







ISSN: 0208-7375 Journal homepage: https://czasopisma.marszalek.com.pl/10-15804/ppsy

The Role of Islam in Indonesian Foreign Policy: A Case of Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono

Aisyah Mumary Songbatumis

ORCID: 0000-0002-4144-2484

To cite this article please include the following information:

- Journal title: Polish Political Science Yearbook
- Volume number: 50
- Year of publication: 2021
- Published ahead-of-print

Example styles:

[APA Style]: Songbatumis, A.M. (2021). The Role of Islam in Indonesian Foreign Policy: A Case of Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono. *Polish Political Science Yearbook, 50*(issue number), pages. https://doi.org/10.15804/ppsy202119

[Chicago Style]: Aisyah Mumary Songbatumis, "The Role of Islam in Indonesian Foreign Policy: A Case of Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono" *Polish Political Science Yearbook* 50, no. [issue number] (2021).

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.15804/ppsy202119

Published ahead-of-print

Final submission: 8 June 2021
Published online: 21 June 2021

Printed issue: December 2021

Submit your article to PPSY

Aisyah Mumary Songbatumis

Vistula University (Poland) ORCID: 0000-0002-4144-2484 e-mail: mumarysongbatumis@gmail.com

The Role of Islam in Indonesian Foreign Policy: A Case of Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono

Abstract: As Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono won the 2004 presidential election, it marked the end of Indonesia's democratic transition era and experienced a dynamic change in foreign policy. The new international identity that viewed Islam as an asset was introduced by SBY, emphasizing the importance of moderate Islam as opposing extremism. The phenomenon of Islamic influence was not only the result of democratic consolidation domestically but also external factors such as the aftermath of 9/11 that portrayed Muslims as potential terrorists. For this reason, Indonesian foreign policy attempted to diminish such misconceptions and tried to be a peacemaker or a mediator in Muslim-related issues globally. To contextualize the analysis, the study focuses on the influence of Islam in Indonesian foreign policy towards the Palestinian-Israeli conflict and Pakistan. The mutual aspirations on the Palestinian statehood shared by both the government and the Muslim elements in society could be found, while religious sentiments were noticeable, as shown by the Muslim groups. In contrast, the influence of Islam in Indonesia-Pakistan relations, especially regarding the Kashmir dispute, was absent due to the difference in views of the government and the Muslim groups and constraining factors, including Indonesia's national interest priority.

Keywords: democracy, national interest, Indonesian foreign policy, Islam in foreign policy, political Islam

After successfully deepening democracy at home, the SBY administration had a strong interest in international issues to advance his foreign policy that was done by promoting a harmonious relationship between democracy and Islam (Sulistiyanto, 2010, p. 125). This concept was introduced by him in 2005 at Indonesian Council on World Affairs (ICWA) that moderate Islam¹ as Indonesia's international identity must be shown through foreign policy

¹ The optimism towards moderate Islam implemented by SBY's administration was considered as

and hence became the model of the rest of the world (Anwar, 2010). Although Indonesia is known as the world's largest populous Muslim country, this phenomenon was perceived as new and unique (Al-Anshori, 2016) as opposed to the previous eras where Islam was marginalized.

The increase of Islamic influence as the result of 9/11 brought Indonesia to these changes in its foreign policy, which was reflected in more active engagement in the Organization of Islamic Cooperation (OIC) and Islamic-related issues worldwide (Murphy, 2012) as well as the continuous promotion of interfaith discussion programs both nationally and internationally (Fanani, 2012). It aimed to reduce the negative perceptions towards Islam that has been portrayed as the terrorists' belief by the Western media (Al-Ansori, 2016; Anwar, 2010; Fanani, 2012). However, using Islam in the foreign policy was challenged by whether or not it was implemented both in form and substance. Consequently, this article attempts to answer the research question: to what extent does Islam influence Indonesian foreign policy? It is done by exploring and discerning how much weight it has on the Palestine-Israeli conflict and relations with Pakistan as the major case studies. Although Indonesian foreign policy maintains the component of non-interference in the domestic affairs of other countries, it continues to demonstrate responses toward humanitarian issues, especially when Muslims are involved. Hence, the Palestine issue, which has been a cornerstone of Indonesian foreign policy since the first Indonesian presidency, is substantial due to the Islamic principle that encourages Muslim identity to be more active and more vocal.

Literature Review

Many studies have been carried out regarding the role of Islam in Indonesian foreign policy, particularly during the SBY era where experts and scholars discuss and observe interrelated aspects and dimensions between Islam and world politics in Indonesia, including how Islam can be embedded in foreign policy, how to reflect it with the ideology of *Pancasila*, and others challenge the discourse. Furthermore, the works applied various empirical case studies to support their claims and different methods to test their arguments.

Some scholars emphasized the birth of Islam in Indonesian foreign policy was merely as a result of democratic consolidation where all groups and parties have a say on issues they are concerned about (Anwar, 2010; Al-Ansori, 2016), others specifically focus on the linkage between Islam, democracy, and *Pancasila* and how it suits Indonesian foreign policy (Fanani, 2012; Purwono, 2016) in which these three have common grounds to be used as fundamental and universal values relating with humanity, justice, and unity, etc. Another

a strategy to express the stance amid the opposition discourse between the West and Islam (Falahi, 2013). Schmid (2017) further explains that moderate Islam seeks the middle ground and balance as well as "manages conflicts through dialogue, negotiation, compromise and reform rather than through violent and confrontations that viewing others with good vs. evil terms" (p. 10).

conclusion drawn is that domestic politics is influenced by the Muslim groups that have been stirring the wheel of foreign policymaking on Islamic-related issues (Wicaksana, 2012; Christian & Putri, 2012). Sukma (2004) concludes that Islam in Indonesian foreign policy has been secondary since the independence era; the difference is only in packaging it as an international identity. In contrast, some scholars view that SBY has included Islam in Indonesia's foreign policy as proven by organizing global interfaith dialogues, becoming a mediator in the Muslim majority countries, and involving the Muslim groups in foreign policymaking such as Muhammadiyah and Nahdatul Ulama (NU).

Other scholars see the new international identity of Indonesia proposed by SBY as a challenge. Embracing the status as the world's third-largest democracy and the largest Muslim nation is considered to be an advantage for Indonesian foreign policy in which according to Anwar (2010), it should be able to "promote Indonesia's interests; mediate international conflicts where Muslim interests are involved or provide an alternative model of modern Islamic society" (p. 49). In addition to that, some studies found that the reality is still far beyond that as it is necessary to see whether or not Islam is needed in entire foreign policy or only towards the Middle East (Falahi, 2013). For this reason, this paper tries to provide an analysis that may help understand how Islam plays its role in Indonesian foreign policy and whether or not it assists in achieving national interests and Muslim interests worldwide.

Theoretical Approach

Foreign policy study has been greatly influenced by realism and its branches, including neorealism (Gindarsah, 2012), in which it shares the assumption that all states view each other as a potential enemy who pursues their national interest in an uncertain world (Taliaferro, Lobell, & Ripsman, 2009) to constantly ensure the survival of the state and the security of its people (Jackson & Sørensen, 2007). In addition, the role of domestic politics plays an insignificant role in foreign policy. Instead, it focuses more on power maximization without interfering with domestic factors such as religion, ideology, and culture (Haque, 2003). Unlike neorealism, constructivism indicates openness to states' social identities that are believed to determine state behavior, relations, among others, etc. The identity of a country "shapes the process determining the way states interact according to their national interest" (Jackson & Sørensen, 2007, p. 223), and the power of norms and values cannot be neglected in the world politics. State behavior cannot be separated from domestic aspects where it develops (Hill, 2003). In other words, neorealism theorists argue that nothing can dictate state behavior even if it is internal and external factors as no states cannot be fully trusted, while constructivism theorists acknowledge the diversity of national interests that have been influenced by the state's identity, norms, and cultures.

With that in mind, the role of religion began to challenge secularism since 9/11 took place (Philpott, 2002). This moment started to raise awareness of the importance of religion and marked the return of religion to world politics. In this context, its return is "not to

4 Aisyah Mumary Songbatumis

change the political paradigm, but instead to include it as a variable" (Fox & Sandler, 2004; Philpott, 2002). They consider that religion should not be ignored as it is "an attribute of individuals and communities that have a role in institutional relations within a state" (Philpott, 2002), particularly "religious legitimacy and religion as a human worldview; both need to be taken into account" (Fox & Sandler, 2004). Religion is considered to have the ability to influence and shape public opinion, so it can influence international relations and "generate soft power characteristics" (Haynes, 2008). Although there have been limited discourses on the linkages between religion and foreign policy, several works of literature can be based on this regard. It has been argued that "religion has a potent power in foreign policy where it contains many core elements of identity" (Al-Anshori, 2016, p. 10) that is not only found in Islamic countries but also Western countries (Croft, 2009), such as the USA² and Russia³. In the US foreign policy, religion is used as "an instrument to provide moral justification and legitimation" (Bacevich & Prodromou, 2004), while in Russia, the concept of spirituality has been implemented in domestic politics, national security policy, and foreign policy (Blitt, 2010).

