

# BULLETIN

DE LA SOCIÉTÉ DES SCIENCES ET DES LETTRES DE ŁÓDŹ  
2019 Vol. LXIX

---

Recherches sur les déformations no. 1

---

pp. 57–82

*Dedicated to the memory of  
Professor Leszek Wojtczak*

*Luis Javier Carmona Lomeli and Lino Feliciano Reséndis Ocampo*

## WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES AND THE BERGMAN PROJECTION

### Summary

It is well known that if  $-1 < q, \beta < \infty$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$  then the Bergman projection  $P_\beta$  is a bounded operator from  $L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q)$  onto the Bergman space  $\mathcal{A}_q^p$  if and only if  $q + 1 < (\beta + 1)p$ . In this paper we study the Bergman operator  $P_\beta$  from  $L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q)$  in the weighted Bergman space  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  and it is proved that  $P_\beta$  is a bounded operator for certain values of  $\beta, p, q$  and  $s$ , that in particular satisfy  $q + 1 \geq (\beta + 1)p$ .

*Keywords and phrases:* Bloch space, Bergman projection,  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  weighted space

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\varphi_z : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the Möbius transformation

$$\varphi_z(w) = \frac{z - w}{1 - \bar{z}w},$$

with pole at  $w = \frac{1}{\bar{z}}$ , which verifies  $\varphi_z^{-1} = \varphi_z$  and

$$1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |w|^2)}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^2} = (1 - |w|^2)|\varphi'_z(w)|. \tag{1.1}$$

Let  $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$  be the unit disk and denote by  $\mathcal{H}$  the space of analytic functions  $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $-1 < q < \infty, 0 \leq p < \infty$ . We recall that  $f$  belongs to the Bergman space  $\mathcal{A}_q^p$  if  $f \in \mathcal{H} \cap L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q)$ , where  $dA_q(w) = (q + 1)(1 - |w|^2)^q dA$ , see [4]. If  $f$  is in

$L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q)$ , we write

$$\|f\|_{p,q} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p dA_q(z) \right)^{1/p}.$$

When  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the space  $L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q)$  is a Banach space with the above norm; when  $0 < p < 1$ , the space  $L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q)$  is a complete metric space with the metric defined by

$$d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_{p,q}^p.$$

Let  $0 < s < \infty$  be fixed and we add the weight  $(1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s$  in the integral definition of the Bergman space, so we have for each  $f \in \mathcal{A}_q^p$

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^p dA_q(w) < \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

that is, the Bergman space  $\mathcal{A}_q^p$  is a subspace of each member of the two parameter family of spaces  $L^p(\mathbb{D}, d\mu_q)$ , with  $d\mu_q(w) = d\mu_q(s, z)(w) = (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w)$ ,  $0 < s < \infty$  and  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . In particular

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^p dA_q(w),$$

for each  $0 < s < \infty$ . The previous discussion motivates the following definition.

For  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $-1 < q < \infty$ ,  $0 \leq s < \infty$  and  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  define

$$l_{p,q,s}(f)(z) := \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w). \quad (1.3)$$

The  $q, s$ -weighted  $p$ -Bergman space  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  is defined by

$${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p := \{f \in \mathcal{H} : \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} l_{p,q,s}(f)(z) < \infty\}$$

and for  $0 < s < \infty$  its associated little space is

$${}_{s,0}\mathcal{A}_q^p := \{f \in \mathcal{H} : \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} l_{p,q,s}(f)(z) = 0\}.$$

We observe that  ${}_0\mathcal{A}_q^p = \mathcal{A}_q^p$ .

With the previous definitions, from (1.2) we get

$$\mathcal{A}_q^p \subset {}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p \subset \bigcap_{z \in \mathbb{D}} L^p(\mathbb{D}, d\mu_q(s, z)). \quad (1.4)$$

Thus each Bergman space  $\mathcal{A}_q^p$  can be included in each space  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  in a natural way. If  $f \in {}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  we write

$$\|f\|_{\varphi} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (l_{p,q,s}(f)(z))^{1/p}.$$

Let  $0 < \alpha < \infty$ . We say that  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  belongs to the  $\alpha$ -growth space (or  $\alpha$ -type Bloch space), denoted by  $\mathcal{A}^{-\alpha}$  (see [4]), if

$$\|f\|_{-\alpha} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} |f(z)| < \infty$$

and belongs to the little  $\alpha$ -growth space, denoted by  $\mathcal{A}^{-\alpha,0}$ , if

$$\|f\|_{-\alpha} = \lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |f(z)| = 0.$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{A}^{-\alpha,0} \subset \mathcal{A}^{-\alpha}$ ; moreover with the definitions of  $\|f\|_\varphi$  and  $\|f\|_{-\alpha}$ , each one of the previous spaces are complete spaces, see [3] and [4]. In fact, for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  they are Banach spaces.

Let  $-1 < q < \infty$ . For each  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{D}, dA_q)$ , the Bergman projection of  $f$  is defined as

$$P_q f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w) dA_q(w)}{(1 - z\bar{w})^{2+q}}.$$

In this article we study first several properties of the Banach spaces  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  and the Bergman projection in the growth and  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  spaces.

Now, from the well known result (see [4]):

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose  $-1 < q, \beta < \infty$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $P_q$  is a bounded projection from  $L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q)$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_q^p$  if and only if  $q + 1 < (\beta + 1)p$ ,*

we see that is worthy of study the Bergman projection in the spaces  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  when  $q + 1 \geq (\beta + 1)p$  for certain values of  $p, q, s$  and  $\beta$ , see Theorems 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 and 4.8, where in fact, we get some extensions of Theorem 1.1.

## 2. Some properties of the Bergman spaces ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$ .

In this section we give some properties of the weighted Bergman spaces  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  and we prove that the integral operator defined by the formula of the Bergman projection is a bounded operator in the growth spaces  $\mathcal{A}^{-\alpha}$ .

We will use the following results.

**Theorem 2.1** ([4]). *Let  $t > -1, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $I_{t,c} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by*

$$I_{t,c}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^t}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{2+t+c}} dA(w)$$

and  $J_c : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$J_c(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{|1 - ze^{-i\theta}|^{1+c}}.$$

Then

$$I_{t,c}(z) \approx J_c(z) \approx h_c(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c < 0 \\ \ln \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2} & \text{if } c = 0 \\ \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^c} & \text{if } c > 0. \end{cases}$$

as  $|z| \rightarrow 1^-$ .

