Preface

This volume of *Comparative Legilinguistics* contains three articles and one review.

The first article written by Maria Teresa LIZISOWA (Poland) is devoted to the legal language in the legislative text. The author analyses the bill on Higher Education and Science. The author aims at determining whether the text of the act is edited in such a way that legal clarity under conditions of legal certainty as well as the predictability of citizen behaviour is possible when applying the act.

Łukasz ILUK (Poland) focuses on adequacy and clarity of motivated public authorities' names in translation of constitutional acts. The author discuses the results of a survey that have been conducted in order to assess the adequacy level of identification of the authorities' name references in the source legal system.

Qing ZHANG (China) observes that judges usually adopt some discourse strategies to achieve the goal of trial. The article is based on the court trial corpus collected by the author. She argues that judges often adopt purposeful discourse strategies to achieve the trial goal and discourse goal, such as question-and-answer strategy, power control strategy, presupposition strategy, repetition strategy and interruption strategy.

The last text in the volume, written by Heikki E. S. MATTILA (Finland), is a review of the book *Observing Eurolects: Corpus analysis of linguistic variation in EU law*, edited by Laura Mori, published in 2018 by John Benjamins Publishing Company.

The editors hope that this volume of our journal will be of interest to its readers.