Talking about the linkage between Islam and foreign policy, Muslim majority countries can be divided into two categories: Islamic states and Muslim secular states. Islamic states like Iran, Pakistan, and Saudi Arabia reflect the belief and understanding that religion and state are inseparable. It is understood as a religion that teaches humans how to live life, including politics. Furthermore, Islam is believed "to contain the comprehensive holistic manner teachings" (A. Azra, 2006, p. 7) where "Islam offers a solution to all problems including socio-cultural, economic and political matters" (Effendy, 2003, p. 34). In contrast, secular Muslim states, i.e., Turkey and Malaysia, institutionally separate Islam and politics. This separation does not break the relationship between the two. In fact, Islamic values and principles, to some extent, are used in these states' policies and legislation (Al-Anshori, 2016, p. 12). However, the states' neutrality for not showing favoritism over one specific religion is extremely important. An-Na'im (2008) explains that "by following these principles, Muslim secular states will not forcefully implement Islamic law".

Secular Muslim states such as Turkey and Malaysia can be used to show how Islam plays its role in foreign policy. Some studies have found that the role of Islam in Turkey's foreign policy has gradually changed. Under the leadership of Mustafa Kemal Ataturk, the government excluded religions from politics and thus had a limited role in foreign policy

² The 9/11 tragedy has made President George W. Bush reconsider the place of religion in giving a significant contribution to international politics. This has led to his successor, President Barack Obama, to employ religion in optimizing domestic support for foreign policy initiatives, such as on Middle Eastern issues (Marsden, 2011).

³ Russian Orthodox Church (ROS) has successfully influenced Russian foreign policy by demanding the government to reassess the state's secular constitutional status and state-church relations (Marsden, 2011).

(Dikici Bilgin, 2008). However, when Recep Tayyip Erdogan came into power, he reorients and emphasizes strengthening relations with neighbors, including the Middle East and other Muslim majority countries (Cornell, 2012). Incorporating Islamic values and Muslimhood are considered as a way to fulfill the national interest and wealth and, in fact, viewed as "a historical asset in which its drive to become a regional leader and a respectable state within existing global power relations" (Saraçoğlu & Demirkol, 2014, p. 308). As a result, Muslim-oriented considerations contribute and influence its foreign policymaking.

Meanwhile, Islam greatly influences the Malaysian political landscape, including foreign policy, as it is also the official religion. It is evident that Malaysia has shown its concern for and solidarity with Muslim causes on the Palestinian issue. Kutaisha (2006) asserts that "Islam is not only a crucial driving force in foreign policy but also an important factor in enhancing economic relations". It becomes more salient after the 9/11 attack, which caused the world's misperception of Islam, and Malaysia's foreign policy "has placed Islam in the center of its public diplomacy" (Saravanamutu, 2010). Thus, it can be seen how governments utilize religion for power, legitimacy, and justification.

It is expected that Islam in Indonesian foreign policy shares a similar form with the secular Muslim states, with the exception that Islam is not always present in foreign policies like Turkish and Malaysian unless it is researched on a case-by-case basis. The challenge frequently faced by the state is that it needs to delicately find a balance in attaining national interest, maintaining a status of secular identity, and accommodating the Muslim group's aspirations. Consequently, it is noteworthy to define what Islam refers to in foreign policy and to what degree it has to be; is it represented in rhetoric or substance?; is it used to legitimize and justify Indonesian foreign policy?; or is it only as a political purpose? Therefore, instead of seeing Islam as an idea or ideology, this article views it as a movement represented by the Muslim groups and Islamic parties and their influence in shaping Indonesian foreign policy. It is not possible to neglect the role of the Muslim groups and their moral responsibility to contribute and vocalize on the issues they are concerned about, which is more related to Muslim issues, both domestically and globally.

Methodology and Case Selection

A case study is an approach that was adopted in this study. It refers to "an empirical inquiry that investigates a contemporary phenomenon within real-life context", including individual life, organization behavior, social change, and international relations (Yin, 2003, p. 2). It is believed to be suitable for this study as it allows "the researcher to trace historical events, causes, consequences, and provide an insightful explanation" (Mayan, An introduction to qualitative methods: A training for students and professionals, 2001) and to discern how "human beings interpret the world and their social phenomena" (Willis, Jost, and Nilakanta, 2007) as well as "to explore a phenomenon using a variety of data sources" (Baxter & Jack, 2008). Therefore, a case study is selected because it is instrumental in providing deep insight

into an issue (Stake, 1995). This article attempts to explore the role of Islam in Indonesia's foreign policy.

SBY's administration was particularly selected as it was when Islam was viewed as an asset as opposed to his predecessors. He projected democracy and Islam "as the two elements of soft power in Indonesian diplomacy" (Sukma, 2011), as Indonesia is known as the world's biggest Muslim country and the world's third-largest democracy. As a result, during his two leadership terms, 2004-2009 and 2009–2014, SBY gained support from all Islamic political parties and thus was considered that SBY had taken Islam into consideration (Al-Anshori, 2016).

In relation to the cases, this article has chosen Indonesian foreign policy towards the Palestine-Israel conflict and its relations with Pakistan to explore the role of Islam represented by the Muslim groups in Indonesia's foreign policy. The following are the reasons why these cases are selected respectively. Since the independence era, the Palestinian-Israeli conflict has been continuously the main interest in the foreign policy to Indonesian Muslims, proven by the shared position among Muslim groups and the government; given the fact that it is a sensitive issue to the Indonesian majority as it involves the US and Israel, this issue requires substantial support and initiatives made by the government to help the Palestine statehood as demanded by the Muslim community (Al-Anshori, 2016). As for the case of Pakistan, firstly, two countries' demographic background as the world's highest Muslim population; 87% and 96% of the total population⁴ would theoretically involve their Islamic identity in the interaction and relationship; secondly, apart from the historical aspect where Pakistan and Indonesia relation were well-established before gaining independence, and some streets in Pakistan were dedicated for the first Indonesian president, the partnership started to re-grow during Soeharto's era over Kashmir and even stronger when SBY came to power marked by the defense cooperation (Qureshi, 2018).

Role of Islam in Indonesia's Foreign Policy under SBY

It is noteworthy to include the role theory in foreign policy in the article since it helps understand Indonesian foreign policy. As discussed by scholars, there are four concepts used to analyze foreign policy that was introduced by Holsti (1970), namely "role conception (declared), role performance (enacted), role prescription (expected) and the state's position" (Grzywacz, 2020, p. 730). Holsti's role theory emphasizes the importance of the internal condition of a state and the perceptions of them given by the international environment.

In the Indonesian context, the role conception formulized by SBY was somewhat unique. He had a strong desire to establish a new image of Indonesia's national identity to other nations, which differs from the previous eras. Through independent and active foreign policy, the national identity of Indonesia that is open, confident, tolerant, moderate, and

⁴ Reported by Bureau of Statistics of Indonesia and Pakistan in 2010 and 2011, respectively.

outward-looking should be well-projected. This Indonesia's international identity, according to SBY, should be deeply rooted in a strong sense of "who we are, what we believe in, and project them in our foreign policy". The following is the portion of his speech:

"We are the fourth most populous nation in the world. We are home to the world's largest Muslim population. We are the world's third-largest democracy. We are also a country where democracy, Islam, and modernity go hand in hand. We will stay our course with ASEAN as the cornerstone of our foreign policy. And our heart is always with the developing world to which we belong. These are things that define who we are and what we do in the community of nations" (Anwar, 2010).

This speech illustrates that there was a desire to transform the country's new international identity. From the speech, there is a distinction between the foreign policy under SBY and his predecessors, which lies on the cornerstone of political situations that either took place at home or in international arenas. For instance, Murphy (2009) explains that in the Soekarno era, "Indonesia's legitimacy and international role were based on nationalism, advocating third world solidarity, successful economic development and its role in the regional order" (p. 85). It indicated that Soekarno established international identity through the relationship with the outside world. Meanwhile, for SBY, it was based on "utilizing its status as the world's third-largest democracy and largest Muslim nation" (Murphy, 2009, p. 65), which was derived from the domestic transformation and what Indonesia possesses, which Holsti considers as the prominent aspect in playing a role in the international community.