Let  $0 < R < 1$ . The pseudohyperbolic disk is defined by

$$D(z, R) := \varphi_z(\mathbb{D}_R) = \{ w \in \mathbb{D} : |\varphi_z(w)| < R \} .$$

In fact  $D(z, R)$  is an Euclidean disk with center and radius given by

$$c = \frac{1 - R^2}{1 - R^2|z|^2}z, \quad r = \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 - R^2|z|^2}R \quad (2.5)$$

and we denote by  $|D(z, R)|$  its area.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $0 < r < 1$  and  $0 < R < 1$ . Then there exist  $\rho > 0$  such that if  $\rho < |z| < 1$ , we get*

$$D(z, R) \subset \mathbb{A}_r := \mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_r .$$

The following results were proved in Lemma 2.2, Corollary 2.5 and Theorems 2.4 and 3.4 of [3]. In particular Theorem 2.3 improves (1.4).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $-2 < q < \infty$  and  $0 < s < \infty$ . Then*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) = 0 .$$

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $-2 < q < \infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_q^p \subset \mathcal{A}^{-\frac{q+2}{p}, 0}$ .*

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $-2 < q < \infty$  and  $1 < s < \infty$ . Then  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p = \mathcal{A}^{-\frac{q+2}{p}}$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $-1 < q < \infty$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{A}_q^p \subset \bigcap_{0 < s < \infty} {}_{s,0}\mathcal{A}_q^p \subset \bigcap_{0 < s < \infty} {}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p .$$

*Proof.* We prove the first inclusion. Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}_q^p$ ,  $1 \leq s < \infty$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By Corollary 2.1, there exists  $0 < R < 1$  such that

$$(1 - |w|^2)^{q+2} |f(w)|^p < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } w \in \mathbb{A}_R \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}_R} |f(w)|^p dA_q(w) < \varepsilon . \quad (2.7)$$

by absolute continuity of the integral. We split the integral

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{p,q,s}(f)(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}_R} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) + \int_{\mathbb{A}_R} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) . \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 the first integral goes to 0 when  $|z| \rightarrow 1^-$ . We split again the second integral: By Proposition 2.1 we can choose  $R'$  fix, such that  $\sqrt{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{\pi}}} < R < R' <$

$|z| < 1$  with  $D(z, R') \subset \mathbb{A}_R$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{A}_R} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{A}_R \setminus D(z, R')} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) + \int_{D(z, R')} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w). \end{aligned}$$

Now by (2.7) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}_R \setminus D(z, R')} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) \leq \int_{\mathbb{A}_R \setminus D(z, R')} |f(w)|^p dA_q(w) < \varepsilon.$$

Otherwise, we have by Theorem 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D(z, R')} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) &\leq \int_{D(z, R')} \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 - |w|^2)^{q+2}} (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) \\ &\leq \varepsilon (1 - |z|^2)^s \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{s-2}}{|1 - z\bar{w}|^{2s}} dA(w) \\ &< \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

since  $1 < s < \infty$ .

For  $s = 1$ , by (2.6) and the change of variable  $w = \varphi_z(\zeta)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D(z, R')} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) &\leq \int_{D(z, R')} \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 - |w|^2)^2} (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2) dA(w) \\ &= \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{D}_{R'}} \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2)}{(1 - |\varphi_z(\zeta)|^2)^2} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^2}{|1 - z\bar{\zeta}|^4} dA(\zeta) \\ &= \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{D}_{R'}} \frac{1}{1 - |\zeta|^2} dA(\zeta) \\ &< -\varepsilon \pi \ln(1 - R'^2) \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_{D(z, R')} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) < \varepsilon$$

for all  $1 \leq s < \infty$ .

On the other hand, let  $0 < s, s' < 1$  with  $s + s' = 1$ . By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^{ps} (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s |f(w)|^{p-ps} dA_q(w) \\ &\leq \left[ \int_{\mathbb{D}} (|f(w)|^{ps} (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s)^{\frac{1}{s}} dA_q(w) \right]^s \left[ \int_{\mathbb{D}} (|f(w)|^{p-ps})^{\frac{1}{1-s}} dA_q(w) \right]^{1-s} \\ &= \left[ \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2) dA_q(w) \right]^s \left[ \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^p dA_q(w) \right]^{1-s}, \end{aligned}$$

and the fact that  $\mathcal{A}_q^p \subset {}_{1,0}\mathcal{A}_q^p$  we get

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) = 0.$$

Thus  $f \in {}_{s,0}\mathcal{A}_q^p$  for all  $s > 0$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

The following lemma is used to give another proof (see Theorem 4.2 in [3]) of a different characterization of the  $q, s$ -weighted  $p$ -Bergman spaces. This characterization is related with classic theory of  $\mathcal{Q}_p$  spaces started by R. Aulaskari and P. Lappan [1] and developed by many others [2], [8], [7] etc.

We need the following notation. Let  $p, q, \dots \in \mathbb{R}$  fixed. We say that two quantities  $A(p, q, \dots)$  and  $B(p, q, \dots)$  are comparable if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  possibly depending on  $p, q, \dots$  such that

$$\frac{A}{C} \leq B \leq AC$$

and write  $A \approx B$ . In analogous form we define  $B \preceq A$  if  $B \leq AC$ .

**Lemma 2.2** ([7]). *Let  $q(r)$  and  $p(r)$  be two integrable and nonnegative functions on  $[0, 1)$ ,  $p(r) > 0$ . If there exists  $\tau'$  with  $0 < \tau' < 1$  fixed and  $C$  a positive constant such that  $q(r) \leq Cp(r)$  for all  $r \in [\tau', 1)$ , then for all  $\tau$  with  $\tau' < \tau \leq 1$  and all  $h(r)$  a nondecreasing and nonnegative function on  $[0, 1)$ , there exists a constant  $K = K(\tau) \geq C$ , independent of  $\tau'$  and  $h$ , such that*

$$\int_0^\tau h(r)q(r) dr \leq K \int_0^\tau h(r)p(r) dr,$$

that is

$$\int_0^\tau h(r)q(r) dr \preceq \int_0^\tau h(r)p(r) dr.$$

**Theorem 2.4** ([3]). *Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $-1 < q < \infty$  and  $0 \leq s < \infty$ . Then  $f \in {}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  if and only if*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^p g^s(w, z) dA_q(w) < \infty,$$

where  $g(w, z)$  is the Green's function of  $\mathbb{D}$ , given by

$$g(w, z) = \ln \frac{|1 - \bar{z}w|}{|z - w|} = \ln \frac{1}{|\varphi_z(w)|} .$$

