MICHAŁ NÉMETH Jagiellonian University, Cracow michal.nemeth@gmail.com

# A NORTH-WESTERN KARAIM MANUSCRIPT FOUND IN LUTSK – A CASE OF DIALECT MINGLING?\*

Keywords: Karaim dialects, critical edition, dialect mingling, dialectal affiliation

## Abstract

The question of dialect mingling in Karaim has been raised by several authors. We know that there was continual contact between members of most Karaim communities during at least the last three centuries, but we know little about the intensity of the discussed phenomenon. Manuscripts reflecting the spoken language serve as our only source of knowledge. One must, however, be careful when editing them since not every manuscript that contains linguistic material referring to more than one Karaim dialect is to be treated as proof of dialect mingling. The present paper presents a critical edition of a Karaim manuscript written in 1868 which contains both north- and south-western elements, and aims to answer the question whether this document can be treated as a relevant example of dialect mingling.

## 1. Preliminary remarks

The document edited in this paper belongs to a modest but significant collection of Western Karaim manuscripts stored in Warsaw (in private hands)<sup>1</sup> and is held there under the catalogue number  $43^{II}$ . Most of the materials edited to date from

<sup>\*</sup> I would like to express my sincere thanks to Anna Sulimowicz (Warsaw), who provided me with access to the manuscript. I am also very grateful to Mariola Abkowicz (Wrocław/ Poznań), Adam Dubiński (Warsaw), Mariusz Pawelec (Opole) and, again, Anna Sulimowicz (Warsaw) for their help in tracing back a number of important facts concerning the sender and addressee, and their family members' biography. Finally, I am also indebted to Adam Dubiński (Warszawa) for making available to me a typewritten draft of Dubiński (1985).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. Dubiński (1985).

this collection (in Németh 2010 and 2011) were written exclusively in south-western Karaim. The only exception in this group of manuscripts is the document analysed in Németh (2010), in which we find four fragments written in two dialects: two paragraphs in south-western Karaim and the other two in the north-western dialect with eastern Karaim elements. All these paragraphs are the work of four different and unknown authors.

Similarly to the document mentioned above, the text presented in this paper exhibits some instances of dialect mingling – it contains a number of evident southwestern features even though it was written by a north-western Karaim speaker. Fortunately, we know the identity of the author as he signed the document. The manuscript is a private letter.

What makes the above somewhat more complex is that Mardkowicz (1933: 7–8) published a transcription of the present manuscript in which he altered most of the north-western Karaim characteristics in such a way to appear as if they had been written in his native south-western dialect. In our commentaries attached to the linguistic material we have therefore additionally made a comparison between the original manuscript and Mardkowicz's edition (letter III in his article).

Mardkowicz's decision to alter the linguistic features of the manuscripts he read should be explained as an attempt to compile exemplary linguistic material as a model to be followed by what was then a new generation of Karaim speakers. In Németh (2009) we presented a detailed description of this practice and interpreted it as a key aspect of the Karaim language purism movement characteristic of the interwar period.

## 2. General description of the manuscript

The cream-coloured sheet is folded into two halves and thus consists of four pages. The letter itself is written on the first two  $175 \times 220$  mm pages in Karaim semicursive script (based on Hebrew script). The text of the letter is partly vocalised, clearly legible, written in light grey ink and in one hand. It was composed in Odessa on 17 July 1868, i.e. 29 July 1868 according to the Gregorian calendar.

## 3. The author's and the addressee's identities

Unlike some of the other Karaim letters written in the same period as the one currently being edited, we know the exact name of the author. He is *Jehoszafat* son of *Zacharja Kapłanowski*,<sup>2</sup> a citizen of Odessa at the time the letter was written. He was born in Trakai around 1813, and died probably in Odessa after 1886 (see below).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We have cited the personal names of Karaims in contemporary Polish orthography. We do so first of all because this practice is widespread in Karaim studies and, secondly, so as to avoid the question of whether to transcribe, transliterate or translate first names of Hebrew origin as well as bypass the dilemma of whether to Anglicise the first names and surnames or instead to

From the present letter we know that he was already living in Odessa and dealing with the community's financial affairs in 1868. His father, Zacharia the son of Izaak Kapłanowski (born 1759, died 1831 in Trakai) used the title of rabbi and judge, had five sons, all of whom were born in Trakai. Worth mentioning is the fact that one of the author's brothers was Boaz (or Bogusław) Izaak Kapłanowski (born around 1814, died 1898 in Trakai) - the first to officiate as the hakham of Trakai (from 1863 on). The entire Kapłanowski family was living in Trakai until at least 1834, i.e. the year when they were listed in the census record list (Ревизская сказка) prepared between 21st and 28th May (see AGKŁ). The author was registered there as a 21 year-old married man, the father of a six year-old Josif who later, in 1869 opened his own photographer's shop in Trakai before he eventually moved to Warsaw. Apart from these details our knowledge of the life of Jehoszafat Kapłanowski is fairly scant. What we do know is that in 1872 he signed Izaak ben Solomon's posthumously published Or ha-Levanah (אור הלבנה, printed in Zhytomyr) as a member of the Karaim community of Odessa, and that in 1886 he also published there a 50 page-long prayer book entitled (in present-day Russian orthography) Друг людей: Нравоучение караим. юношеству с приведением текстов великих писателей священ. книг (publishing house: Типография Францова), see Omelčuk (2006: item nr. 23226). The year 1886 is the last date attested in his biography.

The addressee of the letter, *Icchak* the son of *Zarach Bezikowicz*, was born around 1807 and died after 1872. He lived in Lutsk and officiated there as the *gabbai*, i.e. treasurer. He appears in the census record list prepared in Lutsk in 1834 as a 26 year-old married man and a father of two daughters. The census prepared 24 years later (see AGKŁ) informs us that he had seven children – four daughters and three sons. Those sons of his who are worthy of mention here are *Zarach* (born 1835) and *Mordechaj* (born 1842), who later also became Odessan citizens. In 1904 *Mordechaj* composed a letter from Odessa on paper with a printed letterhead stating the following: *Maza3uHv 3azpa-huчHoŭ oбувu 3. Безиковича въ Одессъ, Екатеринин. ул., д. Вагнера. Фирма сущ. съ 1860 г.* (see Németh 2011: 235). This would mean that the shoe shop was most probably established by his brother *Zarach*, who, *nota bene*, also signed the above-mentioned copy of *Or ha-Levanah* from 1872, and was listed there as an Odessan citizen, too.

#### 4. Transcription

In the transcription we attempted to reconstruct the phonetic level of the letter's content. However, we have marked the palatality of consonants in north-western forms only where it is phonologically relevant. South-western forms are transcribed in the way they would probably have been pronounced in Lutsk Karaim. The Slavonic interpolations are transcribed according to their original sound. The Hebrew fragments embedded in the Karaim text are transliterated and quoted in italic letters.

transcribe them on the basis our phonetic transcription. We have put forward our arguments in favour of a Polish-orthography-based presentation of proper names in Németh (2011: 19).

Hebrew abbreviations are explained in the commentaries. The translation of the whole text, including all Hebrew fragments, is provided in chapter 5. We have noted the differences between Mardkowicz's reading and the original text in every case where Mardkowicz's system of transcription clearly points to a different reading. In other words, we show where our transcription differs and not where our transcription systems are different. This means that discrepancies which would not have been reflected anyway in Mardkowicz's article are not noted separately. For instance, he did not note the palatality of *k* in front of *-e-*, which means that *kenesa* in Mardkowicz's article equals *kenesa* in our transcription.

## Page 1

- [1]  $bh^{3}$
- [2] 1868. juł⁴ 17. ph b'wdss'
- [3] 'hwby ydydy km<sup>'5</sup> yṣḥaq hgb'y, bn kmhr<sup>6</sup> zrḥ hnbwn bzyqwwyṣ zl<sup>7</sup>
- [4] wkl hqhl hqdwš dy Łucka!<sup>8</sup>
- [5] Burunhu bitigiń kahałnyn 18. podpisba<sup>9</sup> kabuł etip, yštyrdyχ<sup>10</sup> aχča<sup>11</sup>
- [6] 300. rubeł da ijďik kawodunuzha. r<sup>12</sup> Jeszua Szemoel jazdy ki kabuł etti oł
- [7] axčany.<sup>13</sup> Ekińči biťik keľďi mana kahałyjyzdan<sup>14</sup> 5. podpisba ki üľašťiľar<sup>15</sup>
- [8] oł üč<sup>16</sup> juź<sup>17</sup> rubełny, da jazyłhan Kimlarga,<sup>18</sup> da Ki beraxa berildi qq<sup>19</sup> Adeśniń<sup>20</sup>
- [9] da tabu eťaďľar<sup>21</sup> *ndr*ľaŕi<sup>22</sup> üčuń,<sup>23</sup> da ki dowerennost ijiľďi. Bu bary jaxšy<sup>24</sup>

<sup>4</sup> א: *ijuł*. The lack of a word-initial *aleph* points to a reading with *j*-.

- <sup>5</sup> יכמעי: The abbreviation stands most probably for Hebr. במעלת 'honourable sir' or, perhaps, כְבוֹד מְנוּתָתוֹ עֵדָן 'the honourable repose of which is Eden' (for the latter interpretation see Munkácsi (1909: 187) and Németh (2011: 344)).
- 6 יבמהר׳ An abbreviation of Hebr. במהר׳ קבוֹד מַעֲלֵת 'honourable sir and the Rabbi'.
- זֹל : An abbreviation of Hebr. זְּבְרְבָה 'may his memory be blessed; of blessed memory'.
- <sup>8</sup> The Hebrew heading was translated by Mardkowicz (1933: 7) in these words: 1868 jitda, 17 ijulda. Adeste. Siwerim k. m. Jicchak oł gabbaj, uwłu ribbi Zarachnyn oł akyłłynyn, Bezikowicz da bar oł aziz dzymat Łuckada. The translation is faithful to the original.
- <sup>9</sup> פּוֹרְפּיס הָא: The writing follows the spelling of Pol. *podpis* 'signature' and Russ. *nodnucb* id. even though the actual pronun-

ciation reflects *-tp-* in both cases. See also this word repeated in line 7 below.