Islam was considered an asset to the process of democracy in Indonesia from 1998 until 2004. According to Nakamura, Indonesia has over 88% of its total population that is approximately 220 million who are Muslim, which cannot be ignored. This number which is bigger than the total Arab Muslim population in the Middle East, North Africa, and South and Central Asia (Nakamura, 2005), really showed that there is a democratic government in the Muslim world that should be taken into account. It is thus undeniable that Islam gained its place in conducting Indonesian foreign policy during the SBY era. It was proven that in many international forums, he frequently talked about the Islamic factor in Indonesian foreign policy. One of the examples is in Washington DC, where SBY stated that "in a world haunted by a clash of civilizations, Indonesia remains a shining example where democracy, Islam and modernity thrive together" (Yudhoyono, 2009). Also, on another occasion which was the 11th Summit of the OIC, he asserted Islam and democracy are not only compatible but also flourish together as well as among our strongest advocates of democracy are political parties with strong Islamic platforms" (Fanani, 2012). The Foreign Minister also put forward "both the government and Indonesian Muslims have a commitment to actualizing Islam as rahmatan lil-'alamin (mercy for all people)" (Sukma, 2004). These statements are important to bear in mind that there is new development about the role of Islam in Indonesian foreign policy. In fact, to promote SBY's reputation nationally and internationally, it was driven by Islamic ideas (I.W.Wicaksana, 2012, p. 52) where he appointed a special envoy to foster peace and collaboration as well as prove the Western media wrong that Islam is backward, violent, and against women.

Promoting moderate Islam was found in Indonesian politics and the biggest moderate Islamic organizations like Muhammadiyah and NU. These two organizations contributed to the decreasing number of radical Islamist groups and religious communalism (Nakamura, 2005, pp. 25-33). The endorsement of both political parties and such organizations towards *Pancasila* and the 1945 Constitution indicated that Muslims prefer secular democracy, which resulted in democracy and Islam positively got along together (Buehler, 2009). This view is strengthened by Hadiwinata and Agustin, who assert that Indonesia can be considered the best example of the compatibility of Islam with democracy (Hadiwinata & Agustin, 2011, pp. 59-60). One example of promoting moderate Islam is establishing the Indonesia-UK Islamic Advisory Council (Sukma, 2009).

Indonesian Foreign Policy towards the Palestine-Israel Conflict

Indonesia has consistently shown its support for the Palestinian cause since the era of Soekarno. It has been demonstrated through international forums, including the United Nations. In fact, domestic politics in Indonesia mostly express "sentiments of supports for Palestine and enmity towards Israel" (Lukens-Bull & Woodward, 2011). In other words, supporting Palestine is considered non-controversial by the majority of Indonesians.

The question 'is Islam the main factor in supporting Palestine' has been discussed and debated by scholars. For instance, Suryadinata (1996) and Perwita (2007) argue that since the era of Soeharto until today, the issue of Palestine is not a religious sentiment, including the stance of not recognizing Israel as a state is merely based on the Third World solidarity rather than Islamic factor. Sihbudi (1997), on the other hand, put forward Indonesia's involvement in Middle Eastern affairs, including Palestine, which cannot be separated from the Islamic factor. Whatever it is, Indonesia has continuously committed to supporting the struggle of the Palestinians to gain its independence and rights according to international law and the mandate to get rid of colonialism as enshrined in Indonesia's 1945 Constitution.

As soon as SBY came into power, he affirmed that Indonesia would not recognize and establish diplomatic relations with Israel until Palestine became an independent state living side-by-side with Israel (Al-Anshori, 2016). It is also demonstrated during the Asian-African Summit in Jakarta in 2005, in which Indonesia proposed the adoption of the Declaration on the New Asian-African Strategic Partnership (NAASP) that was signed by 106 states including Palestine as the participating country. The document states that the Asian and African countries' support Palestine, as follows:

"We express our abhorrence that, fifty years since the 1955 Bandung Conference the Palestinian people remain deprived of their right to independence; we remain steadfast in our support for the Palestinian people and the creation of a viable and sovereign Palestinian state in accordance with relevant United Nations resolutions" (Indonesian Foreign Ministry, Declaration on the New Asian-African Strategic Partnership, 2005, pp. 1-2).

SBY carried on this effort by conducting the NAASP Ministerial Conference on Capacity Building for Palestine in Jakarta in 2008. Indonesia would offer capacity-building opportunities to 1000 Palestinians over five years (Indonesian Foreign Ministry, 2011) where it resulted in 1200 Palestinians took part in the program in the period of 2008-2013. In addition to that, the conference on Cooperation among East Asian Countries for Palestinian Development (CEAPAD) was held and initiated by Indonesia and Japan to invite other East Asian countries to support Palestine. Fardah (2015) asserts that the countries participating in CEAPAD should have concrete contributions to Palestine's nation-building efforts.

In making an effort to play a greater role in the peace settlement in Palestine, Indonesia has been majorly constrained and limited by the absence of diplomatic relations with Israel (Xinhua, Indonesia says little chance to open ties with Israel, 2006). In fact, in 2005, SBY demonstrated his desire and commitment to visit Palestine (Sabri, 2012) and willed to have a more important role in the peace process in the Middle East during the Asian-African Summit in Jakarta (Jakarta Post, Susilo to visit US, Palestine in September, 2005), but then it was all hindered and would not be easily organized considering Palestine is under the Israeli occupation. In addition to that, Indonesia's involvement requires an offer from the Arab states such as Egypt and Jordan, which give it a relatively less chance to do so (Kemham, Rapat kerja menteri luear negeri dengan Komisi I Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat RI (Metting between foreign minister and Commission I, DPR RI) 9 December 2004, 2004). Although there had been rumors that SBY's administration might have relations with Israel, it was denied (BBC, 2005) and instead reiterated that Indonesia should become "a direct peace broker between Palestine and Israel" (Al-Anshori, 2016, pp. 185-6). Nonetheless, one of the Indonesian Parliament members made a controversial proposal that Indonesia should start diplomatic relations with Israel, in which, upon hearing that, angry reactions were triggered, and the Muslim groups and the Islamic political parties strongly refused. Similarly, the visit of Israel by the representative of one Indonesian nationalist party received a harsh reaction and was perceived to offend Muslim people (Waskita, 2013) coming from the Muslim groups including Muhammadiyah, NU, Indonesian Ulama Forum, and other Islamic political parties and organizations. Eventually, the representative publicly apologized (Tribunnews, 2013), clarified, and reaffirmed his support to Palestine (Maruli, 2013).

Being aware of the biggest stumbling block faced by Indonesia to become the peacemaker in the Palestine-Israel conflict (Xinhua, Indonesia says little chance to open ties with Israel, 2006), which was the absence of diplomatic ties with Israel, did not stop SBY to come up and consider intentions to support Palestine. For instance, although Indonesia could not establish an Indonesian consulate in Ramallah, it managed to find "a workable form, namely the appointment of an honorary Indonesian consul to Ramallah" (Al-Anshori, 2016) that was done by conveying a letter to President Mahmoud Abbas from SBY in Jordan in 2012. This appointment process has been carried on by President Joko Widodo in which the Foreign Minister, Retno L. Marsudi, stated in 2015 that "Indonesia will establish an Honorary Consulate in Ramallah in the near future to complements its embassy accredited to Palestine based in Amman, Jordan to forge a closer relationship to Palestine" (Marsudi, 2015, p. 8). Such high supports and shared concerns about Palestine statehood were shown by nationalists and Islamic parties, and the members of parliament.

Another attempt done for Palestine was that Indonesia, together with other countries, "frequently co-sponsored UNGA resolution 67/19 that conferred Palestine with a nonmember state status" (Al-Anshori, 2016, p. 192). Indonesia's Foreign Minister, Natalegawa, used this UNGA session to have meetings for the sake of Palestinian statehood with the Palestinian Committee, Brazil, India, South Africa in 2011 (Antara, 2011), and the OIC ministers in New York. The idea was all promoted by Indonesia to Non-Alignment Movement countries during the meeting in Bali, 2011, where initially only 113 countries recognized Palestine to 132 in 2012 when the UN voting was carried out (Indonesian Foreign Affairs, 2012). In the following year, the Indonesian parliament established the Group of Bilateral Cooperation between Indonesia and Palestine (Ramadhan, 2012).

When Israel attacked Gaza in 2009, most Indonesian media, both TV and newspapers, were flooded with coverage of the brutal Israeli attacks that drew reactions from the Muslim groups demanding the government to take immediate actions against Israel. As a response to it, the government "condemned and urged Israel to respect international law, humanitarian law, and human rights law" (Al-Anshori, 2016), and through the Seventh Parliamentary Union of the OIC Conference, Indonesia proposed and agreed to send a delegation to Gaza (Purwadi, 2012) regardless of its outcomes. Furthermore, as a member of both UNHCR and NAM, Indonesia pushed for a special meeting in 2009 (Indonesian Foreign Ministry, 2014) in which SBY expressed his disappointment when witnessing the negligent response of the UNSC and Israel's disdain for the UNSC resolution (Jakarta Post, 2009) that resulted in SBY found the resolution 1860 was not strong enough to condemn Israel (Lacey, 2009). Aside from diplomatic efforts, humanitarian aid and donations were also provided by the government and the Muslim groups (Hendropriyono, 2009) and annual contributions to the United Nations Relief Works Agency for Palestine in the Near East (UNRWA). As for the Muslim groups, a wide range of supports were demonstrated, such as protests and mass rally demanding the government to take a strong stance against Israel, instructing their organizations to take necessary actions, calling out to Israel to stop through media, sending humanitarian aids, and wishing to send volunteers to Gaza for the sake of jihad but the government refused it as it was not helpful to reach the true aim.