*Proof.* We need to prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^p \ln^s \frac{1}{|\varphi_z(w)|} dA_q(w) \approx \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) \quad (2.8)$$

and the constant of comparability does not depend on  $z$ . In order to do this, we use the change of variable  $w = \varphi_z(\lambda)$  and so, we have to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\varphi_z(\lambda))|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(\lambda)|^2)^q \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^2}{|1 - \bar{z}\lambda|^4} \ln^s \frac{1}{|\lambda|} dA(\lambda) \\ \approx \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\varphi_z(\lambda))|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(\lambda)|^2)^q \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^2}{|1 - \bar{z}\lambda|^4} (1 - |\lambda|^2)^s dA(\lambda) . \end{aligned}$$

By (1.1), we rewrite the previous expression as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\varphi_z(\lambda))|^p (1 - |\lambda|^2)^q \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{q+2}}{|1 - \bar{z}\lambda|^{4+2q}} \ln^s \frac{1}{|\lambda|} dA(\lambda) \\ \approx \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\varphi_z(\lambda))|^p (1 - |\lambda|^2)^{q+s} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{q+2}}{|1 - \bar{z}\lambda|^{4+2q}} dA(\lambda) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Since  $g(\lambda) = \frac{f(\varphi_z(\lambda))}{(1 - \bar{z}\lambda)^{\frac{4+2q}{p}}}$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{D}$ , then the function  $H : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$H(\lambda) = |f(\varphi_z(\lambda))|^p \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{q+2}}{|1 - \bar{z}\lambda|^{4+2q}}$$

is subharmonic. Using this notation and polar coordinates in (2.9) we have to prove that

$$\int_0^1 (1 - r^2)^q r \ln^s \frac{1}{r} \int_0^{2\pi} H(re^{i\theta}) d\theta dr \approx \int_0^1 (1 - r^2)^{q+s} r \int_0^{2\pi} H(re^{i\theta}) d\theta dr .$$

Since  $H(re^{i\theta})$  is a nonnegative subharmonic function, we have that

$$h(r) = \int_0^{2\pi} H(re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

is a nondecreasing and nonnegative function. Moreover  $q(r) = (1 - r^2)^q r \ln^s \frac{1}{r}$  and  $p(r) = (1 - r^2)^{q+s} r$  are continuous functions on  $[0, 1)$  (we define  $q(0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} q(r) = 0$ ). Let  $\tau' = 0.450754\dots$  be a root of the equation  $1 - x^2 = -\ln x$ . Thus  $q(r) \leq p(r)$  if  $r \in [\tau', 1)$ , and since  $1 - x^2 \leq -2 \ln x$  for all  $x \in [0, 1)$  then  $p(r) \leq 2q(r)$  if  $r \in [0, \tau')$ . So the conditions of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied, and we verify (2.8).  $\square$

We recall that each  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  is a complete space by itself and  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p \subset {}_{s'}\mathcal{A}_q^p$  if  $0 < s < s' < \infty$ . However, we will prove that  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  is not a closed subspace of  ${}_{s'}\mathcal{A}_q^p$ .

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$I_n = \{ k \in \mathbb{N} : 2^n \leq k < 2^{n+1} \}.$$

The following lemma was proved by Mateljevic and Pavlovic.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < \infty$  and  $0 < p < \infty$ . Let  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ , with  $0 \leq x < 1$ ,  $0 \leq a_n < \infty$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_n^p}{2^{n\alpha}} \approx \int_0^1 (1-x)^{\alpha-1} f(x)^p dx,$$

where  $t_n = \sum_{k \in I_n} a_k$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $-1 < q < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < \infty$  and  $f(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k w^k$ . Then there exists a constant  $C = C(p, q, s)$  such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| |w|^k \right)^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) \leq C(p, q, s) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_n^p}{2^{n(q+s+1)}},$$

where  $t_n = \sum_{k \in I_n} |a_k|$ .

*Proof.* By using polar coordinates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| |w|^k \right)^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s (1 - |w|^2)^q dA(w) \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| r^k \right)^p (1 - r^2)^q r \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^s (1 - r^2)^s}{|1 - z r e^{-i\theta}|^{2s}} d\theta dr \\ &\leq 2^s \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| r^k \right)^p (1 - r^2)^{q+s} r \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - (zr)e^{-i\theta}|^s} d\theta dr \quad (2.10) \\ &\leq C_1(s) \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| r^k \right)^p (1 - r^2)^{q+s} dr, \end{aligned}$$

where we get the last inequality by Theorem 2.1. By Lemma 2.3 there is a constant  $C_2(p, q, s)$  such that

$$I(z) \leq C_1(s) \cdot C_2(p, q, s) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_n^p}{2^{n(q+s+1)}}.$$

□

The previous lemma is used to prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $-1 < q < \infty$  and  $0 < t < s < 1$ . Then the subspace  ${}_t\mathcal{A}_q^p$  is not a closed subspace of  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$ .*



*Proof.* It is known that  ${}_t\mathcal{A}_q^p \subset {}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$ , see [3]. Consider the Lacunary series and its partial sums

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{n(q+t+1)}{p}} z^{2^n} \quad \text{and} \quad f_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{\frac{k(q+t+1)}{p}} z^{2^k},$$

then  $\{f_n\} \subset {}_t\mathcal{A}_q^p \cap {}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  and converges to the function  $f$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}$ . Indeed, by Lemma 2.4, for  $0 < s < 1$  there is a constant  $C(p, q, s)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} I(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w) - f_n(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{k(q+t+1)}{p}} |w|^{2^k} \right)^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) \\ &\leq C(p, q, s) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{k(q+t+1)}}{2^{k(q+s+1)}} \\ &= C(p, q, s) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k(s-t)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t < s$ , then  $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k(s-t)}}$  is a convergent series and thus  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  in the mentioned norm. In particular  $\{f_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi}$ . By Theorem 5.5 in [3],  $f \notin {}_t\mathcal{A}_q^p$  since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{n(q+t+1)}}{2^{n(q+t+1)}} = \infty.$$

□

We present now two immediate results about the integral operator defined by the formula of the Bergman projection.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $-1 < q$ ,  $\beta < \infty$  and  $0 \leq s < \infty$ . Then  $P_{\beta} : L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q) \rightarrow {}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  is a bounded operator if  $q + 1 < (\beta + 1)p$ .*

*Proof.* By (2.8) there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{D}} |P_{\beta}f(w)|^p (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^s dA_q(w) \right\}^{1/p} &\leq \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{D}} |P_{\beta}f(w)|^p dA_q(w) \right\}^{1/p} \\ &= \|P_{\beta}f\|_{p,q} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{p,q}. \end{aligned}$$

We get the last inequality by Theorem 1.10 in [4].