- <sup>10</sup> M[ardkowicz]: *ystyrdyk*.
- <sup>11</sup> M: *achca*.
- יבי 'n: An abbreviation of Hebr. רְבָּ' sir' or רְבָּ' 'rabbi'.
- <sup>13</sup> M: achcany.
- <sup>14</sup> M: kahałynyzdan.
- <sup>15</sup> M: *iliśtiłer*.
- <sup>16</sup> M: *ic*.
- <sup>17</sup> M: *jiz*.
- <sup>18</sup> M: kimłerge.
- <sup>19</sup> לֹקֹלָ: An abbreviation standing for Hebr. קהלה קדוֹשָה 'Holy Community'. Repeated also in line 16. M: kahałyna.
- <sup>20</sup> M: Adesnin.
- <sup>21</sup> M: etedler.
- 22 יבדרלרי: Hebr. יוֹי נֶדֶר 1. solemn promise; 2. sacred donation' used with the plural and possessive suffix. The word is repeated in line 22. M: *nederleri*.
- <sup>23</sup> M: *icin*.
- <sup>24</sup> M: jachsy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> בֹּה An abbreviation of Hebr. בְּשֶׁזְרַת הַשֵּׁם 'with the Lord's help'.

- [10] kyłynhan da jazyłhan. Da anyn üčuń<sup>25</sup> ki bahasyz<sup>26</sup> har ńerśańi<sup>27</sup> kyłma waxtynda
- [11] da kołajły. To hanuz biźńiń<sup>28</sup> kahałda yštyryłhan<sup>29</sup> axčadan<sup>30</sup> 62. rub. *ksp*<sup>31</sup>
- [12] 20. rub. berd'ik Łuckadan keľgań<sup>32</sup> karajłarha,<sup>33</sup> rl<sup>34</sup> Hugelge<sup>35</sup> Sułtańskiha<sup>36</sup>
- [13] da öźgaľaŕińa,<sup>37</sup> hocaałyx<sup>38</sup> ketma<sup>39</sup> jołłaryna. 7 rub. bołdu hocaa,
- [14] a kałhan 35. rub. ijamiń<sup>40</sup> kołuja<sup>41</sup> kawodujnun ałej<sup>42</sup> ńečik<sup>43</sup> gabbajnyn. Daha<sup>44</sup>
- [15] ijamiń<sup>45</sup> 45. rub. kołuja<sup>46</sup> kawodujnun, kajsy ayčany<sup>47</sup> ijdi mana *r'š hhkmym*<sup>48</sup>
- [16] Nachamo Babowicz ndr<sup>49</sup> pogoŕeľecľar<sup>50</sup> üčuń<sup>51</sup> yštyryłhan<sup>52</sup> qq<sup>53</sup> Akjarnyn rl
- [17] Sewastopolda. Isanamen<sup>54</sup> ki kawoduj rast baharsyn<sup>55</sup> ki bary kyłynhej<sup>56</sup> dohrułuyba,<sup>57</sup>
- [18] Ki Kišiga d'e<sup>58</sup> bołmahej<sup>59</sup> krywda [~ kriwda]<sup>60</sup> ülaśmakta<sup>61</sup> axčany.<sup>62</sup> Zatym<sup>63</sup> kabuł etip bu
- [19] śekśań<sup>64</sup> rubełny, jazarsyz<sup>65</sup> kahałdan bir bitik r'š hhkmym Babowiczka<sup>66</sup> ałłatadohon<sup>67</sup>
- <sup>25</sup> M: *icin*.
- <sup>26</sup> M: bahasiz.
- <sup>27</sup> M: nerseni.
- <sup>28</sup> M: *biźnin*.
- <sup>29</sup> M: ystyryłhan.
- <sup>30</sup> M: achcadan.
- <sup>31</sup> יבסף: Hebr. יבסף '1. silver; 2. money'. The word was used in Lutsk Karaim, too (cf. Németh (2011: 295, s.v. *kesef*). M: kesef.
- <sup>32</sup> M: kełgen.
- <sup>33</sup> קראי לרגא: Suffixes indicating the plural dative case are attached to Kar. *karaj* 'Karaim' < Hebr. אָרָאָים id. (a plural form of קָרָאָ 'biblical scholar, Bible teacher, reader of Scriptures; Karaite', cf. Alcalay III 2336).
- <sup>34</sup> רוֹצָה לוֹמַר. An abbreviation of Hebr. רוֹצָה לוֹמַר 'it means'.
- <sup>35</sup> M: Hugelge.
- <sup>36</sup> M: Sułtanskijha.
- <sup>37</sup> M: eźgełerine.
- <sup>38</sup> M: hocaałyk.
- <sup>39</sup> M: ketme.
- <sup>40</sup> M: *ijemen*.
- <sup>41</sup> M: *kołuna*.
- 42 M: ałaj.
- <sup>43</sup> M: *necik*.
- <sup>44</sup> M: *daby*. Pro *dahy*. A misprint.
- <sup>45</sup> M: *ijsmen*. Pro *ijemen*. A misprint.
- <sup>46</sup> M: kołuna.
- <sup>47</sup> M: achcany.
- <sup>48</sup> ראש הַחְכָמִים 'chief hakham'. The plural is used for expressing

esteem. M: *rosz hachamim. Hakham* among Karaims is the highest spiritual leader.

- <sup>49</sup> נְדָר: Hebr. גָּדֶר: solemn promise; 2. sacred donation'. M: *neder*.
- <sup>50</sup> M: pogorelecter.
- <sup>51</sup> M: *icin*.
- <sup>52</sup> M: ystyryłhan.
- <sup>53</sup> M: kahał kodeszinde.
- <sup>54</sup> We postulate a clear south-western reading here even though the personal ending could also be deciphered as *-myn*. We believe that there is no need or indeed any real possibility to postulate a hybrid form reflecting south-western and northwestern features, as e.g. *\*isanamyn*  $\leftarrow$  KarL. *isanamen*  $\leftrightarrow$  KarT. *išanamyn*.
- <sup>55</sup> M: baharsen.
- <sup>56</sup> M: kyłynhaj.
- <sup>57</sup> M: duhrułukba.
- <sup>58</sup> M: kisige de.
- <sup>59</sup> M: bołmahaj.
- <sup>60</sup> Russ. κρυβ∂a 'untruth, injustice', Ukr. κρυβ-∂a id. M: krywda.
- <sup>61</sup> M: *ilismekte*.
- <sup>62</sup> M: achcany.
- <sup>63</sup> Ukr. *затим* 'subsequently; afterwards', Ross. *затем* id.
- <sup>64</sup> M: seksen.
- <sup>65</sup> M: *jazarsiz*.
- <sup>66</sup> M: Babowiczke.
- <sup>67</sup> M: anłatadohan. Etymologically, we would expect -nł-. The -nł- > -łł- change is a result of assimilation or hypercorrectness, cf. the dissimilation of -łł- > -ηł- in north-western

- [20] kí Aďešťa<br/>ń<br/>é\* kabuł eťťijiź<br/>é\* 335. rub., a  $qq^{7\circ}$  Akjarnyn 45. rub., bary mé<br/>nim71 kołum
- [21] ašyra<sup>72</sup> da tabu eťaŕśiź<sup>73</sup> xaxamha<sup>74</sup> kyłhan jaxšyły xłary<sup>75</sup> üčuń.<sup>76</sup>

#### Page 2

- [22] Ekińči bitik jazarsyz<sup>77</sup> qq Akjarnyn, tabu eťa<sup>78</sup> ndrľafi üčuń.<sup>79</sup> Üčuńču<sup>80</sup>
- [23] bitik jazarsyz<sup>81</sup> mana ki kabuł ettijiź<sup>82</sup> 80. rubełny, da jazynyz keľďimo axča<sup>83</sup>
- [24] öźga<sup>84</sup> kahałłardan, da ńefekli<sup>85</sup> kajsy kahałdan. Tolajiź<sup>86</sup> jazuwčuha<sup>87</sup> bu aγčadan<sup>88</sup>
- [25] Ki jaxšy<sup>89</sup> jazhej<sup>90</sup> biťikľar<sup>91</sup> χaxam Babowiczka,<sup>92</sup> da Akjarha. Da bérijiź<sup>93</sup> beraxa
- [26] ałarha. Kerak<sup>94</sup> bołma wźenčny ałarha kimlar<sup>95</sup> kyładłar<sup>96</sup> jaxšyłyx,<sup>97</sup> to –
- [27] ekińčide for jayšyłyyka98 można99 spoźewacetma.100 Akjarha adresni jazyjyz101
- [28] kahałnyn adyna: Sewastopol'skomu karaimskomu obščestwu.<sup>102</sup> A Goźlavaga<sup>103</sup>
- [29] jazynyz: χaχamu<sup>104</sup> Babowiczu. Hali ałłatamyn<sup>105</sup> kawodunuzha ńe<sup>106</sup> üčuń<sup>107</sup> jazamyn<sup>108</sup> -

Karaim, e.g. *ułłu > uŋłu*. (Kowalski 1929: xxxII). Cf. footnotes 105, 139.

- <sup>68</sup> M: Adesten.
- <sup>69</sup> M: ettiniz.
- <sup>70</sup> M: translation missing.
- <sup>71</sup> M: *menim*.
- <sup>72</sup> M: asyra.
- <sup>73</sup> M: etersiz.
- <sup>74</sup> וחכם גא: Hebr. יחכם גא: huse, learned man; 2. hakham (rabbi)' used with the Karaim dative case suffix *-ha*. M. *chachamha*.
- <sup>75</sup> M: jachsyłykłary.
- <sup>76</sup> M: *icin*.
- 77 M: jazarsiz.
- <sup>78</sup> M: ete.
- <sup>79</sup> M: *icin*.
- <sup>80</sup> M: *icińci*.
- <sup>81</sup> M: *jazarsiz*.
- <sup>82</sup> M: ettiniz.
- <sup>83</sup> M: *achca*.
- <sup>84</sup> M: *eźge*.
- <sup>85</sup> M: netekli.
- <sup>86</sup> M: tełeniz.
- <sup>87</sup> M: *jazuwcuha*.
- <sup>88</sup> M: achcadan.
- <sup>89</sup> M: jachsy.
- <sup>90</sup> M: jazhaj.
- <sup>91</sup> M: bitikłer.
- <sup>92</sup> M: Babowiczke.
- <sup>93</sup> M: beriniz.