Taking everything into account, it can be seen that both the government and the Muslim groups are in agreement and unity when it comes to the Palestinian issue. They strongly view that Palestine has been under attack and suppression of Israel, which rights have been violated. They also shared the view that the UNSC does not do enough to solve the Palestine issue and force Israel to comply with the resolutions, and the US applies a double standard when it comes to Israel's actions. In addition, when it comes to opening a diplomatic tie with Israel, all elements of Indonesian Muslims would be the first to deny and disagree with the idea and thus prioritize and view the issue from the Palestinian perspective. Nonetheless, they have a different view of the basic argument behind the Indonesian policy towards Israel. The government bases its action on the constitution that mandates the abolition of colonialism, human rights violations, territorial issues, and humanitarian crises. At the same time, the Muslim groups admit that it is a religious sentiment and conflict between Islam and Judaism. Even though the government did not formally argue that the Palestinian issue is related to religious factors, it has seemingly tried to accommodate the Muslim majority's beliefs and aspirations, which align with the 1945 Constitution.

Indonesian Foreign Policy toward Pakistan

In the aftermath of 9/11, Indonesia and Pakistan embarked on the journey to combat terrorism. Apart from the improving relations in economy and trade, the defense cooperation became even stronger when the second Bali bombings took place, in which the government realized the severe impact of radicalism that threatens national security. Realizing the growing number of Islamic militants in both countries brought them to promote anti-terrorism cooperation and security needs. Since the religious factor was involved in this issue, 'Islam' cannot be neglected.

It is necessary to note that formal counterterrorism cooperation between these two countries was achieved when SBY came to office in 2004 and visited Islamabad. His visit was to sign the Accords of Terrorism signed by both countries on 24 November 2005 to establish a joint working group to combat terrorism through information sharing and joint law enforcement (TEMPO, 2005). Pakistan is considered to have a growing significance for its regional security policy. As asserted by Foreign Minister Hassan Wirayuda in the 11th ASEAN Summit, Pakistan is Indonesia and ASEAN's vital partner in countering transnational terrorism (Yahya, 2004). Furthermore, the other reasons as to why Pakistan is viewed as crucial in this field, namely "Pakistan has placed itself as the frontline state to combat terrorist of al Qaeda working from its country borders with Afghanistan" (Bubalo, Phillips, & Yasmeen, 2011) and "President Pervez Musharraf had initiated reform to madrasahs in the country to restrain militancy" (Noor, 2008, pp. 144-5). In fact, together with ASEAN, Pakistan decided on the Treaty of Amity and Cooperation as a basis for the two parties' practices of stronger and peaceful relationships. Pranomo and Bandoro agreed that strengthening counterterrorism cooperation with Pakistan "in terms of intelligence sharing can enhance the Indonesian regional security policy that focuses on three pillars, namely the Southeast Asia Centre for Counterterrorism and the Law Enforcement Academy and Cooperation" (Yahya, 2004).

However, this partnership was not free from criticisms. The weak framework, lack of details about the planning and implementation, and insufficient infrastructure are the most highlighted issues. The real condition in Pakistan was ineffective in watching, reforming, and controlling all *madrasahs* throughout the country that were engaged in militant group influence. It is also supported by the International Crisis Group (2007) that Pakistani

12 | Aisyah Mumary Songbatumis

madrasahs did not as planned and extremism continues to grow. In addition, the criticism became louder when the bombing of J.W. Mariott and Ritz Carlton Hotels Jakarta on July 17, 2009 took place. The partnership on fighting extremism was perceived unreliable and unrealistic as the two states "did not have an impact on eradicating acts of terrorism" (I.W. Wicaksana, 2012). In response to such criticisms, the government of both countries argued that Indonesia-Pakistan anti-terror collaboration on combatting terrorism and abolishing radical and extremism is underway; just because attacks happened does not necessarily mean and "could be concluded that the intergovernmental measures had failed, but rather Indonesia working out the issue here, while Pakistan helps us from there" (I.W. Wicaksana, 2012, p. 263). Ansyaad adds that Indonesia and Pakistan were preparing to organize a deradicalization program by teaching true Islam and moderate Islamic figures to *madrasahs* (Kemham, 2004). Apart from this, the concrete result of the partnership was the capture of one of the most wanted Jemaah Islamiyah military figures, Umar Patek, by Pakistani police on January 25, 2011, which linked to the information about the presence of Osama bin Laden (Oak, 2010).

This effort in combatting religious radicalism gained full support from the Muslim groups. It is necessary to mention that only moderate Muslim groups showed that radical groups such as Jemaah Islamiyah became the mutual enemy and thus needed to be de-radicalized. The concrete achievement from the counterterrorism partnership of the two countries was the creation of a channel to expose "terrorist suspect without formal extradition mechanisms" (I.W. Wicaksana, 2012, p. 264) in which the most wanted Jemaah Islamiyah militant figure was captured in January 2011 and led to information about other most wanted terrorists such as Osama bin Laden (Qureshi, 2018). Hence, cooperation had become more comprehensive and improved in assessing hidden terrorist groups.

Another important issue to discuss between these states is the issue of Kashmir. Since the fall of Soeharto, Indonesia's response toward Muslim-related issues such as Kashmir was hoped to change for the better. However, after including SBY, the presidency seemed to continue the attitude of his predecessors, which remains impartial (I.W. Wicaksana, 2012) as it was due to the tsunami in Aceh that brought the issue slightly hidden. In fact, the Kashmir issue disappeared from Indonesia-Pakistan talks during his visit in 2005 (Yahya, 2004). Similarly, President Musharraf did not discuss the Kashmir problem on a visit to Jakarta in 2007 (Hussain, 2007). There could be some reasons why Pakistan was committed not to talk about it with ASEAN member countries, especially in ASEAN Regional Forum (ARF). Meanwhile, it cannot be avoided that on some occasions, Indonesia has a willingness to be a mediating role for the India-Pakistan dispute, only if they asked. Hassan Wirayuda stated that the unwanted involvement of a third party would only deteriorate the situations (Yahya, 2004). Consequently, Indonesia had no moral duty to interfere in the dispute.

Another reason that Indonesia did want to offend India's position regarding the Kashmir issue was the strategic partnership between the two countries made in 2005. The scheme covered cooperation in defense, economic, and technology sectors (L.P. Singh, 1967). Specifi-

cally, in the SBY era, the relation between India and Indonesia got better, and they viewed each other as a partner in economic development (Yumitro, 2014). Considering the economy is at the top priority, it is unlikely that Indonesia would undertake policies that render India upset, which is to support the Islamic cause in Kashmir.

Just like the issue of Palestine statehood, to facilitate aids for the Kashmir issue, the role of Muslim groups was significant. Kashmir Solidarity Forum (KSF) of Indonesia, unlike the militant groups, did not organize mass rallies to demonstrate their views and feelings about Kashmir. Rather they were actively involved in seminars, discussions, fundraising, and humanitarian purposes (I.W. Wicaksana, 2012). For instance, KSF successfully collected donations worth IDR 15 million in 2006 to help the Kashmiri refugees. In addition, they also worked on information technology to combat terrorism propaganda, such as arrahmah.com, where the Ministry of Communication and Information of Indonesia considered it a radical jihad website. However, this advocacy for the struggle of Kashmiris was not fully heard by the government and thus had insufficient power to influence the foreign policymaking process. The gap and distance between the Muslim groups and the government's aspirations on this particular issue existed. In fact, foreign policy was greatly influenced by the elite's interest, where economic and geopolitical developments were prioritized.

In conclusion, Indonesia and Pakistan came together to combat Islamic extremism. It is fair to say that it was all about the effort of moderate Muslims to demolish radical Muslims' propaganda. Although Indonesia did not specifically express formal Islamic terms in the partnership, the influence of the Islamic component could be noticed. SBY's administration and other Indonesian Muslim groups did not support the concept of terrorism that is linked to Islam. Rather it takes action cautiously when there is adequate evidence to combat terrorism acts. Compared to the Kashmir issue, both the government and the Muslim groups did not show full support as reflected in their foreign policy. It was mostly hindered by the state interest and a diplomatic tie with the two conflicting countries, namely India and Pakistan.

Conclusion

Generally speaking, the influence of Islam has been secondary in Indonesian foreign policy. However, since this article has specifically defined Islam as movements represented by the involvement of the Muslim groups in formulating and shaping foreign policy, there has been a greater influence than it previously was, particularly during the SBY presidency. With the consolidation of democracy, Islam has been given a place to develop domestic and foreign policy. It also confirms that the increased influence of Islam occurs accompanied by Muslim groups' aspirations during the SBY era. In other words, the increase in utilizing Islam in foreign policy can be measured through the involvement of the Muslim groups.