□

The formula of the Bergman projection gives a bounded operator into the growth spaces.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $-1 < q$ ,  $\beta < \infty$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . If  $\alpha > \frac{q+2}{p}$ , then*

$$P_\beta : L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{-\alpha,0}$$

*is a bounded operator.*

*If  $\alpha = \frac{q+2}{p}$ , then*

$$P_\beta : L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{-\frac{q+2}{p}}$$

*is a bounded operator. (Recall that if  $1 < s < \infty$  then  $\mathcal{A}^{-\frac{q+2}{p}} = {}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$ ).*

*Proof.* By the Hölder inequality we get the estimation

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |P_\beta f(z)| &= (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - z\bar{w})^{2+\beta}} dA_\beta(w) \right| \\ &= (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{\beta-q}}{(1 - z\bar{w})^{2+\beta}} f(w) dA_q(w) \right| \\ &\leq (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{\beta-q}}{|1 - z\bar{w}|^{2+\beta}} |f(w)| dA_q(w) \\ &\leq (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{(\beta-q)p^*}}{|1 - z\bar{w}|^{(2+\beta)p^*}} dA_q(w) \right)^{1/p^*} \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^p dA_q(w) \right)^{1/p} \\ &= (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \|f\|_{p,q} \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{q+(\beta-q)p^*}}{|1 - z\bar{w}|^{(2+\beta)p^*}} dA(w) \right)^{1/p^*} \end{aligned}$$

As  $p^* = \frac{p}{p-1}$ , by Theorem 2.1 there is a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |P_\beta f(z)| &\leq K (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \|f\|_{p,q} \left( \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{q+2}{p-1}}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\leq K (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha - \frac{q+2}{p}} \|f\|_{p,q} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $P_\beta f \in \mathcal{A}^{-\alpha,0}$  if  $\alpha > \frac{q+2}{p}$  and if  $\alpha = \frac{q+2}{p}$  then  $P_\beta f \in \mathcal{A}^{-\frac{q+2}{p}}$ . □

### 3. An integral estimation

In order to study the integral operator defined by the formula of the Bergman projection into the spaces  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$ , we need to estimate an integral that is cited without proof in [5]. We need several preliminaries to give a proof following the ideas in [6]. As we will see the proof is far to be straightforward.

**Definition 3.1.** For  $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  let  $d(\zeta, z) = |\bar{z}(z - \zeta)| + |\bar{\zeta}(\zeta - z)|$  be a non isotropic pseudo-distance.

**Proposition 3.1.** There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$d(\zeta, z) \leq C(d(\zeta, w) + d(w, z)) \quad (3.11)$$

for all  $\zeta, z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ , that is  $d(\zeta, z) \preceq d(\zeta, w) + d(w, z)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there are  $z_n, w_n, \zeta_n \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}$  such that

$$d(z_n, \zeta_n) > n(d(z_n, w_n) + d(w_n, \zeta_n)) .$$

By Bolzano-Weierstrass, we can assume that  $z_n \rightarrow z, w_n \rightarrow w$  and  $\zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta$ . Since

$$d(z_n, \zeta_n) > n \max\{d(z_n, w_n), d(w_n, \zeta_n)\}$$

then  $z = \zeta = w$ . Now, without loss of generality, suppose that  $|z| = R$ . Then there exists  $N > 3$  such that for  $n \geq N$

$$\begin{aligned} 3R | \zeta_n - z_n | &\geq d(z_n, \zeta_n) \geq n \left( (|z_n| + |w_n|) |z_n - w_n| + (|w_n| + |\zeta_n|) |w_n - \zeta_n| \right) \\ &\geq n R \left( |z_n - w_n| + |w_n - \zeta_n| \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$3 \left( |z_n - w_n| + |w_n - \zeta_n| \right) \geq 3 | \zeta_n - z_n | \geq n \left( |z_n - w_n| + |w_n - \zeta_n| \right)$$

and we get a contradiction.  $\square$

Given  $\zeta, z \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $C > 0$  as in Proposition 3.1 we define

$$\Omega = \left\{ \eta \in \mathbb{D} : d(\eta, z) \leq \frac{d(\zeta, z)}{2C} \right\} .$$

In particular we obtain the partition  $\Omega \cup (\mathbb{D} \setminus \Omega)$  of the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** With the above definition of  $\Omega$ , it holds

$$|1 - \bar{\eta}z| \preceq |1 - \bar{\zeta}z| \preceq |1 - \bar{\eta}\zeta|, \quad \text{for each } \eta \in \Omega .$$

*Proof.* First we observe that

$$|1 - \bar{\zeta}z| \approx 1 - |\zeta|^2 + d(\zeta, z) \approx 1 - |z|^2 + d(\zeta, z) \quad (3.12)$$

for every  $\zeta, z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - \bar{\zeta}z| &= |1 - \bar{\zeta}\zeta + \bar{\zeta}\zeta - \bar{\zeta}z| \leq |1 - \bar{\zeta}\zeta| + |\bar{\zeta}(z - \zeta)| \\ &= |1 - |\zeta|^2| + |\zeta||\zeta - z| \leq 1 - |\zeta|^2 + (|\zeta| + |z|)|\zeta - z| \\ &= 1 - |\zeta|^2 + d(\zeta, z) . \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise,  $1 - |z|^2 \leq 2(1 - |z|) \leq 2|1 - \bar{\zeta}z|$ . Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} |z - \zeta| &= |z - z\zeta\bar{z} + z\zeta\bar{z} - \zeta| = |z(1 - \bar{z}\zeta) + \zeta(|z|^2 - 1)| \\ &\leq |z||1 - \bar{z}\zeta| + |\zeta|(1 - |z|^2) \\ &\leq 3|1 - \bar{z}\zeta| \end{aligned}$$

and so we have proved (3.12).