- <sup>94</sup> M: kerek.
- <sup>95</sup> M: kimłer.
- <sup>96</sup> M: kyładyłar. Mardkowicz probably replaced the word with its less colloquial variant. The non-abbreviated form would be kyładyrłar.
- <sup>97</sup> M: jachsyłyk.
- <sup>98</sup> M: jachsyłykka.
- <sup>99</sup> : The word-final *hōlām* tells us that the word should be interpreted as the Russ. *можно* being transliterated and therefore the word-final vowel should be read as -*a*. M: *mozna*.
- <sup>100</sup> M: spodiewacetme.
- <sup>101</sup> M: *jazynyz*.
- יסַינוּסְטוּפּוּלְסְכוֹמוּ קארימסכוֹמוּ אוֹבְשְצֵיסְטָווּ Russ. Севастопольскому караимскому обществу 'to the Association of Karaims in Sevastopol'.
- <sup>103</sup> The Trakai Karaim name (not attested yet) of Yevpatoria. M: *Gezłewge*. In KRPS (p. 684) the Crimean Karaim form *Kozlüv* is noted (s.v. *Kosnÿ*β).
- <sup>104</sup> הרכמו: KarT. *xaxam* 'Karaim spiritual leader' with the Russian dative case ending. M: *chachamu*. Cf. footnote 74.
- <sup>105</sup> M: *anłatamen*. Cf. our commentary attached in footnote 67.
- <sup>106</sup> M: ne.
- <sup>107</sup> M: *icin*.
- <sup>108</sup> M: jazamen.

- [30] kawodunuzha tiwił χazzanha? Meń<sup>109</sup> sahyš<sup>110</sup> eťamiń,<sup>111</sup> ńečik<sup>112</sup> kuvdu<sup>113</sup> juvlari<sup>114</sup> Karaimlarnin<sup>115</sup>
- [31] Łuckada, hali kahał čyχtyłar<sup>116</sup> yšwbłarha,<sup>117</sup> to bołmast Kimga<sup>118</sup> Kelma<sup>119</sup> Kenesaha
- [32] da miśkińlik sartyn bołmasty kimga<sup>120</sup> tolama<sup>121</sup> xazzanha ki oł tefiłła<sup>122</sup> etkej.
- [33] A zatym yštyrynyp<sup>123</sup> kahał sahyšeťijiź<sup>124</sup> može<sup>125</sup> kyłarsyz<sup>126</sup> bułej,<sup>127</sup> ki bu 80. rubełdan
- [34] χοć<sup>128</sup> ńeťekľiďe<sup>129</sup> bereśiz<sup>130</sup> χazzanha, ki ťefiłła<sup>131</sup> eťkej kenesada ki kapałmahej<sup>132</sup>
- [35] Kenesa, bu<sup>133</sup> Kenesa kapałsa, to kahał artyk sanałmast kahałba. Zatym kyłyjyz<sup>134</sup>
- [36] akyłyjyzha<sup>135</sup> Koŕa<sup>136</sup> ńečik<sup>137</sup> jaxšyrax<sup>138</sup> da mana de ałłatynyz<sup>139</sup> ńe<sup>140</sup> kyłdynyz, bo meń<sup>141</sup>
- [37] dostunuz da yšr'l,<sup>142</sup> kľajmiń<sup>143</sup> ki kahał bołhej<sup>144</sup> kahałba.
- [38] Bitikli śoźlańi<sup>145</sup> karandašyjyznyn.<sup>146</sup> w'tm šlwm wkl hqhl hqdwš
- [39] šlwm lmgdwl w'd qtn: dwrš twb lyšr'l, yhwšpt bkr<sup>447</sup>
- [40] *zkryh hgbyr whdyn zl qplnwwsky*<sup>148</sup>
- <sup>109</sup> M: men.
- <sup>110</sup> M: sahys.
- <sup>111</sup> M: etemen.
- <sup>112</sup> M: necik.
- <sup>113</sup> M: *kiwdi*.
- <sup>114</sup> M: *jiwłeri*.
- <sup>115</sup> M: karajłarnyn.
- <sup>116</sup> M: cyktyłar.
- <sup>117</sup> ישובלרגא 'settlement, inhabited place, inhabited land' in Karaim plural and used with the Karaim dative case suffix. M: *isuwlarha*.
- <sup>118</sup> M: kimge.
- <sup>119</sup> M: kełme.
- <sup>120</sup> M: kimge.
- <sup>121</sup> M: tełeme.
- <sup>122</sup> Cf. *ťefiŋła* in Kowalski (1929: 261).
- <sup>123</sup> M: ystyrynyp.
- <sup>124</sup> M: sahys etiniz.
- <sup>125</sup> M: moze.
- <sup>126</sup> M: kyłarsiz.
- <sup>127</sup> M: bułaj.
- <sup>128</sup> M: choc.
- <sup>129</sup> M: neteklide.
- <sup>130</sup> M: *berisiz* pro *beresiz*. A misprint. Here, we postulate a clear south-western reading since probably this was the intention of the author.
- <sup>131</sup> M: tefiła.

- <sup>132</sup> M: kapłamahaj.
- <sup>133</sup> M: bo. The word-final shūrūq (1-) is clearly visible.
- <sup>134</sup> M: *kyłynyz*.
- <sup>135</sup> M: akyłynyzha.
- <sup>136</sup> M: kere.
- <sup>137</sup> M: necik.
- <sup>138</sup> M: jachsyrak.
- <sup>139</sup> M: anłatynyz. Cf. our commentary in footnotes 67 and 105.
- <sup>140</sup> M: ne.
- <sup>141</sup> M: men.
- <sup>142</sup> ישראל 'Israel'. M: Jisraeł.
- <sup>143</sup> M: kłejmen.
- <sup>144</sup> M: *bołhaj*.
- <sup>145</sup> M: sezłeri.
- <sup>146</sup> M: karyndasynyznyn.
- <sup>147</sup> יבכר׳: The abbreviation most probably stands for Hebr. גָן בְּבוֹד רַבִּי 'the son of the honourable Rabbi'.
- <sup>148</sup> The last two sentences and the signature were translated by Mardkowicz (1933: 8) into south-western Karaim in these words: Bitikli sezłeri karyndasynyznyn da siźge bazłyk da bar oł aziz dzymatka bazłyk ułłudan kicigedejin. Kłewci jachsyny jisraełlikke. Jehosafat uwłu ribbi Zacharjanyn oł gewirnin da oł danjannyn Kapłanowski. The translation is faithful to the original.

## 5. Translation

We tried to follow the Karaim syntax as strictly as possible. However this was, naturally, not always possible. Therefore, the line numbers in the translation serve merely as guidelines for the reader. Additions in square brackets serve the purpose of facilitating the reading and contain fragments that are not present in the source text. The equals signs in square brackets introduce explanations. Alternate translations are indicated with a tilde, also enclosed in square brackets.

## Page 1

- [1] With the Lord's help<sup>149</sup>
- [2] 17<sup>th</sup> July 1868, here, in Odessa
- [3] My beloved friend, honourable sir *Icchak* the *gabbai*, the son of the honourable sir and the wise Rabbi *Zarach Bezikowicz* of blessed memory
- [4] and the whole holy community of Lutsk!
- [5] After we had received the first letter of the community with 18 signatures, we collected
- [6] 300 roubles and sent [those] to you. Sir *Jeszua Szemoel* wrote that he received that
- [7] money. The second letter from your community arrived to me with 5 signatures [informing] that those three hundred roubles had been divided
- [8] and [letting me also know] among which persons [had the money been divided], and [informing me] that blessings are given [~ greetings are sent] to the holy community of Odessa
- [9] and thanks [are sent] for the sacred donation, and [informing] that the authorization had been sent. All this is well
- [10] done and written, also since you take care of each affair to be done in time
- [11] and appropriately. So, additionally, from the money collected in our community, from 62 silver roubles, we gave
- [12] 20 roubles to [those] Karaims that came from Lutsk, i.e. to Hugel, Sultański
- [13] and others, for their travel expenditures. There were 7 roubles in expenditures
- [14] and the remaining 35 roubles I send to your hands as the gabbai. Additionally
- [15] I send 45 roubles to your hands, which money was sent to me by the chief hakham
- [16] Nachamo Babowicz<sup>150</sup> as a sacred donation for the victims of the fire, collected by the holy community of Akjar,<sup>151</sup> i.e.

<sup>150</sup> Tauride and Odessian hakham in the years 1855–1879. Born 1799, died 1882 (see Eljaševič II 9).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149</sup> בְּשָׁזְרַת הַשֵּׁם 'with the Lord's help' used usually in the collocation בֹא 'with the Lord's help, we shall achieve and succed!'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup> אָקײַאר: The Crimean Karaim name of Sevastopol.

- [17] in Sevastopol. I believe that you will properly take care [of the money] in order that everything be done justly
- [18] in order not to let anyone suffer an injustice when dividing the money. Subsequently, after you have received these
- [19] eighty roubles, write from [= in the name of] your community a letter to the chief hakham *Babowicz* explaining
- [20] that you have received 335 roubles [sent] from Odessa, and 45 roubles [sent] from the holy community of *Akjar*, all via my hands,
- [21] and [in this letter] you will thank the hakham for all the good [he had] done.