The cases being observed show various results to how much degree Islam influences foreign policy. As per the case of the Palestine-Israel dispute, it can be seen that Islamic

14 | Aisyah Mumary Songbatumis

influence, to some extent, has been found particularly the participation and aspirations of the Muslim groups to prevent the government from establishing diplomatic ties with Israel and even to put pressure that the Palestine issue should be put on the priority list in Indonesian foreign policy. Additionally, the shared aspirations could be found in the views of the Muslim groups ranging from Islamic organizations, political parties, members of parliaments, etc. The effort was based on the Muslim *ummah* solidarity. On the other hand, the government believed that the Indonesian stance for the case aligns with the 1945 Constitution despite its noticeable religious sentiment.

Unlike the case above, in the context of Indonesian foreign policy towards Pakistan, it suggests that the government used Islam to a limited degree. The partnership was mostly based on secular economic and political agendas. Nonetheless, the role of Islam in counter-terrorism partnership could be seen. Both sides agreed that the true Islamic teaching could abolish Islamic radicalism reflected by utilizing *madrasahs* to realize this re-radicalization goal. In this regard, the role of the Muslim groups existed and acted more like the moderate Muslim groups against radical Muslim groups. In contrast, when it comes to the issue of Kashmir, the place of Islam was absent. Although the Muslim group, such as KSF, constantly vocalized the struggle for Kashmir, it did not share a similar view with the government as it was constrained by the fact that Indonesia developed diplomatic relations with both India and Pakistan, especially in economy and trade. As a result, Indonesia did not wish to offend them and deteriorate the situation and chose to stay uninvolved.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Professor Anna Grzywacz and Professor Davud Aslan for the comments and suggestions on the earlier drafts of this article.

References:

- Abdullah, A., & Wekke, I.S. (2018). Origins of Islam in Indonesia. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 119(18), 1149-1179.
- Abdullah, T. (2009). Indonesia towards Democracy. ISEAS.
- Adiong, N.M. (2013). International Relations and Islam. Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
- Ahmad, S. (2014, April 16). *Indonesian political Islam fails again*. The Jakarta Post. http://www.thejakartapost.com/news/2014/04/16/indonesian-political-islam-fails-again.html
- Al-Anshori, M.Z. (2016). The role of Islam in Indonesia's contemporary foreign policy. (*Doctoral dissertation, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand*).
- Ali, M. (2013). Islam in Modern Southeast Asian History. In N.G. Owen (Ed.), Routledge Handbook of Southeast Asian History. Routledge.
- An Naim, A.A. (2008). *Islam and the secular state: Negotiating the future of shari'a*. Harvard University Press.
- Ananta, A., Arifin, E.N., and Suryadinata, L. (2005). Emerging Democracy in Indonesia. ISEAS.
- Antara. (2011, September 20). RI continues supporting Palestine's UN membership bid. AntaraNews.com.

http://www.antaranews.com/en/news/75844/ri-to-continue-supporting-palestines-un-membership-bid

- Antara. (2012, July 5). *Indoesia to prepare road map for international protocol on blasphemy*. AntaraNews. com. http://search.proquest.com/docview/1023433847?pq-origsite=summon&accountid=14782
- Anwar, D.F. (2010). Foreign policy, Islam and democracy in Indonesia. *Journal of Indonesian Social Sciences and Humanities*, *3*, 37-54.
- Arsyad, A. (2017). The significance of peaceful values in global perspective: challenges and hopes. *Jurnal Hadhari*, *9*(1), 1-16.
- Asriani. (2008, December 12). Undang-undang perbankan syariah [the Law of Islamic banking]. VivaNews. http://m.news.viva.co.id/news/read/4893-undang_undang_perbankan_syariah
- Azra, A. (2004). Political Islam in post-Soeharto Indonesia. ISEAS-Yusof Ishak Institute, 133-149.
- Azra, A. (2005). Islam in Southeast Asia: Tolerance and radicalism. CSCI Islamic Issues Briefing Paper Series, 1-23.
- Azra, A. (2006). Indonesia, Islam, and Democracy: Dynamics in a Global Context. Solstice.
- Bacevich, A.J., & Prodromou, E.H. (2004). God is not neutral: Religion and US foreign policy after 9/11. *Orbis*.
- Bandoro, B. (2008). The anchor for Indonesia's foreign global role. The Jakarta Post.
- Barton, G., & Rubenstein, C. (2005). Indonesia and Israel: A relationship in waiting. *Jewish Political Studies Review*, *17*(1/2), 157-170.
- Baswedan, A.R. (2004). Political Islam in Indonesia: Present and future trajectory. *Asian Survey*, 44(5), 678-681.
- Baxter, P., & Jack, S. (2008). Qualitative case study methodology: Study design and implementation for novice researchers. *The Qualitative Report*, *13*(4), 544-559.
- BBC. (2005, September 7). *Indonesia denies receiving "note" from Israel on diplomatic ties.* BBC Monitoring Asia Pacific. http://search.proquest.com.helicon.vuw.ac.nz/docview/460672398?pq-origsite=summon&accountid=14782
- Berg, B.L., & Lune, H. (2012). Qualitative research methods for social sciences. Pearson.
- Berita Kemlu. (2012, March 29). *Imam masjid dan dosen Rusia minta dididik di Indonesia [Russian imams and teachers want to study in Indonesia]*. Berita Kemlu RI.
- Blitt, R.C. (2010). Russia's orthodox foreign policy: The growing influence of the Russian Orthodox Church in shaping Russia's policies abroad. *Paper presented during a Conference on Religion in the Public Sphere at Central European University, Budapest, Hungary on 4-5 June 2010.*
- Bojang, A.S. (2018). The study of foreign policy in international relations. *Journal of Political Sciences and Public Affairs*, 6(4), 1-9.
- Bubalo, A., Phillips, S., and Yasmeen, S. (2011). Talib or Taliban? Indonesian students in Pakistan and Yemen. *Lowy Institute for International Policy*.
- Budianto, L. (2008). RI could become effective Mideast peace broker: Envoy. The Jakarta Post.
- Budianto, L. (2008a). Public diplomacy puts RI back on the world stage. The Jakarta Post.
- Buehler, M. (2009). Islam and democracy in Indonesia. Insight Turkey, 11(4), 53.
- Busse, N. (1999). Constructivism and Southeast Asia Security. The Pacific Review, 12(1), 39-60.
- Clarke, M., & White, B. (1998). Understanding Goreign Policy: The Foreign Policy System Approach. Edward Elgar.
- CNN. (2001, November 1). *Megawati urges end to the US strikes*. CNN U.S. https://edition.cnn.com/2001/US/11/01/ret.retaliation.facts/index.html

Cornell, S.E. (2006). Pakistan's foreign policy: Islamic or pragmatic? In B. Shaffer (Ed.), *The limits of culture: Islam and foreign policy* (pp. 291-324). The MIT Press.

Cornell, S.E. (2012). Changes in Turkey: What drives Turkish foreign policy? *Middle East Quarterly, 19*(1). Croft, S. (2009). Religion and foreign policy. *Renewal, 7*(1).

Delvoie, L.A. (1996). The Islamization of Pakistan's foreign policy. International Journal, 51(1), 126-147.