Now, we will prove

$$|1 - \bar{\eta}z| \preceq |1 - \bar{\zeta}z| \preceq |1 - \bar{\eta}\zeta|, \quad \text{for each } \eta \in \Omega .$$

Since  $\eta \in \Omega$ , by (3.12) we have

$$|1 - \bar{\eta}z| \approx 1 - |z|^2 + d(\eta, z) \preceq 1 - |z|^2 + d(z, \zeta) \approx |1 - \bar{\zeta}z| .$$

On the other hand, we observe that

$$d(z, \zeta) \leq C(d(z, \eta) + d(\eta, \zeta)) \leq C\left(\frac{d(z, \zeta)}{2C} + d(\eta, \zeta)\right)$$

and from here

$$d(z, \zeta) \leq 2Cd(\eta, \zeta) .$$

Thus

$$|1 - \bar{\zeta}z| \approx 1 - |\zeta|^2 + d(z, \zeta) \preceq 1 - |\zeta|^2 + d(\eta, \zeta) \approx |1 - \bar{\eta}\zeta|$$

and we finished the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume that  $-1 < t_2 < \infty$ ,  $0 \leq t_1 < 2 + t_2 < \infty$  and  $-1 \leq t_0 < t_2 < t_0 + t_1 < \infty$ . Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |\eta|^2)^{t_2}}{|1 - \bar{\eta}z|^{2+t_0}|1 - \bar{\eta}\zeta|^{t_1}} dA(\eta) \preceq \frac{1}{|1 - \bar{\zeta}z|^{t_0+t_1-t_2}}$$

*Proof.* Let  $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $\eta \in \Omega$ . By the definition 3.1 and Lemma 3.1 we have

$$|1 - \bar{\zeta}z| + 1 - |\eta|^2 \preceq |1 - \bar{\eta}\zeta|,$$

since  $|1 - \bar{\zeta}z| \preceq |1 - \bar{\eta}\zeta|$  for all  $\eta \in \Omega$  and  $1 - |\eta|^2 \leq 2|1 - \bar{\eta}\zeta|$ . Now  $|1 - \bar{\zeta}z| \preceq |1 - \bar{\eta}z|$  for all  $\eta \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \Omega$  and  $1 - |\eta|^2 \leq 2|1 - \bar{\eta}\zeta|$  then

$$(|1 - \bar{\zeta}z| + |1 - \bar{\eta}z|)^{2+t_0} (1 - |\eta|^2)^{t_1} \preceq |1 - \bar{\eta}z|^{2+t_0} |1 - \bar{\eta}\zeta|^{t_1} .$$

Thus we split the integral to obtain the estimation

$$\begin{aligned} I(z, \zeta) &:= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |\eta|^2)^{t_2}}{|1 - \bar{\eta}z|^{2+t_0}|1 - \bar{\eta}\zeta|^{t_1}} dA(\eta) \\ &\preceq \int_{\Omega} \frac{(1 - |\eta|^2)^{t_2}}{|1 - \bar{\eta}z|^{2+t_0}(|1 - \bar{\zeta}z| + 1 - |\eta|^2)^{t_1}} dA(\eta) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \Omega} \frac{(1 - |\eta|^2)^{t_2-t_1}}{(|1 - \bar{\zeta}z| + |1 - \bar{\eta}z|)^{2+t_0}} dA(\eta) . \end{aligned}$$

We change to polar coordinates, so

$$I(z, \zeta) \leq \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(1-r^2)^{t_2} r}{|1-rze^{-i\theta}|^{2+t_0} (|1-\bar{\zeta}z|+1-r^2)^{t_1}} d\theta dr \\ + \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(1-r^2)^{t_2-t_1} r}{(|1-\bar{\zeta}z|+|1-rze^{-i\theta}|)^{2+t_0}} d\theta dr .$$

By Theorem 2.1

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{|1-rze^{-i\theta}|^{2+t_0}} \approx \frac{1}{(1-r^2|z|^2)^{1+t_0}}$$

and since

$$(1+|1-\bar{\zeta}z|) \left| 1 - \frac{rz}{1+|1-\bar{\zeta}z|} e^{-i\theta} \right| = |1+|1-\bar{\zeta}z|-rze^{-i\theta}| \leq |1-\bar{\zeta}z| + |1-rze^{i\theta}|$$

we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{(|1-\bar{\zeta}z|+|1-rze^{-i\theta}|)^{2+t_0}} \\ \leq \frac{1}{(1+|1-\bar{\zeta}z|)^{2+t_0}} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{\left| 1 - \frac{rz}{1+|1-\bar{\zeta}z|} e^{-i\theta} \right|^{2+t_0}} \\ \leq \frac{1}{(1+|1-\bar{\zeta}z|)^{2+t_0}} \frac{1}{\left( 1 - \frac{r^2|z|^2}{(1+|1-\bar{\zeta}z|)^2} \right)^{1+t_0}} \\ \leq \frac{1}{((1+|1-\bar{\zeta}z|)^2 - r^2|z|^2)^{1+t_0}} \\ \leq \frac{1}{(1+|1-\bar{\zeta}z|-r^2|z|^2)^{1+t_0}} .$$

Therefore

$$I(z, \zeta) \leq \int_0^1 \frac{(1-r^2)^{t_2} r}{(1-|z|^2r^2)^{1+t_0} (|1-\bar{\zeta}z|+1-r^2)^{t_1}} dr \\ + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-r^2)^{t_2-t_1} r}{(|1-\bar{\zeta}z|+1-|z|^2r^2)^{1+t_0}} dr .$$

Moreover

$$1-|z|^2+1-r^2 < 1-|z|^2r^2+1-|z|^2r^2 < 2(1-|z|^2r^2)$$

and

$$|1-\bar{\zeta}z|+1-r^2 < |1-\bar{\zeta}z|+1-|z|^2r^2 .$$

So

$$I(z, \zeta) \preceq \int_0^1 \frac{(1-r^2)^{t_2} r}{(1-|z|^2+1-r^2)^{1+t_0} (|1-\bar{\zeta}z|+1-r^2)^{t_1}} dr \\ + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-r^2)^{t_2-t_1} r}{(|1-\bar{\zeta}z|+1-r^2)^{1+t_0}} dr .$$

Taking the change of variable  $u = 1 - r^2$  we have

$$I(z, \zeta) \preceq \int_0^1 \frac{u^{t_2}}{(1-|z|^2+u)^{1+t_0} (|1-\bar{\zeta}z|+u)^{t_1}} du + \int_0^1 \frac{u^{t_2-t_1}}{(|1-\bar{\zeta}z|+u)^{1+t_0}} du.$$

We now estimate the integral

$$H_1 := \int_0^1 \frac{u^{t_2}}{(1-|z|^2+u)^{1+t_0} (|1-\bar{\zeta}z|+u)^{t_1}} du .$$