## Page 2

- [22] You will write another letter to the holy community of *Akjar* expressing your thanks for [their] sacred donation. A third
- [23] letter you will write [informing] me that you have received the 80 roubles and write whether the money [sent]
- [24] from the other communities has arrived, and how much [arrived] from which [= each] community. Pay the scribe from this [amount of] money
- [25] in order to write the letters well to hakham *Babowicz* and to *Akjar*. And give blessings [~ send greetings]
- [26] to them. One must be appreciative of those who do good deeds, then
- [27] another time one may expect good deeds, too. Address [the letter to] Akjar to
- [28] the name of the community: Sevastopol'skomu karaimskomu obščestvu<sup>152</sup>.
   And [the letter to be sent to] Kozlüv<sup>153</sup>
- [29] write [= address] to hakham *Babowicz*. Now I [will] explain to you why I write
- [30] to you [and] not to the hazzan. I wonder: when [= after] the houses of Karaims [had] burned down
- [31] in Lutsk, the [members of the] community left to [other] settlements,<sup>154</sup> so there will be no one who could come to *kenesa*
- [32] and because of the poverty there will be no one to pay for the hazzan to say a prayer.
- [33] So when [the members of] your community assemble, consider whether you would do it this way: [whether you would] give from these 80 roubles
- [34] at least some amount to the hazzan, in order to let him pray in the *kenesa* [and] not to close
- [35] the *kenesa*; if this *kenesa* closes, then the community will no longer count as a community. Thus act
- [36] according to your reason, as well as possible, and inform me what you did, because I

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>152</sup> יַסָיווּ קארימסכוֹמוּ אוֹבְשְצֵיסְטוֹפוֹלְסְכוֹמוּ קארימסכוֹמוּ אוֹבְשְצֵיסְטווּ: Russ. *Севастопольскому караимскому обществу* to the Association of Karaims in Sevastopol'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> The Crimean Karaim name of Yevpatoria, see KRPS 684.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>154</sup> Perhaps the use of Hebr. ישוב 'settlement, inhabited place, inhabited land' in the sentence suggests that the author of the letter points to non-native Karaim settlements.

- [37] am a friend of yours and of the whole Karaim community,<sup>155</sup> I want the community to be a community.
- [38] [These were] the written words of your brother, and peace to you and to the entire holy community,
- [39] peace to both great and small: the one who seeks [= wishes] good for the whole Karaim community, *Jehoszafat*, son of the honourable Rabbi
- [40] Zacharja Kapłanowski, master and judge of blessed memory

## 6. Commentaries and conclusions

### General grammatical and orthographic features

The language of the edited text reflects a rather good command of Karaim. It contains a relatively small number of Slavic loanwords or calques. As an example of the latter see e.g. *bołmasty kińga toľańa* 'there will be no one to pay', cf. Russ. *не будет кому платить* or Pol. *nie będzie komu płacić* id. The Karaim expression follows word for word its Slavonic counterpart, including the use of the dative case in *kińga*.

The spelling used in the document is quite regular; the few sporadic irregularities are insignificant, cf. e.g. the word *kawodunuzha* 'to you' noted as בבודונוזגא, כבו־ and אבבודונוזגא, כבו־ in lines 6, 29, and 30, or the word *bifik* 'letter' written three times as [7, 22, 23]<sup>156</sup> and once as <sup>157</sup>[19]. The main spelling rules do not differ markedly from those seen in Lutsk Karaim texts presented in Németh (2011: 101–105).<sup>158</sup> In fact, we can safely state that there are no considerable differences between the "standard" orthography (i.e. the set of the most commonly used rules) applied in Lutsk in the 19<sup>th</sup> century and the "standard" orthography used by (at least some of the) authors from Trakai in this period – at least as far as the handwritten texts are concerned.

Case suffixes and, if followed by a case suffix, the plural suffix are occasionally written separately from the stem, e.g. קראי, *podpisba* 'with signature' [5], קראי, *karajłarha* 'to Karaims' [12], לרגא *karajłarha* 'to Karaims' [12], קראי, *Sewastopoľda* 'in Sevastopol' [17]. This practice is well known from Crimean Karaim texts and also from Lutsk Karaim manuscripts (cf. e.g. Jankowski 1997: 5, 2009: 23; Németh 2011: 125).

Palatal consonants are not denoted with separate diacritics. The only palatal and non-palatal consonant pair which is fairly consistently distinguished is *k* and *k*.

ישראל :The use of this word in this context remains not entirely clear to us. The proposed translation (*Israel* referring to the whole Karaim community) seems the most probable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>156</sup> Numbers in square brackets indicate the line number the respective form is attested in.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>157</sup> The use of the word-initial variant of *kaph* word-finally is a rare but still known phenomenon observed in manuscripts written in Karaim semi-cursive, see Németh (2011: 103, 110).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>158</sup> The only additions to the description in Németh (2011) are, firstly, that the word-initial ö- is written using the letters *aleph*, *yodh*, and *waw* (איו), while the letters *aleph* and *waw* (אוו) are used to render the word-initial ü-, and, secondly, that in the texts edited in our previous work (2011) there is no example of noting ź with *daleth*, *zayin* and a diacritic mark similar to the cantillation sign called *geresh* above it, i.e. with *secondly*, sec. אין *spoźewacetma* 'to expect' [27] and 'i w*źenčny*' appreciative' [26].

The first one is denoted with *koph* (ק) – with the sole exception of כולום *kołum* 'my hand' [20]. Its palatal counterpart is denoted with *kaph* (כ), cf. eg. אוּלַשְמַכ טָא *ülăśmakta* 'when dividing' [18] כוּבְדוּ *kuvdu* 'burned down' [30].

Worth mentioning are those words which are seemingly exceptions to this rule. First of all, since in the word-final position k cannot be palatalized, the notation of this consonant in this position may vary. Thus we find ביטיב ~ ביטיב bitik 'letter' [19, 7, respectively], or ניציב ~ ניציב ~ ניציב [30, 36, respectively] in the text. This phenomenon is also known from Lutsk Karaim manuscripts. Secondly, the rule described above concerns only native words. In loanwords the notation is not so strict, cf. e.g. kawodunuzha 'to you' noted as כבודונוזגא Hebrew orthography, or sewastopolskomu and karaimskomu written in line 28 as consonant clusters, occasionally not all consonants were palatalized as a result of consonant harmony; this depended on one's idiolect. Seen in this light, the notation consonant harmony; this depended on one's idiolect. Seen in this light, the notation the to the treated as an exception, either.

#### Dialectal affiliation of the linguistic data by means of orthographic analysis

We claim that the letter was written in north-western Karaim not only because the author had his roots in the community of Trakai, but also because even though a number of words could be read in up to three different ways, i.e. as though they had been written in the north-western, south-western, or even in the eastern dialect of Karaim, the clear and regularly applied orthographic rules presented below ultimately disambiguate the transcription and allow us to postulate a north-western reading in every seemingly ambiguous instance.

First of all, *a* is always noted in the word-final position with *aleph*, while wordmedially it is never written *plene*<sup>159</sup> – with the sole exception of words of Hebrew origin in which the author follows the original spelling. Secondly, this, juxtaposed with the notation of *e*, which is always noted in these positions with *yodh* or with *yodh* preceded by *tzere*, allows us to postulate KarT. '*a* in every position where there would be a corresponding *e* in the south-western (and eastern) dialect – except for the first syllable, where \**e* remains *e* in north-western Karaim.<sup>160</sup> Thirdly, the letters *shin* and *samekh* are used regularly to distinguish between š and *s*, respectively, which allows us to reject the south-western reading of those words in which etymologically KarL. *s* = KarT. š.

As a consequence, the regularity of the spelling allows us to draw reliable conclusions regarding the phonetic level of the text and to make a thorough comparison of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>159</sup> There is no trace of any distinction between writing *-a-* with *aleph* and *-'a-* (i.e. an *-a-* after palatalized consonants) with vowel signs only, which is the case in J. Lobanos's translation of the Book of Lamentations prepared in 1929 (Zajączkowski 1934: 187).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>160</sup> We encountered one instance of an irregular notation of *e*, and that is in the word-initial position: the word *etKej* is written as איטכיי and איטכיי in lines 32 and 34, respectively. This, however, has no impact on the dialectal affiliation of the text, since, as we mentioned, \**e* remains *e* in all three dialects in the first syllable.

MICHAŁ NÉMETH

the linguistic material, in order to dispel any doubt as to the dialectal affiliation of the author's native tongue. Below, the phonetic, morphophonemic and morphologic features of the letter's language are grouped into six categories:

The largest group comprises words the writing of which allows for three possible ways of transcription, i.e. theoretically we are unable to assign them to dialectal subgroups. Without giving multiple examples unnecessarily, let us refer here only to מינים [20] which may cover KarT. *menim*, KarL. *menim* and KarC. *menim* 'my' and 'irr' [6] which simply stands for Kar. [= KarTLC.] *jazdy* 'he wrote'.

The second largest group features exclusively north-western-type words. It seems important to mention that items assigned to this group are only slightly outnumbered by the group of words listed first (see table 1). This shows that north-western elements clearly predominate in the manuscript. We can safely say that based on the following sifting criteria reflected distinctly by the writing:

- 1) the \*e > 'a change in the front-vowel environment (except the first syllable):
  - a. in the present tense marker, e.g. in אײַמִין *ijaḿiń* 'I send' [14, 15], סגיש איטמין sahyš eťaḿiń 'I wonder' [30];
  - b. in personal endings, e.g. אולשטילר *ülaštilar* 'they divided' [7], טבוּ איטדלר *tabu etadlar* 'they thank' [9];
  - c. in case suffixes, e.g. בימלרגא גולִשְׁמַכ יָטָא kiḿlaŕga 'to whom (pl.)' [8]; אוּלַשְׁמַכ טָא װגֹלשׁמַכ יָא װגֹלשׁמַכ יָא װוּלַשָּמַכ אוּג makta 'when dividing' [18];
  - d. in the plural suffix, e.g. בימלרגא *kimlaŕga* 'to whom (*pl.*)' [8]; איוזגלרינא *öźgalaŕińa*<sup>161</sup> 'to others' [13];
  - e. in the deverbal nominal suffix -ḿa, e.g. בֵּילְמָא keľḿa [31];
  - f. in the \*-*e* converb marker, e.g. חבו איטא *tabu eta* 'expressing thanks' [22]; see also argument no. 11) below;
- 2) the \**aj* > *ej* change:
  - a. in the optative mood marker in קַפַּלְמַגַ*י kapałmahej* 'may not be closed' [34], which is the only fully vocalised optative mood form; see 14b) below;
  - b. in בולי *bułej* 'this way, in this manner' [33];
- 3) the \* $\eta > j$  change:
  - a. in the 2<sup>nd</sup> pl. imperative mood marker, e.g. יזיי*i jazyjyz* 'write' [27], טיוֹלִייִי *toľajiź* 'pay' [24];
  - b. in the 2<sup>nd</sup> pl. possessive suffix, e.g. קהלייזדן *kahałyjyzdan* 'from your community' [7], אקילייז גא *kyłyjyzha* 'to your reason' [36];
  - c. in the 2<sup>nd</sup> sg. possessive suffix, e.g. כבודויי *kołuja* 'to your hands' [14], כבודויי *kawoduj* 'you, sir' [17];
- 4) the *-adohon*<sup>162</sup> present participle ending used in the converbial meaning in *אללטדוגון ałłatadohon* 'explaining' [19]; cf. KarL. *-adohac* converb marker and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>161</sup> The initial *ö*-, as such, testifies against a south-western reading. In light of the *-a-* in the subsequent syllables, however, the form cannot be read in an eastern Karaim manner, either.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup> We have not referred here to all possible suffix variants if it was not necessary; these can easily be checked in the grammars.