- DetikNews. (2011, December 1). Jerman ingin belajar soal Islam ke Indonesia [Germany wants to learn Islam from Indonesia].
- Dikici Bilgin, H. (2008). Foreign policy orientation of Turkey's pro-Islamist parties: A comparative study of the AKP and Refah. *Turkish Studies*, *9*(3), 407-421.
- Djadijono, M. (2001). The impeachment of President Wahid and the emergence of Mega-Hamzah leadership. *Indonesian Quarterly*, 29(2), 129-130.
- Djalal, D.P. (2009). The Can Do Leadership: Inspiring Stories from SBY Presidency. R&W Publishing.
- Drajat, B.P. (1999). Skenario diplomasi Presiden Gus Dur [Secnario for President Gus Dur's Diplomacy]. Panji Masyarakat, 13, 21-33.
- Drajat, B.P. (2010). *Diplomasi Luar Negeri ala Gus Dur (Gus Dur Foreign Diplomacy Style)*. Kompas Media Nusantara.
- Effendy, B. (2003). Islam and the State in Indonesia. ISEAS.
- Falahi, Z. (2013). Signifikansi diplomasi Islam moderat era SBY dalam merespon problem keamanan Timur Tengah (Significance of moderate Islam in SBY era in responding to the Middle East issue). Andalas Journal of International Studies, 2(1), 32-52.
- Fanani, A.F. (2012). SBY and the place of Islam in Indonesian foreign policy. (*Master's thesis, Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia*).
- Fardah. (2015, February 22). CEAPAD II to focus on Palestine's economic development capacity building. AntaraNews.com. http://www.antaranews.com/en/news/92811/ceapad-ii-to-focus-onpalestines-economic-development-capacity-building
- Fatah, D.A. (2011). Perkembangan obligasi syariah di Indonesia: Analisis peluang dan tantangan [Development of sharia obligation in Indonesia: Analysis on opportunities and challenges]. *Al-Adalah*, X(1), 35-46.
- Fealy, G. (2006b). Islam and diplomacy in Southeast Asia: Less than it seems. In L. Elliott (Ed.), *Religion, faith, and global politics* (pp. 23-24). RSPAS Australian National University.
- Fealy, G. (2008a). Islam and Human Rights in Practice: Perspectives Across the Ummah. Routledge.
- Fealy, G., & White, S. (2008). *Expressing Islam: Religious life and politics in Indonesia*. ISEAS and RSPAS ANU.
- Flanagan, B.A. (2009). Islamic realpolitic: Two level Iranian foreign policy. *International Journal on World Peace*, 26(4), 7.
- Fogg, K.W. (2015). Islam in Indonesia's foreign policy, 1945-1949. *Al-Jami'ah: Journal of Islamic Studies*, 53(2), 303-335.
- Fox, J., & Sandler, S. (2004). Bringing religion into international relations. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Fuller, G. (1995). A Sense of Siege: The Geopolitics of Islam and the West. Routledge.
- Gindarsah, I. (2012). Democracy and foreign policy making in Indonesia: A case study of the Iranian nuclear issue, 2007-08. *Contemporary Southeast Asia*, 34(3), 416-437.
- Goskoy, I.H. (2002). The policy of the Dutch government toward Islam in Indonesia. *The American Journal* of Islamic Social Sciences, 19(1), 73-94.
- Grzywacz, A. (2020). Indonesia's (inter)national role as a Muslim democracy model: effectiveness and conflict between the conception and prescription roles. *The Pacific Review*, *33*(5), 728-756.

- Hadi, A. (2009). Demokrasi bukan produk barat (Democracy is not a Western product). *Jurnal Diplomasi*, *1*(1), 167.
- Hadiwinata, B., & Agustin, I. (2011). Democracy in Indonesia: Staggering towards consolidation. In W. Hofmeister (Ed.), *A Future of Democracy* (pp. 59-80). Konhard Adenauer Stiftung.
- Hagan, J.D. (1995). Domestic political explanations in the analysis of foreign policy. In L. Neack, J.A. Hey, and P.J. Haney (Eds.), *Foreign policy analysis: continuity and change in its second generation* (pp. 117-144). Prentice Hall.
- Hamka. (1963). Sejarah Umat Islam. Menara.
- Hammond, A. (2018, May 13). *Islam and Saudi foreign policy*. Arab Media Society. https://www.arabmediasociety.com/islam-and-saudi-foreign-policy/
- Haque, S.M. (2003). The revival of realism in international politics after September 11 and its ethical impact. *International Journal of Politics and Ethics*, 3(1), 135-155.
- Haynes, J. (2008). Religion and foreign policy after Soeharto: International pressure, democratization, and policy change. *International Relations of the Asia Pacific*, 8, 143-165.
- He, K. (2007). Indonesia's foreign policy after Soeharto: International pressure, democratization, and policy change. *International Relations of the Asia-Pacific Access*, 1-26.
- Hefner, R.W. (2002). Global violence and Indonesian Muslim politics. *American Anthropologist*, 104(3), 754-765.
- Hendropriyono. (2009, January 17). *Indonesia should do more in Gaza*. The Jakarta Post. http://www. thejakartapost.com/news/2009/01/17/indonesia-should-do-more-gaza.html
- Hermansyah. (2014). Islam and local culture in Indonesia. *Borneo Journal of Religious Studies*, 3(1), 55-66. Hill, C. (2003). *The Changing Politics of Foreign Policy*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hotland, T. (2008). Islam takes lead in Indonesia's post-9/11 foreign policy. The Jakarta Post.
- Huda. (2018, July 22). *The meaning of dawah in Islam*. Learn Religions. https://www.learnreligions.com/ the-meaning-of-dawah-in-islam-2004196
- Hussain, S.R. (2007). Pakistan's changing outlook on Kashmir. South Asian Survey, 14, 195-205.
- Indonesian Foreign Affairs. (2012). Upaya diplomatik/politik: Status Palestina di PBB (Diplomatic/political efforts: Status of Palestine in the UN. *Kemlu (MFA) RI*.
- Indonesian Foreign Ministry. (2005). *Declaration on the New Asian-African Strategic Partnership*. Kemlu (MFA) RI. http://www.kemlu.go.id/Documents/NAASP/Hyperlink%201.pdf
- Indonesian Foreign Ministry. (2011, June 20). *New Asian-African strategic partnership*. Kemlu (MFA) RI. http://www.kemlu.go.id/Pages/IFPDisplay.aspx?Name=RegionalCooperation&IDP=10&P=Reg ional&l=id
- Indonesian Foreign Ministry. (2014, April 14). *Isu Palestina (Palestinian issues)*. Kemlu (MFA) RI. http:// www.kemlu.go.id/Lists/InternationalIssues/DispForm.aspx?ID=15&ContentTypeId=0x0100DF3638 C44EC4274F91993B85D9E15212
- Indraning, T.P. (2012). Global interreligious dialogue: Cultural diplomacy in Indonesia's bilateral religious dialogue policy. *Journal of Social and Cultural Anthropology*, 67-81.
- International Crisis Group. (2007). Paksitan: Madrasas, Extrimism and the Military. Asia Report, 36.
- Islam, S. (2011). Indonesia's rise: Implications for Asia and Europe. European View, 10, 165-171.
- Jackson, R.H., & Sørensen, G. (2007). *Introduction to international relations: Theories and approaches*. Oxford University Press.
- Jackson, R., & Sorensen, G. (2007). *Introduction to International Relations: Theories and Approaches*. Oxford University Press.

- Jakarta Post. (2005, August 25). Susilo to visit US, Palestine in September. The Jakarta Post. http://www. thejakartapost.com/news/2005/08/25/susilo-visit-us-palestine-september.html
- Jakarta Post. (2009, January 2009). Yudhoyono disappointed on response to Gaza crisis. The Jakarta Post. http://www.thejakartapost.com/news/2009/01/17/yudhoyono-disappointed-response-gaza-crisis.html
- Johns, A.H. (1993). Islamization in Southeast Asia: Reflections and reconsiderations with special references and the role of Sufism. *Southeast Asian Studies*, *31*(1), 43-61.
- Jung, D. (2010). Islam as problem: Dutch religious politics in the East Indies. *Review of Religious Research* 51(3), 288-301.
- Katzman, K., & Kerr, P.K. (2015). *Iran nuclear agreement*. FAS. https://fas.org/sgp/crs/nuke/R43333.pdf Kemham. (2004). Desposition of terrorism cases. *Badan Reserse dan Kriminal Kepolisian NKRI*.
- Kemham. (2004). Rapat kerja menteri luear negeri dengan Komisi I Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat RI (Metting between foreign minister and Commission I, DPR RI) 9 December 2004. Deplu (DFA) RI.
- Khalik, A. (2006). Indonesia facing dilemma over its role in Middle Eastern affairs. The Jakarta Post.
- Khan, M.S. (2015). The transformation Turkish foreign policy in the Middle East. *Policy Perspectives*, *12*(1), 31-50.
- Kompas. (2006, May 27). Perwakilan RI di Palestina dijajaki: Indonesia diyakini bisa bujuk negaranegara barat [Indonesia is believed to have the ability to persuade Western countries]. Opgeroepen op May 15, 2020, van Kompas.
- Kompas. (2007, January 9). RI undang tokoh Hamas [Indonesia invites Hamas leader]. Kompas.
- Kutaisha, A.M. (2006). Islam in Malaysian foreign policy under the premiership of Dr. Mahathir bin Mohamad. (Master of Social Sciences in Strategy and Diplomacy, University Kebangsaan Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur).
- Lacey, T. (2009, January 28). *Indonesia, Palestine and the way forward*. Opgehaald van The Palestine Chronicle: http://www.palestinechronicle.com/indonesia-palestine-and-the-way-forward/
- Laffan, M. (2008). Indonesia and the Muslim world: Islam and secularism in the foreign policy of Soeharto and beyond. *Review Book*, 85.
- Leifer, M. (2005). *The Islamic Factor in Indonesia's Foreign Policy: A Case of Functional Ambiguity.* ISEAS.
- Liddle, W. (1996). The Islamic turn in Indonesia: A political explanation. *The Journal of Asian Studies*, 55(3), 613-634.
- Liddle, W. (1999). Indonesia in 1999: Democracy restored. Asian Survey, 40(1), 32-42.
- Liddle, W., & Mujani, S. (2005). Indonesia in 2004: The rise of Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono. *Asian Survey, XLV*(1), 126.
- LKBN Antara. (2006a, August 9). Nahdatul Ulama invites foreign ministers and ambassadors to discuss Middle East conflict. LKBN Antara.
- LKBN Antara. (2006b, January 17). RI prioritizes settlement of the Middle East conflict. LKBN Antara.
- Lukens-Bull, R., & Woodward, M. (2011). Goliath and David in Gaza: Indonesian myth-building and conflict as a cultural system. *Contemporary Islam*, *5*(1), 1-17.
- Machmudi, Y. (2008). Islamising Indonesia: The Rise of Jemaah Tarbiyah and the Prosperous Justice Party (PKS). ANU E Press.
- Maghribi, C. (2007, April 5). *Diplomasi mengabdi RI pada AS [The serving diplomacy of Indonesia to the US]*. Suara Merdeka. http://www.suaramerdeka.com/harian/0704/05/opi04.htm
- Maharani, E. (2014, September 25). UU jaminan produk halal disahkan setelah menunggu depalan tahun [Law on guarantee of halal product was endorsed after waiting for eight years]. Republika

