tilde{P} \tilde{Q} P+P \tilde{Q} \tilde{Q} \tilde{P} P+P \tilde{Q} \tilde{P} \tilde{Q} P+P \tilde{P} \tilde{Q} \tilde{Q} P)]_{*} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

We can obtain similar evaluation for player B who wins a match. The formulas (1) and (2) were found by R iddle (1988). In order to use the formulas in practice we have to know $a_{i j}$ and $b_{i j}$. Therefore it is necessary the formulas of winning a set by player $A$ and $B$ when:
(i) a set is finished by an even number of games, i.e. $6: k, k=0,2,4$;
(ii) a set is finished by an odd number of games, i.e. $6: k, k=1,3$;
(iii) a set is finished by like $(k+2): k, k=5,6,7, \ldots$;
(iv) a set is finished by the tie-breaker. The tie-breaker game is played if the games' score reaches 6 games each. The first player to score at least 7 points and be at least 2 points ahead of his opponent is the winner of the tie-breaker game and set. If the points score reaches 6 points each in the tie-breaker one of players wins the tie-breaker by $(i+2)$ points to $i(i=6,7, \ldots$.$) . For these cases we$ give the formulas by authors mentioned in point 2 .

Now, we give satisfactory formulas which are required in a numerical example when player A wins but A or B serves first in cases (i) and (ii):
(a) A serves first and $A$ wins $6: 0,6: 2$ or $6: 4$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(S_{(p)}\right)=\left(1-g_{B}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2 i+1} \operatorname{Bin}\left(i+3, j-1.1-g_{A}\right) \operatorname{Bin}\left(i+2.2 i-j+1, g_{B}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) $B$ serves first and $A$ wins $6: 0,6: 2$ or $6: 4$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(S_{(p)}\right)=g_{A} \sum_{i=0}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2 i+1} \operatorname{Bin}\left(i+2, j-1.1-g_{A}\right) \operatorname{Bin}\left(i+3.2 i-j+1, g_{B}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) A serves first and $A$ wins $6: 1$ or $6: 3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(S_{(n)}\right)=g_{A} \sum_{i=0}^{1} \sum_{j=1}^{2 i+2} \operatorname{Bin}\left(i+3, j-1.1-g_{A}\right) \operatorname{Bin}\left(i+3.2(i+1)-j, g_{B}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) B serves first and A wins $6: 1$ or $6: 3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(S_{(n)}\right)=\left(1-g_{B}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{1} \sum_{j=1}^{2 i+2} \operatorname{Bin}\left(i+3, j-1.1-g_{A}\right) \operatorname{Bin}\left(i+3.2(i+1)-j, g_{B}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{p_{i}^{4}\left[1-16\left(1-p_{i}\right)^{4}\right]}{p_{i}^{4}-\left(1-p_{i}\right)^{4}} \\
\frac{1}{2}, \quad \text { if } p_{i}=\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}, \text { if } p_{i} \neq \frac{1}{2}\right.
$$

for $i=A, B$ denotes the probability of winning a game by player A or B (see e.g. Hsi and Burych (1971)) and $p_{i}(i=A, B)$ is the probability of winning a point by player A (or B) when he is serving. The formulas (4) and (5) are authors' propositions while the formulas (3) and (5) we can find in Riddle (1988) or in Pasewicz and W agner (2000).

## IV. A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

An example is an illustration of calculation in case of (a), when player A serves first and wins the match with $2: 0$. There we assume that $p_{A}=0.9$ and $p_{B}=0.6$ and the set score reaches $6: k$ for $k=0,1,2,3,4$. A computer program written in Department of Statistics Academy of Physical Education in Poznań can be obtained from the authors upon requst. Using formulae (3) - (6) we have different possibilities of the probability that A wins a set:
(a) „6:0"-0.01838, „6:2"-0.11299, „6:4"-0.07768,
(b) „ $6: 0 "-0.01838, \ldots 6: 2 "-0.22575, \quad, 6: 4 "-0.38597$,
(c) „6:1"-0.15334, „6:3"-0.41925,
(d) „6:1"-0.04058, „6:3"-0.11096.

Hence $a_{00}=0.20905, a_{10}=0.63010, a_{01}=0.57259$ and $a_{11}=0.15154$ and the probability that A wins the match is equal $p_{1}=0.61096$.

Now we calculate $p_{1}$ using matrix $P$. In the case matrices $P$ and $P P$ have the forms:

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.20905 & 0.57259 \\
0.63010 & 0.15154
\end{array}\right], \quad P P=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.40449 & 0.20647 \\
0.30453 & 0.38375
\end{array}\right],
$$

The sum of the elements over the first row of matrix $P P$ is equal $p_{1}$.
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## PROBABILISTYCZNY MODEL ZAKOŃCZENIA MECZU W TENISIE ZIEMNYM

W tenisie ziemnym mecz jest rozgrywany przez dwóch graczy i składa się z setów podzielonych na gemy. Przyjmujac stałe prawdopodobieństwa wygrania własnego serwisu przez każdego z graczy w trakcie trwania meczu, można podać odpowiednie wzory na prawdopodobieństwa zakończenia gema oraz seta. Naturalny wydaje się być problem obliczania prawdopodobieństw zakończenia meczu. Wyprowadzone sa wzory na wygranie meczu przez jednego z graczy. W celu uproszczenia wyprowadzenia wzorów stosowane są specjalne macierze probabilistyczne. Przedstawiony jest również prosty przykład numeryczny obliczania prawdopodobieństwa wygrania meczu.
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