*-adohan* present participle marker (see e.g. Zajączkowski 1931: 29); eastern Karaim lacks this suffix (see e.g. Prik 1976: 121–124);

- 5) the -*ḿiń* personal ending, e.g. in *אַיַמִין ijaḿiń* 'I send' [14, 15], סגיש איטמין sahyš eťaḿiń 'I wonder' [30]; for further details see 14a) below;
- 6) word forms characteristic of western Karaim that also include northern features, e.g. יחשירק *jaxšyrax* 'better' [36] the comparative suffix *-rax* is not used in eastern Karaim (Prik 1976: 84) and both *-x* and *-š* point to northwestern phonetics, cf. KarL. *jaksy* id.; *kuv* 'to burn', e.g. in לוּבְרָשׁׁׁׁׁ (burned down' [30], cf. KarL. *kiv-* 'to burn', KarC. *küj-* id. (Aqtay I 642); *heŕša* 'affair, thing' [10], cf. KarL. *nerse* id., Crimean Karaim lacks this word; *tabu eť-* 'to thank', e.g. in *עובו אנטא מניהלע לניי tabu eťa* 'expressing thanks' [22], cf. KarL. *tabu eťe* id.; *wiwću* 'third' [22], cf. KarL. *ićińći* id. and KarC. *üčünžü* id., see also argument no. 14d); *yštyr-* 'to collect' attested e.g. in *yštyrdyx* 'we collected' [5], cf. KarL. *ystyrdyk* id.

Thirdly, the manuscript contains numerous elements which bear phonetic and morphologic features that suggest a non-eastern Karaim origin, i.e. they point to a western Karaim form overall. Additionally, this group includes a couple of lexical items alien to eastern Karaim and shared by western dialects. Here we should mention:

- 7) the present optative mood marker (KarT. -*hej* and KarL. -*haj* with alternating variants), e.g. הפילה איטכיי *tefiłła etkej* 'may he pray' [32]; this suffix does not exist in eastern Karaim (see e.g. Prik 1976: 145; Aqtay I 42);
- 8) the -dłar abbreviated 3<sup>rd</sup> pl. personal ending (< -dyłar < -dyrłar) in the present tense forms, e.g. קילדלר kyładłar '(lit.) they act' [26], אטדלר נabu eťadľar 'they thank' [9]; this type of verb-shortening is alien to eastern Karaim as the 3<sup>rd</sup> singular and plural personal endings lack the -dyr component (see e.g. Prik 1976: 128–129; Aqtay I 38);
- 9) the -*t* and -*ty* abbreviated 3<sup>rd</sup> sg. personal endings (< -*tyr*) in the negated future tense forms, e.g. בוּלםט *bolmast* 'it will not be' [31]; such abbreviated forms do not exist in eastern Karaim for the same reason mentioned in 8) above (see e.g. Prik 1976: 138–139; Aqtay I 40); see also 22) below;
- 10) the -ba instrumental case suffix, e.g. קהל בָא kahałba '(lit.) with the Karaim community' [35, 37], פּוֹדְפָיס בָא podpisba 'with signature' [5]; eastern Karaim lacks this suffix, cf. KarC. bilen ~ ilen ~ blen ~ bilan 'postp. with; together with' (see e.g. Prik 1976: 151–152; Aqtay I 36) and the KarC. comitative and instrumental case suffix (or clitic) -lan ~ -len (Aqtay I 36);
- 11) the *'a* converb marker, e.g. תבו איטא *tabu eta* 'expressing thanks' [22]; this form of converb is rarely found in eastern Karaim, and when used, it usually appears in double constructions (see Prik 1976: 122);
- 12) the enclitically used *mo* interrogative particle attested in בילדימו *keľďimo* 'whether it arrived' [23]; it is not characteristic of eastern Karaim, cf. KarC. *my* ~ *mi* used in this role (see e.g. Prik 1976: 157; KRPS 408; Aqtay I 467–468);
- 13) the genitive form of the 1<sup>st</sup> pl. personal pronoun: ביזנין *biźńiń* 'us' [11], cf. KarL. *biźin ~ biźńin* id. and KarC. *bizim* id. (Prik 1976: 107; Aqtay I 550);

- 14) the reading is ambiguous but suggests a non-eastern Karaim form in the following cases:
  - a. מין: if not vocalised, the 1<sup>st</sup> sg. personal ending written in this way might reflect all possible western-Karaim variants, i.e. KarT. -*miń* ~ -*myn* and KarL. -*men*; see, however, argument no. 5) above for a vocalised example; in eastern Karaim the personal ending -*m* is used in this meaning;
  - b. "ג- and "ג-: written this way, the optative marker with a zero suffix indicating a 3<sup>rd</sup> sg. personal ending can stand for all suffix variants that can be attached to voiced word-ending in the western dialects, namely KarT. -*hej* (~ -*haj*) ~ -*ģej* and KarL. -*haj* ~ -*gaj* ~ -*hyj* ~ -*ģej* ~ -*hej*; see, however, 2a) above for a vocalised example; this suffix is absent from eastern Karaim;
  - c. וּבְעוֹ: the derivative suffix building *nomina actoris* written thus can be read both as KarT. *-uwču* and KarL. *-uwcu* in *יווּבְעוּנָא jazuwčuha* 'to the scribe' [24]; in the eastern dialect this suffix has, however, high non-labial vowels, i.e. the word in question sounds *jazyvčy* in Crimean Karaim (see KRPS 217);
  - d. נצי: this kind of notation of the ordinal number suffix can be read both as KarT. -*ńči* and KarL. -*ńći* in *ekińči* 'second' [7, 22], but definitely not as KarC. -*nži*, since the letter *tzade* would be an unusual notation of *ž* in that dialect (see Sulimowicz 1972: 43–44; Jankowski 1997: 4), cf. KarC. *ekinži* id. (KRPS 657; Prik 1976: 89);
- 15) words absent from the eastern Karaim lexicon or highly untypical of it:
  - a. native words: ביטיק *bifik* 'letter' [7, 22, 23], *oł* '1. he; 2. that', cf. KarC. *o* id. (KRPS 423; Prik 1976: 96, 99);
  - b. Polish or Ukrainian loanwords: אָטָ חוֹז עָסָל 'at least' [34] < Pol. choć id., יוֹז može 'perhaps' [33] < Pol. może id., Ukr. може id., קפוֹד יוֹנַצִיטְקָא spoźewacetma 'to expect' [27] < Pol. spodziewać się id. (used with karT. et- 'here: auxiliary verb' with the deverbal nominal suffix -ma), יעל אַלָּרָאָנָי אָלָר 'wźenčny 'appreciative' [26] < Pol. wdzięczny id.;</li>
  - c. other loanwords: for 'times (expressing multiplication)' « Pers. J. bār 'time, turn'; kabuł et- 'to receive' < Ar. נער איטטי (used with karT. et- 'here: auxiliary verb'), e.g. in קבול איטטי kabuł etti 'he received' [6], קהל kahał 'Karaim community' [31, 33, 35, 37] < Hebr. קהל 'congregation, community', קהל '(with possessive suffixes) sir, sire; you (expressing esteem)' [6, 14, 15, 17, 39, 30] < Hebr. בבוד 'honour, splendour, glory';</li>
- 16) the inversed order in izafets characteristic of western Karaim, cf. ביטיגין קהל נין *bitiģiń kahałnyn* 'the letter of the Karaim community (acc.)' [5].

Fourthly, there is a group of words whose spelling allows us to assign them, based solely on phonetic arguments, to both north-western and eastern Karaim. South-western Karaim reading can be easily refuted in the following cases:

 17) reflexes of \*ü noted with the letter waw, which may stand for ü or u, e.g. אוצון üčuń '(postp.) 1. for; 2. about' [9, 10, 16, 21, 22, 29], זוי juź 'hundred' [8]; in south-western Karaim we should expect *i* in this position (always noted with yodh), as a result of the \*ü > i change; cf. KarC. učun ~ üčün id. (KRPS 587; Levi 1996: 27, s.v. для; Aqtay I 724) and KarC. *jüz* ~ *juz* id. (Levi 1996: 100, s.v. *cmo*; Aqtay I 752);

- 18) reflexes of \*š noted with shin, e.g. אַשִירָא ašyra '(postp.) via, through' [21], יחשי jaxšy 'good' [25]; in south-Western Karaim we should expect s in this position, which is usually, but not exclusively, noted with samekh; still, as we mentioned above, the distinction between s and š is highly regular in this manuscript; cf. KarC. ašyra id. (KRPS 93), KarC. jaxšy id. (KRPS 241);
- 19) the word written as מֵירְסַזְ in line 19, which can theoretically be deciphered both as KarT. śekśań 'eighty' and KarC. seksan id. However, a Crimean reading is less possible in light of the letter kaph, which is very regularly used in our letter for palatal k (cf., however, kołum 'my hand' in line 20 noted as כוֹלוּם).

All of the four groups mentioned thus far do not provide evidence against a northwestern Karaim reading. Even if in a number of cases a south-western or eastern reading could theoretically also be possible, the great preponderance of Trakai Karaim features and the biography of the author make a north-western reading in these cases the natural and logical choice.