Online. http://www.republika.co.id/berita/nasional/umum/14/09/25/ncg1tk-setelah-8-tahun-ruu-jph-disahkan

Malley, M.S. (2002). Indonesia in 2001: Restoring stability in Jakarta. Asian Survey, 42(1), 124-132.

- Mangkusasmito, P. (1970). Pertumbuhan Historis Rumus Dasar Negara dan Sebuah Proyeksi [Historical Developments of the Basis of State and A Projection]. Hudaya.
- Marsden, L. (2011). Religion, identity and American power in the age of Obama. *International Politics*, 48(2/3), 326-343.
- Marsudi, R.L. (2015). Annual press statement-Ministry foreign affairs of RI. Kemlu (MFA) RI.
- Maruli, A. (2013, June 24). Tantowi Yahya: Saya yang terdepan membela Palestina (Tantowi Yahya: I am in the front for defending Palestine). AntaraNews.com. http://www.antaranews.com/suaraparlemen/berita/381746/tantowi-yahya-saya-yang-terdepan-membela-palestina
- Mayan, M.J. (2001). An Introduction to a Qualitative Methods: A Training for Students and Professionals. International Institute for Qualitative Methodology, University of Alberta.
- Monshipouri, M. (2013). Iran's foreign policy and Islamic ideology. In T. Juneau, & S. Razavi (Eds.), *Iranian foreign policy since 2001: Alone in the world* (pp. 56-69). Routledge.
- Moravcsik, A. (1997). Taking preferences seriously: A liberal theory of international politics. *International Organization*, *51*(04), 513-553.
- Mujani, S., & Liddle, R.W. (2010a). Voters and the new Indonesian democracy. In E. Aspinal, & M. Mietzner (Eds.), *Problems of democratization in Indonesia: Elections, institutions and society* (pp. 75-99). ISEAS.
- Mujani, S., Liddle, R.W., and Ambardi, K. (2011). Kuasa Rakyat: Analisis tentang perilaku memilih dalam pemilihan legislatif dan presiden Indonesia pasca orde baru [Power of the people: Analysis on voters' behavior on legislative and presidential election of Indonesia in the post new order]. Mizan.
- Mulyana, Y. (2011). Indonesia's foreign policy in the reformasi era. RSIS Distinguished Public Lecture, 3.
- Murphy, A.M. (2005). Indonesia and the World. In J. Bresnan (Ed.), *Indonesia: The Great Transition*. Rowman & Littlefield Publisher.
- Murphy, A.M. (2009). Indonesia returns to the international stage: Good news for the United States. *Orbis*, 65-79.
- Murphy, A.M. (2012). Democratization and Indonesian foreign policy: Implication for the United States. *Asia Policy*, *12*, 83-111.
- Nair, S. (2017, December 8). Introducing postcolonialism in international relations theory. E-International Relations Students. https://www.e-ir.info/2017/12/08/postcolonialism-in-internationalrelations-theory/
- Nakamura, M. (2005). Islam and democracy in Indonesia: Observations on the 2004 general and presidential elections. Occasional Publication 6 Islamic Legal Studies Program, Harvard Law School, 2.
- Nasihin. (2012). Sarekat Islam Mencari Ideologi 1924-1945 [Sarekat Islam was in search of ideology in the period of 1924-1945]. Pustaka Pelajar.
- Noor, F.A. (2008). The Uncertain Fate of Southeast Asian Students in the Madrasa of Pakistan. In F.A. Noor, Y. Sikand, and M.V. Bruisessen (Eds.), *The Madrasa in Asia*. Amsterdam University Press.
- Oak, G. (2010). Jemaah Islamiyah's fifth phase: The many faces of a terrorist group. *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, 33(11), 989-1018.
- OANA. (2006, July 23). President RI prepared to "do anything" for peace in the Middle East. Organization of Asia-Pacific News Agencies.
- Paddock, R.C. (2001). Indonesian president urges halt to U.S. bombing of Afghanistan. Los Angeles Times.

- Pande, A. (2010). Foreign policy of an ideological state: Islam in Pakistan's international relations. (PhD Degree), Boston University, Ann Arbor.
- Perdana, A., & Friawan, D. (2007). Economic crisis, institutional changes and the effectiveness of government: the case of Indonesia. CSIS Working Paper Series, Centre for Strategic and International Studies, 9.
- Perwita, A.A. (2007). Indonesia and the Muslim World: Islam and the Secularism in the Foreign Policy of Soeharto and beyond. NIAS Press.
- Perwita, A.B. (1999). Islam "symbolic politics", democratization and Indonesian foreign policy. *Centro Argentino de Estudios Internacionales*, 1-28.
- Philpott, D. (2002). The challenge of September 11 to secularism in international relations. *World Politics*, 55(1), 66-95.
- Porter, D.J. (2002). Managing Politics and Islam in Indonesia. RoutledgeCurzon.
- Purwadi, D. (2012, February 26). Indonesia galang dukungan untuk Palestina (Indonesia sought support for Palestine). Republika Online. http://www.republika.co.id/berita/nasional/umum/12/02/26/ lzzwvs-indonesia-galang-dukungan-untuk-palestina
- Puspitasari, I. (2010). Indonesia's new foreign policy: Thousand friends-zero enemy. IDSA Issue Brief, 2.
- Putnam, R.D. (1988). Diplomacy and domestic politics: The logic of two-level games. *International Organization*, 42(3), 427-460.
- Qureshi, A. (2018, March 5). *Pakistan-Indonesia historic relations*. The Fortress. https://thefortress.com. pk/pakistan-indonesia-historic-relations/
- Rahdiansyah, D. (2009). Arah politik luar negeri Indonesia pasca Pemilu 2009 (Indonesia's foreign policy direction after 2009 General Election. *Tabloid Diplomasi no. 23 year II*, 17.
- Rahman, M.T. (2014). Indianization of Indonesia in an Historical Sketch. *International Journal of Nusantara Islam*, 56-64.
- Rajagukguk, H.R. (2009). Sikap kritis parlemen terhadap kebijakan luar negeri Indonesia dalam kasus resolusi DK PBB tentang isu nuklir Iran [Critical stance of the parliament on Indonesia's foreign policy: The case of the UNSC resolution on the Iranian nuclear issue]. (MA Master thesis) University of Indonesia, Jakarta. (MA Master thesis).
- Ramadhan, S. (2012, December 16). Parlemen Indonesia dan Palestina jalin hubungan bilateral (Indonesian Parliaments and Palestine established bilateral relations. Suara Islam. http://www. suara-islam.com/read/index/6105/Parlemen-Indonesia-dan-Palestina-Telah-Jalin-Hubungan-Bilateral

Ramage, D.E. (1995). Politics in Indonesia: Democracy, Islam, and the Ideology of Tolerance. Routledge.

Ramage, D.E. (1995). Politics in Indonesia: Democracy, Islam, and the Ideology of Tolerance. Routledge.

Ricklefs, M.C. (2008). A History of Modern Indonesia since c. 1200. Palgrave Macmillan.