We note that

$$H_1 = \int_0^{1-|\bar{\zeta}z|} \frac{u^{t_2} du}{(1-|z|^2+u)^{1+t_0} (|1-\bar{\zeta}z|+u)^{t_1}} \\ + \int_{|1-\bar{\zeta}z|}^1 \frac{u^{t_2} du}{(1-|z|^2+u)^{1+t_0} (|1-\bar{\zeta}z|+u)^{t_1}}$$

Since  $u < u + 1 - |z|^2$  and  $|1 - \bar{\zeta}z| < |1 - \bar{\zeta}z| + u$  for the first integral and for the second one  $u < |1 - \bar{\zeta}z| + u$  and  $|1 - \bar{\zeta}z| + u < 2(u + 1 - |z|^2)$  we have

$$H_1 \preceq \frac{1}{|1-\bar{\zeta}z|^{t_1}} \int_0^{1-|\bar{\zeta}z|} u^{t_2-1-t_0} du + \int_{|1-\bar{\zeta}z|}^1 (u+|1-\bar{\zeta}z|)^{t_2-t_0-t_1-1} du \\ \preceq \frac{1}{|1-\bar{\zeta}z|^{t_0+t_1-t_2}} .$$

We now estimate the integral

$$H_2 = \int_0^{1-|\bar{\zeta}z|} \frac{u^{t_2-t_1}}{(|1-\bar{\zeta}z|+u)^{1+t_0}} du + \int_{|1-\bar{\zeta}z|}^1 \frac{u^{t_2-t_1}}{(|1-\bar{\zeta}z|+u)^{1+t_0}} du .$$

As  $|1 - \bar{\zeta}z| < |1 - \bar{\zeta}z| + u$  for the first integral and  $u < |1 - \bar{\zeta}z| + u$  for the second

integral we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &\preceq \frac{1}{|1 - \bar{\zeta}z|^{1+t_0}} \int_0^{|\bar{\zeta}z|} u^{t_2-t_1} du + \int_{|1-\bar{\zeta}z|}^1 (u + |1 - \bar{\zeta}z|)^{t_2-t_0-t_1-1} du \\ &\preceq \frac{1}{|1 - \bar{\zeta}z|^{t_0+t_1-t_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$I(z, \zeta) \leq H_1 + H_2 \leq \frac{C}{|1 - \bar{\zeta}z|^{t_0+t_1-t_2}}.$$

□

#### 4. The Bergman Projection in ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$

We recall the well known result, see [4].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose  $-1 < q$ ,  $\beta < \infty$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then  $P_\beta$  is a bounded projection from  $L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q)$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_q^p$  if and only if  $q + 1 < (\beta + 1)p$ .*

In this section we study the integral operator defined by the formula of the Bergman projection into the spaces  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  when  $q + 1 \geq (\beta + 1)p$  for certain values of the parameters  $p$ ,  $q$ ,  $s$  and  $\beta$ .

The case  $p = 1$  is treated separately.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Suppose  $-1 < q < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ ,  $p = 1$  and  $(\beta + 1)p = \beta + 1 = q + 1$ . Then  $P_\beta = P_q$  is a bounded operator from  $L^1(\mathbb{D}, dA_q)$  in  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^1(\mathbb{D})$ .*

*Proof.* As  $p = 1$  we have  $\beta = q$ , thus

$$P_\beta f(z) = P_q f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - z\bar{w})^{2+q}} dA_q(w).$$

By Fubini's theorem we have:

$$\begin{aligned} l_{1,q,s}(P_q f)(a) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |P_q f(z)| (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2)^s dA_q(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - z\bar{w})^{2+q}} dA_q(w) \right| (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2)^s dA_q(z) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^q}{|1 - z\bar{w}|^{2+q}} |f(w)| dA(w) \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^s (1 - |a|^2)^s}{|1 - z\bar{a}|^{2s}} dA_q(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |w|^2)^q (1 - |a|^2)^s |f(w)| \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{s+q}}{|1 - z\bar{w}|^{2+q} |1 - z\bar{a}|^{2s}} dA(z) dA(w) \end{aligned}$$

Writing  $t_0 = q$ ,  $t_1 = 2s$  and  $t_2 = s + q$  we get  $-1 < t_3$ ,  $0 < t_1 < 2 + t_3$  and  $-1 < t_0 < t_3 < t_0 + t_1$ . Then by Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} l_{1,q,s}(P_q f)(a) &\preceq \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |w|^2)^q (1 - |a|^2)^s |f(w)| \frac{1}{|1 - a\bar{w}|^s} dA(w) \\ &\preceq \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |w|^2)^q |f(w)| \frac{(1 - |a|)^s (1 + |a|)^s}{(1 - |a|)^s} dA(w) \\ &\preceq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)| dA_q(w) \\ &\preceq \|f\|_{1,q} \end{aligned}$$

and the proof follows from this estimation.  $\square$

Following the same idea of the previous proof we obtain

**Theorem 4.3.** *Suppose  $-1 < q < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ ,  $p = 1$  and  $(\beta + 1)p = \beta + 1 < q + 1$ . Then  $P_\beta$  is a bounded operator from  $L^1(\mathbb{D}, dA_q)$  in  ${}_s A_q^1$  if  $q < \beta + s$ .*

We analyze the case  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $(\beta + 1)p \leq q + 1$ .

From Lemma 2.1 is immediate the following result.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $-1 < q < \infty$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$I_{q,v}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^q}{|1 - z\bar{w}|^{(2+\beta) \cdot \frac{p}{p-1}}} dA(w) \approx h_v(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v < 0 \\ \ln \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2} & \text{if } v = 0 \\ \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^v} & \text{if } v > 0. \end{cases}$$

where

$$v = v(p, q, \beta) = \frac{(2 + \beta)p - (2 + q)(p - 1)}{p - 1}. \quad (4.13)$$

Let  $-1 < \beta < \infty$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $0 < s < 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} I(a) &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| P_\beta f(z) \right|^p (1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2)^s dA_q(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - z\bar{w})^{2+\beta}} dA_q(w) \right|^p \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^s (1 - |a|^2)^s}{|1 - a\bar{z}|^{2s}} dA_q(z). \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the Bergman projection using the Hölder inequality. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(w) dA_q(w)}{(1 - z\bar{w})^{2+\beta}} \right| &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^p dA_q(w) \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{dA_q(w)}{|1 - z\bar{w}|^{(2+\beta)p^*}} \right)^{1/p^*} \\ &= \|f\|_{p,q} \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^q dA(w)}{|1 - z\bar{w}|^{(2+\beta)p^*}} \right)^{1/p^*} \end{aligned}$$



where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^*} = 1$ . By Lemma 4.1 we have

$$I(a) \leq \|f\|_{p,q}^p \int_{\mathbb{D}} h_v^{p/p^*}(z) \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^s (1 - |a|^2)^s}{|1 - \bar{a}z|^{2s}} dA_q(z). \tag{4.14}$$

We will estimate the last integral applying again Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 4.1, in particular each case originated by the sign of (4.13).