There are, however, certain words that deserve particular attention since they include evident non-north-western features. Among these elements there are two forms which are undoubtedly of south-western-type, three words which are more characteristic of the south-western than the eastern Karaim lexicon, and some morphologic features that are unquestionably non-north-western. This group is based on an observation of the following features:

- 20) the \*- $\eta$  > -n- change (as opposed to \*- $\eta$  > -j- in Trakai Karaim):
  - a. in the 2<sup>nd</sup> pl. imperative mood marker: אללטיניז *ałłatynyz* 'inform (*imperat*. 2.pl.)' [36], יזיניז *jazynyz* 'write' [23, 29];
  - b. in the 2<sup>nd</sup> pl. possessive suffix in the following forms: לסטונוז *dostunuz* 'your friend' [37], גא ~ כבודונוזגא ~ כבודונוזגא kawodunuzha 'to you' [6, 29, 30, respectively];
  - c. in the dative form of the 1<sup>st</sup> singular personal pronoun מנא *mana* 'to me' [7, 15, 23, 36];
  - d. in the 2<sup>nd</sup> plural personal ending in a past tense form: קילדיניז *kyłdynyz* 'you did' [36];
- 21) the \*-e- > -e- change in the present tense marker: in the word ביריסיז bereśiz 'you give' [34]; yodh cannot stand for -a- which would be expected in north-western Karaim;<sup>163</sup>
- 22) the -ty abbreviated 3<sup>rd</sup> sg. personal ending (< -tyr) in the negated future tense form בו־ bołmasty 'it will not be' [32] and קנַלְמַסָט sanałmast 'it will not count (as)' [35]; this process of abbreviation does not exist in eastern Karaim for the same reason

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>163</sup> It is also possible that the letter *yodh* in this position is used in the role of a diacritic mark noting palatality in KarT. *beraśiź* id. This role of *yodh* has been described by (Zajączkowski 1934: 184ff.) and Németh (2011: 124). However, this would be the only case in this manuscript for such an orthographic role of this letter, which would be surprising in light of the great number of palatalized consonants not noted this way.

as mentioned in 9) above (see e.g. Prik 1976: 138–139; Aqtay I 40); additionally, in north-western Karaim the variant  $-t (\ll -tyr)$  tended to be used in this role;

- 23) the lack of *shin* for -š- in the word איסנמין *isanamen* 'I believe' [17];
- 24) two words of Hebrew origin more characteristic of the south-western dialect of Karaim: ברכה beraxa 'blessing' [8, 25] < Hebr. בָּרְכָה 'blessing, benediction; greeting'; הוצאה hocaa 'expenditure' ~ ליק hocaalyx 'expenditures' (with the -lyx derivational suffix forming nomina abstracta, see Zajączkowski 1932: 29–31)' [13] < PBHebr. הוֹצָאָה 'expenditure, expenses'; these words have thus far been attested for south-western Karaim only; cf. KarL. beraxa id. (Grzegorzewski 1916–1919: 289; KRPS 151), KarT. berakat' id.<sup>164</sup> (Kowalski 1929: 167; KRPS 113), KarC. bereket id. (Levi 1996: 12, s.v. благословение) and KarL. hocaa id. (KSB 26; KRPS 125), respectively; KarT. berakat' id. and KarC. bereket id. seems to be of Arabic origin, cf. Ar. אוליגע beraka' blessing, benediction' (pl. -āt);
- 25) the word *יוויל tiwił* 'not' attested in line 30, which is typically south-western in type (see KSB 64; KRPS 524), cf. KarC. *digil* ~ *dugul* ~ *dügül* id. (KRPS 181, 182; Levi 1996: 55; Aqtay 576), KarT. *tuśul*' id. (KRPS 568);
- 26) the word דְלָא daha 'additionally' [14] is known to us only from eastern and, to lesser degree, also from south-western Karaim sources (see Aqtay I 567; Németh 2011: 275).

We did not encounter any linguistic data that would point exclusively to eastern Karaim. Hence, the latter group tends to suggest a south-western influence.

	KarC.	KarCT.	KarT.	Kar.	KarTL.	KarL.	KarLC.
% of total word forms	0%	4.5%	22%	54.5%	13%	3%	3%
% of total word forms as an argument in favour of one particular dialect	KarC. 0%			.rT. ŀ%		-	nrL. %

The approximate size of the abovementioned groups is as follows:

Table 1.

The issue of dialect mingling

The question of dialect mingling in Karaim has been mentioned by several authors up till now (see e.g. Kowalski 1929: x, xI, XIX, XL; Dubiński 1968: 215), and is still awaiting its own separate detailed study. We know that contact between members

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>164</sup> In Józefowicz (2008: 46, s.v. *błogosławieństwo*) we find KarT. *beraxa* id., but without noting the source of this data.

of most Karaim communities was constant during at least the last three centuries, but we know little about the intensity of the discussed phenomenon. Manuscripts reflecting the spoken language serve as our only source of knowledge in this case. One must, however, be careful when editing them, since not every manuscript that contains linguistic material referring to more than one Karaim dialect is to be treated as proof of dialect mingling.

This is the case, for instance, with the manuscript edited in Németh (2011: 249–261), which is preserved in the same private collection under the catalogue number 51<sup>II</sup>. Though written by a south-western Karaim speaker, it contains a number of eastern Karaim elements. The reason for this, however, is that the author conveyed the content of another letter sent from the Crimea and quoted it extensively. He tended to alter the phonetic shape of the words to make them sound south-western Karaim. However, many Crimean lexemes that are not characteristic of that dialect remained in the text unchanged. Putting these facts together, we can hardly claim that the language of that letter is a sample of a dialectally mixed text.

When we take a closer look at the south-western elements in the edited manuscript we can see that most of them appear in those fragments in which the author addresses his words directly to the recipient of the letter. This is especially conspicuous in lines 22–23, 29–30, 36–37. It seems, then, that the author wrote his letter in north-western Karaim but wanted, at the same time, to make it sound somewhat more south-western Karaim and for this purpose used, somewhat inconsistently, a few Lutsk Karaim interpolations. As a result of this inconsistent practice we can find such pairs of north- and south-western elements as e.g. *jazyjyz* [27] vs. *jazynyz* [23, 29] or *kawoduj* [14, 15, 17] vs. *kawodunuz* [6, 29, 30].

Such a scenario seems all the more possible as we know that the author left Trakai at the age of 21 at the earliest, settled down in Odessa, and thus must have known the addressee's sons or even the addressee himself. It is hard to imagine that a small group of Karaims in such a town as Odessa would not have known each other in person. This also means that the author was familiar with the sound differences between his own and the south-western dialect.

Consequently, we believe that the language of the letter cannot be treated as a relevant example of dialect mingling.

#### 7. Glossary

a, b, b, c, ć, č, č, d, ď, đ, z, ź, ž, ž, e, f, g, ģ, h, h, χ, i, j, k, k, l, ł, ľ, m, m, n, ń, o, ö, p, ṗ, r, ŕ, s, ś, š, š, t, ť, ŧ, u, ü, v, ѵ, y, z, ź, ž

#### a) Appellatives

```
a 'and, and besides' (Slav.) א [14, 28, 33]
ad 'name' → POSS.3.SG, DAT: אַדִינָא [28]
adres 'address' (Slav.) → ACC: אַדְרֵיסְנִי [27]
אחצא דן [11, 24]
```

- akył 'reason, sense; mind' ♦ POSS.2.PL, DAT: אקילייז גא [36]
- ałar 'they' DAT: אלרגא [26]
- ałej 'so, in a such way' אליי [14]
- ałłat- 'to explain' ♦ PRAES.1.SG: אללטמין [29] ♦ IMPERAT.2.PL: אללטיניז[36] ♦ CONV: אללטדוגון[19]
- artyk (with negative verb) 'any more' ↔ [35]
- ašyra 'via, through' אַשִירָא [21]
- baχ- 'to take care' ◆ PRAES.2.PL: בַּהָסִיז [10] ◆ FUT.2.SG: בגרסין[17]
- **bary** 'everything, all' ♦ NOM: ברי [9, 17, 20]
- **beraxa** 'blessing' (Hebr.) ◆ NOM: ברכה [8, 25]
- **bo** 'because' ◆ 1⊐ [36]
- boł- 'to be' + INF: בולמא [16] + PRAET.3.SG: [31] + IMPERAT.3.SG: בולדט[31] בולמסט: [32] + OPT.3.SG: בולמסטי NEG, OPT.3.SG: בולמגיי [18]
- **bu** 'this' ♦ NOM: עו [9, 18, 24]; בו [33, 35]
- bułej 'this way, in this manner' בוּלֵיי [33]
- burunhu 'first' ♦ אורונגו [5] בורונגו
- beŕ- 'to give' ◆ PRAET.1.PL: בירדיק [12] ◆ IMPERAT.2.PL: בירייז [25] ◆ See ber-.
- **beŕiľ** 'to be given' ◆ PRAET.3.SG: בירילדי [8]
- [19] ביר ♦ bir 'a'
- bitik 'letter' NOM: ביטיק [19]; ביטיק
  [7, 22, 23; 38 (-ľi)] PL, NOM: ביטיק לר
  [25] POSS.3.SG, ACC: ביטיגין [5] ~ľi śoźľar 'written words' [38]
- biź 'we' ♦ GEN: ביזנין[11]
- čyχ- 'to leave' PRAET.3.PL: ציקטילר [31]
- **da** 'and' ◆ ℵ٦ [6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 23, 24, 25, 32, 36, 37]
- daha 'additionally' ♦ אַזָּא[15] דַגָּא
- de enclit. 'as well, too' דָי [27, 36]
- dohrułuχ 'justice' → INSTR: דוגרוּלוק־ [17] → ~ba 'justly' [17]
- dost 'friend' ♦ POSS.2.PL, NOM: [37] [37]