- Sabri, M. (2012). Presiden Tersandera: Melihat dampak sistem presidensial-multipartai terhadap relasi presiden-DPR di masa pemerintahan SBY-Budiono (The hostaged president: Observing presidential-multi party system towards the president and the parliament relations. RMBooks.
- Saraçoğlu, C., & Demirkol, Ö. (2014). Nationalism and foreign policy discourse in Turkey under the AKP rule: Geography, history and national identity. *British Journal of Middle Eastern Studies*, 42(3), 301-319.
- Saravanamutu, J. (2010). *Malaysia's foreign policy: The first fifty years: Alignment, neutralism, Islamism.* ISEAS.
- Schmid, A.P. (2017). Moderate Muslim and Islamist terrorism between denial and resistance. *International Centre for Counter Terrorism The Hague*, 1-28.
- Sejarah Islam. (2015, July 12). Islam dan politik luar negeri masa reformasi (Islam and foreign policy

during transition era). Academia. https://www.academia.edu/19529125/Islam_dan_Politik_Luar_ Negeri_Masa_Reformasi

- Sihbudi, R. (1997). Indonesia Timur Tengah: Masalah dan Prospek [Indonesia-Middle East: Problems and Prospects]. Gema Insani Press.
- Sihbudi, R. (1999). Controversy over Indonesia-Israel relations. Jakarta Post.
- Singh, B. (2004). The challenge of militant Islam and terrorism in Indonesia. Australian Journal of International Affairs, 58(1), 47-68.
- Singh, L.P. (1967). Dynamics of India-Indonesia relations. Asian Survey, 7(9), 655-66.
- Smith, A.L. (2000). Indonesia's foreign policy under Abdurrahman Wahid. Contemporary Southeast Asia, 22(3), 498-526.
- Sprinz, D.F., & Wolinsky, Y. (2002). Cases, Numbers, Models: International Relations Research Methods. University of Michigan: http://www.sscnet.ucla.edu/polisci/faculty/trachtenberg/syllabi%2Clists/ harvard/moravcsik%20(sprinz%20wolinsky).pdf
- Stake, R. (1995). The Art of Case Study Research. SAGE Publications.
- Steinberg, D.J. (1987). In Search of Southeast Asia. Praeger Publisher.
- Suhardjono, L.A. (2016). Wayang Kulit and the growth of Islam in Java. Humaniora, 7(2), 231-241.
- Sukarjaputra, R. (2006). Peran negara Islam di tengah konflik Iran [the role of Islamic states in Iranian conflict]. Kompas.
- Sukma, R. (1999). Indonesia-China: The Politics of a Troubled Relationship. Routledge.
- Sukma, R. (2004). Islam in Indonesian Foreign Policy. RoutledgeCurzon.
- Sukma, R. (2006). Indonesia and the tsunami: responses and foreign policy implications. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, 60(2), 218-220.
- Sukma, R. (2009a). Indonesia politics in 2009: Defective elections, resilient democracy. Bulletin of Indonesian Economics Studies, 45(3), 317-336.
- Sukma, R. (2009b). Indonesian politics in 2009: Defective elections, resilient democracy. Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies, 45(2), 328.
- Sukma, R. (2010). Debating Indonesia's global role. The Jakarta Post.
- Sukma, R. (2011). Soft power and public diplomacy: The case of Indonesia. In S.J. Lee, & J. Melissen (Eds.), Public diplomacy and soft power in East Asia (pp. 91-115). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Sulistiyanto, P. (2010). Indonesia-Australia relations in the era of democracy: The view from the Indonesian side. *Australian Journal of Political Science*, 124-125.
- Sumanto, M. (2012). Sejarah Peradaban Islam Indonesia [The History of Islamic Civilization in Indonesia]. Rajawali Pers.
- Suryadinata, L. (2007). Indonesia's Foreign Policy. Marshall Cavendish Academic.
- Suryadinata, L. (1996). *Indonesia's foreign policy under Suharto: Aspiring to international leadership.* Times Academic Press.
- Taliaferro, J.W., Lobell, S.E., and Ripsman, N.M. (2009). Neoclassical Realism, the State, and Foreign Policy. Cambridge University Press.
- Tan, P.J. (2007). Navigating a turbulance ocean: Indonesia's worldview and foreign policy. Asian Perspective, 1(3), 147-181.
- Tan, S.S., & Acharya, A. (2004). Asia Pacific Security Cooperation: National Interests and Regional Order. Routledge.
- Tanuwijaya, S. (2010). Political Islam and Islamic parties in Indonesia: Critically assessing the evidence of Islam's political decline. *Contemporary Southeast Asia*, 32(1), 29-49.

- TEMPO. (2005, April 25). Konflik Kashmir susah diatasi. TEMPO: https://majalah.tempo.co/read/ luar-negeri/110993/konflik-kashmir-susah-diatasi
- Thomas, S.M. (2005). *The global resurgence of religion and the transformation of international relations: The struggle for the soul of the twenty-first century.* Palgrave Macmillan.
- Tribunnews. (2013, June 19). Tantowi minta maaf terkait kunjungan ke Israel (Tantowi apologized with regard to his visit to Israel). Tribun Nasional. https://www.tribunnews.com/nasional/2013/06/19/ tantowi-minta-maaf-terkait-kunjungan-ke-israel

Ummah, S.C. (2012). Akar radikalisme Islam di Indonesia (the roots of Islamic radicalism in Indonesia). *Humanika*, *12*, 112-124.

- Wahid, A. (1999). Islam, Negara, dan Demokrasi [Islam, State, and Democracy]. Erlangga.
- Wallace, W. (1971). Foreign Policy and the Political Process. Macmillan.
- Warning, M., & Kardas, T. (2011). The impact of changing Islamic identity on Turkey's new foreign policy. *Alternative Turkish Journal of International Relations*, 10(2-3).
- Waskita, F. (2013, June 12). Golkar tidak tahu kunjungan Tantowi ke Israel (Golkar does not know Tantowi's visit to Israel). Tribun Nasional.
- Weber, M. (2007). Constructivism and Critical Theory. Cambridge University Press.
- Whbee, M. (2008). RI's role in Mideast peace process. The Jakarta Post.
- Wicaksana, I.W. (2012). Islam and Indonesia's foreign policy, with special focus on Jakarta-Islamabad relations. *unpublished doctoral thesis, the University of Western Australia*.
- Wicaksana, W. (2007). The constructivist approach towards foreign policy analysis. Airlangga University.
- Williams, M.S. (2017). Indonesia, Islam and the International Political Economy: Clash or Cooperation? Routledge.
- Willis, J.W., Jost, M., and Nilakanta, R. (2007). *Foundation of Qualitative Research: Interpretive and Critical Approaches.* SAGE Publications.
- Wirajuda, H. (2008). End of Year Press Statement. Indonesia's Minister of Foreign Affairs.
- Wirajuda, H. (2009). Menlu RI: Proyeksi sistem nilai demokrasi dalam diplomasi Indonesia (Indonesia's Minister of Foreign Affairs: Projection of democracy and diplomacy values system in Indonesia). *Tabloid Diplomasi no. 24 tahun II*, 4-7.
- Wirajuda, M.H. (2014). The impact of demoratisation on Indonesia's foreign policy: regional cooperation, promotion of political values, and conflict management. (*Unpublished doctoral dissertation, London School of Economics, London*).
- Woodward, M. (2008). Indonesia's religious political parties: Democratic consolidation and security in post-New Order Indonesia. *Asian Security*, 4(1).
- Xinhua. (2006, February 1). *Indonesia says little chance to open ties with Israel*. Xinhua News Agency. http://search.proquest.com/docview/452494991?pq-origsite=summon&accountid=14782
- Xinhua. (2007, march 31). *Indonesia refuses attempt to resort to military in Iranian nuclear problem*. Xinhua News Agency. http://news.xinhuanet.com/english/2007-03/31/content_5918986.htm
- Yahya, F. (2004). Pakistan, SAARC and ASEAN Relations. Contemporary Southeast Asia, 26(2), 345-75.
- Yani, Y.M. (2012, May 14). Change and continuity in Indonesian foreign policy. Perpustakaan Unpad. http://pustaka.unpad.ac.id/wpcontent/uploads/2010/01/change_and_continuity_in_indonesia_foreign_policy.pdf
- Yin, R.K. (2003). Case study research: Design and methods (Third ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Yin, R.K. (2003). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods (Third Edition)*. SAGE Publications.
- Yudho, N.F. (2006). Presiden: Silahkan bantu Lebanon secara rasional: Lebanon sambut positif

bantuan Indonesia [please help Lebanon rationally: Lebanon positively accepts Indonesia's assitance]. Kompas.

- Yudhoyono, S.B. (2005). An Independent and Active Foreign Policy for the 21st Century. In I.C. Affairs (Ed.), Transforming Indonesia: Selected International Speeches (pp. 390-395). Gramedia.
- Yudhoyono, S.B. (2005a, August 16). State of the Union Address to the Indonesian Parliament. Wiki-Source – Pidato Kenegaraan Presiden RI. https://id.wikisource.org/wiki/Pidato_Kenegaraan_Presiden_Republik_Indonesia, 16_Agustus_2005
- Yudhoyono, S.B. (2008). Statement by President of the Republic of Indonesia, speech delievered at the 11st Summit of the Organization of the Islamic Conference. *Dakar, Senegal.*
- Yudhoyono, S.B. (2009). Indonesia and America: A 21st Century Partnership, speech delivered at a USINDO Luncheon, Washington DC.
- Yumitro, G. (2014). Reactualization of Indonesia-India bilateral relations. Jurnal Hubungan Internasional, 3(1), 52-57.