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $-1 < q < \infty$ ,  $\frac{q+2}{q+1} < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1$  and  $-1 < \beta < \frac{q(p-1)-2}{p}$ . Then*

$$P_\beta : L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q) \mapsto {}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$$

is a bounded operator if  $v = \frac{(2+\beta)p - (2+q)(p-1)}{p-1} \leq 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $v \leq 0$ , by Lemmas 4.1 and 2.1 we get immediately

$$I(a) \leq \|f\|_{p,q}^p$$

and the proof follows from this claim. □

We study now the integral (4.14) when  $v > 0$ , that is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{(2+\beta)p - (2+q)(p-1)}} \cdot \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{s+q}}{|1 - z\bar{a}|^{2s}} dA(z) \\ = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p(q-\beta) + s - 2}}{|1 - z\bar{a}|^{2s}} dA(z). \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

We estimate this integral applying Lemma 2.1. Then it is necessary to have  $p(q - \beta) + s - 2 > -1$ , and this is equivalent to

$$\beta < \frac{pq + s - 1}{p} \tag{4.16}$$

and so we obtain the following result.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $-1 < q < \infty$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p(q - \beta) + s - 2 > -1$ . Then*

$$I_{t,L}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p(q-\beta) + s - 2}}{|1 - z\bar{a}|^{2s}} dA(z) \approx h_L(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } L < 0 \\ \ln \frac{1}{1 - |z|^2} & \text{if } L = 0 \\ \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^L} & \text{if } L > 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $L = L(p, q, s) = p(\beta - q) + s$ .

Thus we need to study the three cases associated to  $L$ . We consider first the case  $L > 0$ . The result is formulated in the following theorem, but we need the following straightforward result.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $-1 < q < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ . Let

$$a = \max \left\{ -1, \frac{q(p-1)-2}{p}, \frac{pq-s}{p} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \min \left\{ \frac{q+1-p}{p}, \frac{pq+s-1}{p} \right\}.$$

Then the interval  $(a, b)$  is notempty if and only if

$$\frac{1-p-s}{p} < q < \frac{1-p+s}{p-1}.$$

Moreover

$$a = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q < \frac{s-p}{p} \\ \frac{pq-s}{p} & \text{if } q \geq \frac{s-p}{p}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$b = \begin{cases} \frac{q+1-p}{p} & \text{if } q > \frac{2-p-s}{p-1} \\ \frac{pq+s-1}{p} & \text{if } q \leq \frac{2-p-s}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ ,  $\frac{1-p-s}{p} < q < \frac{1-p+s}{p-1}$ ,  $a < \beta < b$ , with  $a$  and  $b$  as in the previous lemma and  $v > 0$  (see Lemma 4.1). Then

$$P_\beta : L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q) \mapsto {}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$$

is a bounded operator. Moreover

$$I(a) \preceq (1 - |a|^2)^{-p(\beta-q)} \|f\|_{p,q}^p.$$

*Proof.* By hypothesis  $-1 < \beta < \frac{q+1-p}{p}$ . Since  $v > 0$ , then  $\beta > \frac{q(p-1)-2}{p}$  and we recall (4.15), then

$$I(a) \preceq C(1 - |a|^2)^s \|f\|_{p,q}^p \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{p(q-\beta)+s-2}}{|1 - a\bar{z}|^{2s}} dA(z).$$

By hypothesis  $\beta < \frac{pq+s-1}{p}$  and  $L = p(\beta-q) + s > 0$ , and this is equivalent to  $\frac{pq-s}{p} < \beta$ . Then by Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(a) &\preceq (1 - |a|^2)^s \|f\|_{p,q}^p \frac{1}{(1 - |a|^2)^{p(\beta-q)+s}} \\ &\preceq (1 - |a|^2)^{p(q-\beta)} \|f\|_{p,q}^p \end{aligned}$$

and by hypothesis  $\beta < q$  and we conclude the proof.  $\square$

We describe explicitly the interval  $(a, b)$  of the previous theorem.

**Proposition 4.1.** *With the hypothesis of the Lemma 4.3 we have*

- i.  $(a, b) = \left(-1, \frac{q+1-p}{p}\right)$  if and only if  $\frac{s}{2s-1} < p < \infty$  and  $\frac{2-p-s}{p-1} < q < \frac{s-p}{p}$ .
- ii.  $(a, b) = \left(-1, \frac{pq+s-1}{p}\right)$  if and only if
- ii.1  $1 < p < \frac{s}{2s-1}$  and  $\frac{1-p-s}{p} < q < \frac{s-p}{p}$  or
- ii.2  $\frac{s}{2s-1} \leq p$  and  $\frac{1-p-s}{p} < q < \frac{2-p-s}{p-1}$
- iii.  $(a, b) = \left(\frac{pq-s}{p}, \frac{q+1-p}{p}\right)$ , with
- iii.1  $1 < p < \frac{s}{2s-1}$  and  $\frac{2-p-s}{p-1} < q < \frac{1-p+s}{p-1}$  or
- iii.2  $\frac{s}{2s-1} \leq p$  and  $\frac{s-p}{p} < q < \frac{1-p+s}{p-1}$
- iv.  $(a, b) = \left(\frac{pq-s}{p}, \frac{pq+s-1}{p}\right)$  if and only if  $1 < p < \frac{s}{2s-1}$  and  $\frac{s-p}{p} < q < \frac{2-p-s}{p-1}$ .