- dowerennost 'authorization' (Russ.) ♦ NOM: אוויריננוסט[9]
- de 1. particle introducing negation used enclitically; 2. generalising particle • יד [34] די [18]
- ekińči 'second' ♦ או⊂ינצי [7, 22, 27]
- eť- auxiliary verb (in compound verbs) ◆ PRAES.1.SG: איטמין [30] ◆ PRAES.3.PL: קיטדלר [30] ◆ CONV: ב] ◆ CONV:
  קיטדלר [5, 18] ◆ PRAET.2.PL: איטיפ
  [20, 23] ◆ FUT.2.PL: ליטרטיז [21] ◆
  OPT.3.SG: איטרטיז [32, 34] ◆ See kabuł eť-, sahyš eť-, tabu eť-, ťefiłła eť-.
- for (*expressing multiplication*) 'times' (Pers.) • פֿור
- gabbaj 'gabbai, treasurer in a Karaim community' (Hebr.) ♦ GEN: גבאי נין [14]
- hali 'now' הלי [29, 31]
- hanuz 'additionally' הנוז[11]
- har 'every' ♦ [11] הר
- hocaa 'expenditures' (Hebr.) ◆ אות: הור נאה [13]
- hocaałyχ 'money for expenditures' (Hebr.) ♦ אונאה ליָק [13]
- **χαχαm** 'Karaim spiritual leader' (Hebr.)
   NOM: אוס [25] DAT: אבר [21] DAT<sup>RUSS</sup>: וחכמו [29]
- **xazzan** 'Karaim clergyman, hazzan' (Hebr.) ♦ DAT: און גא [30, 32, 34]
- **χοć** 'at least' (Pol.) אויק[34]
- ij- 'to send' ◆ PRAES.1.SG: אַיַמִין [14, 15]
  ◆ PRAET.3.SG: איידי [15] ◆ PRAET.1.PL:
- ijil'- 'to be sent' ♦ PRAET.3.SG: איילדי [9]
- isan- 'to believe' ♦ PRAES.1.SG: איסנמין [17]
- ja**χšy** 'well' ♦ יחשי [9, 25]
- jaχšyraχ 'better' יחשירק[36]
- jaz- 'to write' ◆ PRAES.1.SG: יזמין [29] ◆ PRAET.3.SG: יזר [6] ◆ FUT.2.PL: יזר סיז [23]; יזר [19, 22] ◆ IMPERAT.2.PL: יזייי [27]; יזגיי [23, 29] ◆ OPT.3.SG: יזגייז [25]

- jazuwču 'scribe' DAT: יַזוּרְצוּגַא [24]
- **jazył** 'to be written' PERF.PART: יזילגן [8, 10]
- **joł** 'journey' ♦ POSS.3.PL, DAT: יוללרינא [13]
- juý 'home' ♦ PL, POSS.3.PL, NOM: יובלרי [30]
- juź 'hundred' ♦ NOM: יוז [8]
- kabuł (Ar.) see kabuł et-
- kabuł eť- 'to receive' (Ar.) ◆ PRAET.3.SG: קבול איט־ [6] ◆ PRAET.2.PL: קבול איטטיי [23]; קבול איטטייז [20] ◆ CONV: קבול איטיפ [18] קבול איטיפ [5]
- kahał 'community; Karaim (religious) community' (Hebr.) • אואר: [31, 33, 35, 37] • GEN: (5, 28] • LOC: און קהל דין [19, 24] • PL, ABL: קהל לר דין [24] • POSS.2.PL, ABL: קהל בָא [7] • INSTR: קהל בָא [35, 37]
- kajsy 'which' ♦ אוסא: [15]; קייסי [24]
- kał- 'to remain' ♦ PERF.PART: קלגן [14]
- kapał- 'to be closed' ♦ NEG, OPT.3.SG: קפַלָּמַגַי [35] קפַלָּמַגַי [35]
- karaj 'Karaim (person)' (Hebr.) ♦ PL, DAT: סראי לרגא [12] ♦ See karaim.
- karaim (used in plural) 'Karaim (person)' (Hebr.) ◆ PL, GEN: קראימלרנין [30] ◆ See karaj.
- **karandaš** 'brother' ♦ POSS.2.PL, GEN: קר־ [38]
- kawod (with possessive suffix) 'sir' (Hebr.) ◆ POSS.2.SG, NOM: ינון [17]
  ◆ POSS.2.SG, GEN: כבוד [15]; כבודויינון [14] ◆ POSS.2.PL, DAT: נווינון [14]
  (20) כבודונוז גא; [29] גא
- koł 'hand' ◆ POSS.1.SG, NOM: [20] ◆ POSS.2.SG, DAT: כולוייָא [15] כולוייָא
- kołajły 'appropriately' אולַײָלִי (11] קוֹלַײָלִי
- krywda 'injustice' (Ukr.) ♦ אסא: כְרִיוְוְדַא [18]

kył- 'to do, to act' ◆ INF: קילמא [10] ◆ PRAES.3.PL: קילדלר [26] ◆ PRAET.2.PL: קילרסיז [36] ◆ FUT.2.PL: קילריניז ואספראד. [35] ♦ PERF.PART: [35] ♦ [35] ] קילגן

- kyłyn- 'to be done' ♦ OPT.3.SG: קילינגיי [17] ♦ PERF.PART: [10]
- keľ- 'to come; to arrive' ◆ INF: בֵּילְמָא [31] ◆ PRAET.3.SG: כילדי [7, 23] ◆ PERF. PART: בילגן
- kenesa 'kenesa, Karaim temple' (Ar.) ◆ NOM: כנסה גא [35] ◆ DAT: (31] ◆ LOC: כנסה דא [34]
- **keŕak** 'necessary' ♦ בירך[26]
- **keť** 'to travel' ♦ INF: ביטמא [13]
- **ki** 1. 'that'; 2. 'to, in order to' (Pers.) ◆ ⊂ [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 17, 18, 20, 23, 25, 32, 33, 34, 37] **◊** <sup>†</sup> [34]
- kim 'who' ◆ PL, NOM: כימלר [26] ◆ DAT: [31, 32] ◆ PL, DAT: כימגא[8]
- kiśi 'someone' ♦ DAT: גישיגא[18]
- **Kľa** 'to want' ♦ PRAES.1.SG: כליימין [37]
- Koŕa 'according to' ♦ כורא[36]
- **Kuý-** 'to burn' ♦ PRAET.3.SG: כוּבְדוּ [30]
- mo interrogative particle מו [23]
- može 'perhaps' (Slav.) מוזֵי [33]
- **možna** 'one can, one may' (Slav.) ♦ מוֹזְנוֹ
- méń 'l' ♦ NOM: מנא [36] מין [30] ♦ DAT: מנא [7, 15, 23, 36] ♦ GEN: מינים [20]
- **míškińľik** 'poverty' (Ar.) ♦ NOM: מיסכינ־ [32]
- ńe 'what' ◆ '1 [29, 36]; ~ üčuń 'why' [29]
- ńečik 'as' ♦ ניציכ [30]; ניציק [14, 36]
- nerśa 'affair, thing' ♦ ACC: נרסאני [10]
- **ńeťekľi** 'how many, how much'  **ניטיקלי** [24, 34]
- oł 1. 'he'; 2. 'that' ♦ NOM: אול [6, 8, 32] ♦ GEN: אנין [10]
- öźģa 'other' ◆ NOM: איוזגא [24] ◆ PL, POSS.3.SG, DAT: איוזגלרינא [13]
- podpis 'signature' (Slav.) ♦ INSTR: פּוֹדפּיס [5] פּוֹדְפִיס בָא [7] בא
- **pogoŕeľec** 'victim of fire' (Russ.) ♦ PL, NOM: פוֹגוֹרֵילֵיץ לר [16]
- rast 'rightly' (Pers.) ♦ רסט[17]

- **rub.** *abbrev.* 'rouble' (Slav.) ◆ NOM: 'רוב' [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 20] ◆ See **rubeł**.
- rubeł 'rouble' (Slav.) ♦ NOM: [6] ♦ ACC: רובילדז [8, 19, 23] ♦ ABL: רובילדז [33] ♦ See rub.
- sahyš eť- 'to wonder' ♦ PRAES.1.SG: סגיש סגישיטייז (30] ♦ IMPERAT.2.PL: סגֿישיטייז [33]
- sahyšeť- see sahyš eť-
- sanał- 'to be counted' ◆ NEG, FUT.3.SG: סַנַלְמַסָט [35]
- sartyn 'because of' ♦ סרטין[32]
- spoźewaceť- 'to expect' (Slav.) ♦ INF: קפוֹדוֻיווִצֵיטָמָא [27]
- śekśań 'eighty' ♦ אסא: סֵיכְסַן[19]
- **śoź** 'word' ♦ PL, POSS.3.SG: סיוזלרי [38]
- tabu eť- 'to thank' ◆ PRAES.3.PL: טבו איט־ [9] ◆ FUT.2.PL: עבוּ איטרסיז[21] ◆ CONV: טבו איטא[22]
- to 1. 'so, thus'; 2. 'then; in that case' → 10 [31, 35] ◆ 10 [11, 26]
- tefiłła et́- 'to pray' (Hebr.) ◆ OPT.3.sG: (34] תפילה איטכיי
- b) Geographical names
- Adeś 'Odessa' ♦ GEN: אדסנין [8] ♦ ABL: נסטן [20]
- Akjar 'Sevastopol' ♦ GEN: אַקיָאר נִין[22]; אָקיַאר נִין[20]; אָקײַאר נִין[16] ♦ DAT: אַקיַאר גא

- toľa- 'to pay' ♦ INF: טיולמא [32] ♦ IMPE-RAT.2.PL: טיולייז[24]
- tiwił 'not' ♦ טִיווִיל [30]
- üč 'three' ♦ NOM: אוץ [8]
- üčuń 'for' אוצון [10, 16, 21, 22, 29]; אוצון [9] • anyn ~ ki 'since' [10]; ńe ~ 'why' [29]
- üčuńču 'third' ♦ אוצונצו [22]
- ülaś- 'to divide (between)' ♦ PRAET.3.PL: אולשטילר [7]
- ülaśmak 'dividing' ♦ LOC: אוּלָשָמַ⊂ טָא [18]
- waxt 'time' (Ar.) ♦ POSS.3.SG, LOC: ווחטינ־ [10] ♦ ~ynda 'in time' [10]
- w**źenčny** 'appreciative' (Pol.) ♦ NOM: יַנְצָנִי [26]
- yštyr- 'to collect' ♦ PRAET.1.PL: אישטיר־ [5]
- yštyrył- 'to be collected' ♦ PERF.PART: אישטירילגן [11, 16]
- yštyryn- 'to assemble' ♦ CONV: אִישָׁטִי־ [33]
- zatym 'subsequently' (Ukr.) ♦ זטים [18, 35]; וַטִים [33]
- Goźľava 'Yevpatoria' ♦ DAT: גוולוואגא [28]
   Łucka 'Lutsk' ♦ NOM: לוצקא [4] ♦ LOC:
   [12]
- Sewastopol' 'Sevastopol' ◆ LOC: ־סֵיווַסְטוֹ (Sevastopol' ◆ LOC: סֵיווַסְטוֹ) ביון קטוֹ

## Abbreviations

abl. = ablative || acc. = accusative || Ar. = Arabic || conv. = converb || dat. = dative || enclit. = enclitics || fut. = future tense || gen. = genitive || Hebr. = Hebrew || imperat. = imperative mood || inf. = infinitive || instr. = instrumental || Kar. = Karaim (no dialectal affiliation possible) || KarC. = eastern (Crimean) Karaim || KarT. = north-western (Trakai) Karaim || KarL. = south-western (Lutsk) Karaim || lit. = literally || loc. = locative || neg. = negation || nom. = nominative || opt. = optative mood || PBHebr. = Post Biblical Hebrew || perf.part. = perfect participle || Pers. = Persian || pl. = plural || Pol. = Polish || poss. = possessive || praes. = present tense || praet. = past tense || Russ. = Russian || sg. = singular || Slav. = Slavonic || Ukr. = Ukrainian

## References

AGKŁ = Archiwum Gminy Karaimskiej w Łucku [in private collection].

- KSB = Mardkowicz A. 1935. *Karaj sez-bitigi*. Łuck.
- KRPS = Baskakov N.A., Šapšal S.M., Zajončkovskij A. (eds.) 1974. Karaimsko-russko-poľskij slovaŕ. Moskva.
- Alcalay R. 2000. The Complete Hebrew-English Dictionary. [vol. 1–3]. Tel-Aviv.
- Aqtay G. 2009. Eliyahu Ben Yosef Qılcı's Anthology of Crimean Karaim and Turkish Literature. Critical Edition with Introduction, Indexes and Facsimile. [vol. 1–2]. [= Yıldız Dil ve Edebiyat Dizisi 8]. İstanbul.
- Dubiński A. 1968. Batı Karaim dilinde bazı leksik farkları. XI. Türk Dil Kurultayında Okunan Bilimsel Bildiriler. Ankara: 209–216.
- Dubiński A. 1985. Karaimskie rukopisi iz kollekcii Ju. Sulimoviča v Varšave. Abdurachmanov G.A., Chodžiev A.P. et al. (eds.) *Tjurkskoe jazykoznanie. Materialy III vsesojuznoj tjurkologičeskoj konferencii, Taškent 10–12.IX.1980*. [non vidi, typewritten draft used]. Taškent: 20–24.
- Eľjaševič B.S. 1993. *Karaimskij biografičeskij slovať (ot konca XIII v. do 1960 g.)*. [= *Institut Ètiologii i Antropologii RAN. Materialy k serii "Narody i kuľtury"*. Vypusk 14. Karaimy. Kniga 2]. [parts I–II in one volume]. Moskva.
- Grzegorzewski J. 1916–1918. Caraimica. Język Łach-Karaitów. *Rocznik Oryentalistyczny* 1.2: 252–296.
- Jankowski H. 1997. A Bible Translation into the Northern Crimean Dialect of Karaim. *Studia Orientalia* 82: 1–84.
- Jankowski H. 2009. Two Crimean Karaim Financial Registers of the 18<sup>th</sup> Century. *Archivum Ottomanicum* 26: 17–39.
- Józefowicz G. 2008. *Słownik polsko-karaimski w dialekcie trockim*. Troki, Wilno, Warszawa, Wrocław, Gdańsk, Nashville.
- Kowalski T. 1929. Karaimische Texte im Dialekt von Troki. [= Prace Komisji Orjentalistycznej Polskiej Akademji Umiejętności 11]. Kraków.
- Levi B.Z. 1996. Russko-karaimskij slovaŕ. Krymskij dialekt. Odessa.

Mardkowicz A. 1933. Jedi bitik. - Karaj Awazy 6: 6-10.

Munkácsi B. 1909. Karäisch-tatarische Hymnen aus Polen. - Keleti Szemle 10: 185-210.

- Németh M. 2009. Errors with and without Purpose: A. Mardkowicz's Transcription of Łuck Karaim Letters in Hebrew Script. – *Studia Linguistica Universitatis Iagiellonicae Cracoviensis* 126: 97–106.
- Németh M. 2010. North-Western and Eastern Karaim Features in a Manuscript Found in Łuck. Mańczak-Wohlfeld E., Podolak B. (eds.) *Studies on the Turkic World*. Kraków: 75–94.
- Németh M. 2011. Unknown Lutsk Karaim Letters in Hebrew Script (19th–20th Centuries). A Critical Edition [= Studia Turcologica Cracoviensia 12]. Kraków.
- Omeľčuk V.Ju. (ed.) 2006. *Knyha v Ukrajini (1861–1917)*. [PDF edition, compiled by Petrov S.J. and Petrov S.S.]. Kyjiv.
- Prik O.Ja. 1976. Očerk grammatiki karaimskogo jazyka (krymskij dialekt). Machačkala.
- Sulimowicz J. 1972. Materiał leksykalny krymskokaraimskiego zabytku językowego (druk z 1734 r.). I. *Rocznik Orientalistyczny* 35.1: 37–76.
- Zajączkowski A. 1931. Krótki wykład gramatyki języka zachodnio-karaimskiego (narzecze *łucko-halickie*). Łuck.
- Zajączkowski A. 1932. Sufiksy imienne i czasownikowe w języku zachodniokaraimskim (przyczynek do morfologji języków tureckich). Les suffixes nominaux et verbaux dans la langue

des Karaïms occidentaux (contribution à la morphologie des langues turques). [= Prace Komisji Orjentalistycznej Polskiej Akademji Umiejętności 15]. Kraków.

Zajączkowski A. 1934. Przekłady Trenów Jeremiasza w narzeczu trocko-karaimskiem. – *Rocznik Orientalistyczny* 8: 181–192.

## Acknowledgement

This project was financed by the Polish National Science Centre (Narodowe Centrum Nauki), grant number DEC-2011/03/D/HS2/00618.

5

## Facsimile

1.0 [1] [1] · 6001/6 - = 17 J. 1868 [2] [2] אמנבי יהיה כאל יצחך בנכאי בן כאחר זרח הנכין בזיקוריץ זיל. וכל הןהל הןהוא הי לוצוא ל [3] [3] [4] [4] בניתנבני ביטיבין וחל טן 18 בור פים בא נבניל איטים, איטטיביון אחבו [5] [5] לא ועול לאיריק בבורו עוצראי ליאוער אואל איי בי קבול ליוט. או [6] [6] יולא ני אוביבלי ביאין כילה אבא והליינין ג בורכים של בי אולשטולר [7] [7] אל אוק ינו מבילני . אי יולהן מיאלרוא, אל כי ברכה בירילה בן לרסעין [8] [8] וא שבני אי שרלה ערו לני לנצין. הא בי הוניהיבינוט אילהי, בו ברי יקאי [9] [9] thursday they yeard an ware a part jut to an inductor prosty [10] [10] And fair 62, plant parisick it taday pour up it is intig to [11] [11] . בירבי בורדין לוצוא ביל בין נראו לרואל היל תויציל בא ביל לעונים בא [12] [12] مالا المراجع مراعد المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع مراجع المراجع [13] [13] בה וישיו או איו אין בילעייא בבערייענן אלה עצין אחו עין באו ען ב [14] [14] שיאידון אינה בולניוש בבניניובין בייםי להצל ב שיני אול אול אינה [15] [15] נתאו בגוניק, שרר בוגוריבים לר אולט אי שטורילשן קין לק יאר עין אי [16] [16] סיונסטוביול הלי היוסעאון כי בבערניי ביט בגרסין כי ברי זולי בי איי רוברולונהל [17] [17] ים יניג בובר בול הי בייונה ליג לא לא לא לא לא אולי יואים קבול איטים ביי [18] [18] ביבין וובילני . יורסיז וילרן ביר ביטוב אל התכאות בכורים אללטתבון [19] [19] בי אינים ובנים אינטיון גער מאל , לי לי לי לי א איני בי איני בי ביי איני בי בולבי בי איני בי בולבי [20] [20] · ysit ist pititar police as a control to the tarte [21] [21]

Page 1/2

[22] אובי באי מיטין יורסי ז׳ן אַר אל בין, שבו איטא ברברי אנצעי אוצובאן	[22]
[23] לאר ווכ בין איש כי ןבול ליטעיין 50, אובילני ביו ישייו בילי איש איש איש איש ביל איש	[23]
[24] הנגאר וה לביול ביועי ויילויט י קרי איילייעי לי קראל לא ל	[24]
[25] האי יוציי ביטין יר אבא בכוויק נא לקילר על א בורייו ארכה	[25]
المدين المراجعين المراجع معالم والمداد مع عالي مر الم	[26]
אובינציהי שוב וא אוליך כל לעול בפינייונצייט אלי י אך אר על ארחו ביי יויץ [27]	[27]
[28] בין זרינש היונסטונסולם כיומני קרא מפומני אוב שלוסטוני י ד העלווא בן	[28]
יוריו, הכאן בהוניצני י הלי שלטטאין בבנהניט הא ני אוצין י אין - [29]	[29]
בבנרויבוינו איוויל חגן האי אין בניש אישאין, שליב בנברוי ובלבי גישיתוכנן [30]	[30]
[31] Anos the sin bathes wohling is tarbait shill so is the tysit	[31]
[32] - white a line bit is the for the nit takens wohens prove a dissociation to	[32]
[33] הלא איני אי איני איל פרי איניי איני גילרפיל בייליי, בי בי אי איני גילי ב	[33]
[34] אין עיין ליי פיניטי אין על בי הבלה לאמי בייסה א בי נכלאניי (34	[34]
[35] will an an inter the part of the part	[35]
[36] [אליו בל בניש עצין יאשירן הי אש בי שללטיעו ע גילריעו, בן אין בוא	[36]
[37] · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[37]
[38] אותו ישוער ארועין, ולאש שלו על אור אוניין ישעוני לאורי אוניין ישואיין ישועיין ישואיין ישו	[38]
[39] אירול ואר שין איר איר איב לישנא איז לישנאל איז אינש בי איל אינו ביין ביין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אינא	[39]
יוריק יא ביב וארין יא באנונייי . [40]	[40]
	Carl I
	Alt State
	1

Page 2/2