*Proof.* To prove case *i* note that

$$-1 < \beta < \frac{q+1-p}{p}$$

if only if

$$q < \frac{s-p}{p}; \quad q > \frac{2-p-s}{p-1}; \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1-p-s}{p} < q < \frac{1-p+s}{p-1},$$

that is,  $q$  must satisfy

$$\max \left\{ \frac{2-p-s}{p-1}, \frac{1-p-s}{p} \right\} < q < \min \left\{ \frac{s-p}{p}, \frac{1-p+s}{p-1} \right\},$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2-p-s}{p-1} < \frac{s-p}{p} &\Leftrightarrow p > \frac{2s}{2s-1} \\ \frac{2-p-s}{p-1} < \frac{1-p+s}{p-1} &\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2} < s \\ \frac{1-p-s}{p} < \frac{s-p}{p} &\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2} < s \\ \frac{1-p-s}{p} < \frac{1-p+s}{p-1} &\Leftrightarrow p > \frac{s-1}{2s-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$  then

$$\max \left\{ \frac{2-p-s}{p-1}, \frac{1-p-s}{p} \right\} = \frac{2-p-s}{p-1},$$

and

$$\min \left\{ \frac{1-p+s}{p-1}, \frac{s-p}{p} \right\} = \frac{s-p}{p}.$$

This proves the claim. The other cases are analogous.  $\square$

We now consider the case  $L < 0$ , which is divided into two cases:  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $2 < p < \infty$ . In the following theorem, the result is formulated for  $1 < p \leq 2$  and we need the next straightforward result.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,  $-1 < q < \infty$  and  $0 < s < 1$ . Let*

$$a = \max \left\{ -1, \frac{q(p-1)-2}{p} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \min \left\{ \frac{q+1-p}{p}, \frac{pq+s-1}{p}, \frac{pq-s}{p} \right\}.$$

*Then the interval  $(a, b)$  is notempty if and only if*

1. *For  $0 < s \leq \frac{1}{2}$  we have  $\frac{1-p-s}{p} < q < \infty$ . Moreover*

$$a = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q < \frac{2-p}{p-1} \\ \frac{q(p-1)-2}{p} & \text{if } q \geq \frac{2-p}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

*and*

$$b = \begin{cases} \frac{q+1-p}{p} & \text{if } q > \frac{2-p-s}{p-1} \\ \frac{pq+s-1}{p} & \text{if } q \leq \frac{2-p-s}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

*or*

2. *For  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$  we have  $\frac{s-p}{p} < q < \infty$ . Moreover*

$$a = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q < \frac{2-p}{p-1} \\ \frac{q(p-1)-2}{p} & \text{if } q \geq \frac{2-p}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$b = \begin{cases} \frac{q+1-p}{p} & \text{if } q > \frac{1-p+s}{p-1} \\ \frac{pq-s}{p} & \text{if } q \leq \frac{1-p+s}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.6.** Let  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ ,  $\max \left\{ \frac{1-p-s}{p}, \frac{s-p}{p} \right\} < q < \infty$  and  $a < \beta < b$ , with  $a$  and  $b$  as in the previous lemma. Then

$$P_\beta : L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q) \mapsto {}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$$

is a bounded operator. Moreover

$$I(a) \preceq (1 - |a|^2)^s \|f\|_{p,q}^p$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\max \left\{ \frac{1-p-s}{p}, \frac{s-p}{p} \right\} = \begin{cases} \frac{1-p-s}{p} & \text{if } 0 < s < \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{s-p}{p} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1 \end{cases}$$

and imitate the proof of Theorem 4.5. □

We describe explicitly the intervals  $(a, b)$  of the previous theorem.

**Proposition 4.2.**

I. For  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,  $0 < s \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1-p-s}{p} < q < \infty$  and  $a, b$  as in 1 from Lemma 4.4, we have that

- i.  $(a, b) = \left(-1, \frac{q+1-p}{p}\right)$  if and only if  $\frac{2-p-s}{p-1} < q < \frac{2-p}{p-1}$ .
- ii.  $(a, b) = \left(-1, \frac{pq+s-1}{p}\right)$  if and only if  $\frac{1-p-s}{p} < q < \frac{2-p-s}{p-1}$ .
- iii.  $(a, b) = \left(\frac{q(p-1)-2}{p}, \frac{q+1-p}{p}\right)$  if and only if  $\frac{2-p}{p-1} < q < \infty$ .
- iv.  $(a, b) = \left(\frac{q(p-1)-2}{p}, \frac{pq+s-1}{p}\right) = \emptyset$ .

II. For  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ ,  $\frac{s-p}{p} < q < \infty$  and  $a, b$  as in 2 from Lemma 4.4, we have that

- i.  $(a, b) = \left(-1, \frac{q+1-p}{p}\right)$  if and only if  $\frac{1-p+s}{p-1} < q < \frac{2-p}{p-1}$ .

$$ii. (a, b) = \left(-1, \frac{pq-s}{p}\right) \text{ if and only if } \frac{s-p}{p} < q < \frac{1-p+s}{p-1}.$$

$$iii. (a, b) = \left(\frac{q(p-1)-2}{p}, \frac{q+1-p}{p}\right) \text{ if and only if } \frac{2-p}{p-1} < q < \infty.$$

$$iv. (a, b) = \left(\frac{q(p-1)-2}{p}, \frac{pq-s}{p}\right) = \emptyset.$$

Now the result is formulated for  $L < 0$  and  $2 < p < \infty$  and we will need the following straightforward result.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $2 < p < \infty$ ,  $-1 < q < \infty$  and  $0 < s < 1$ . Let*

$$a = \max \left\{ -1, \frac{q(p-1)-2}{p} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \min \left\{ \frac{q+1-p}{p}, \frac{pq+s-1}{p}, \frac{pq-s}{p} \right\}.$$

*Then the interval  $(a, b)$  is notempty if and only if*

1. *For  $0 < s \leq \frac{1}{2}$  we have  $\frac{1-p-s}{p} < q < \frac{3-p}{p-2}$ . Moreover*

$$a = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q < \frac{2-p}{p-1} \\ \frac{q(p-1)-2}{p} & \text{if } q \geq \frac{2-p}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

*and*

$$b = \begin{cases} \frac{q+1-p}{p} & \text{if } q > \frac{2-p-s}{p-1} \\ \frac{pq+s-1}{p} & \text{if } q \leq \frac{2-p-s}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

*or*

2. *For  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$  we have  $\frac{s-p}{p} < q < \frac{3-p}{p-2}$ . Moreover*

$$a = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q < \frac{2-p}{p-1} \\ \frac{q(p-1)-2}{p} & \text{if } q \geq \frac{2-p}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

*and*

$$b = \begin{cases} \frac{q+1-p}{p} & \text{if } q > \frac{1-p+s}{p-1} \\ \frac{pq-s}{p} & \text{if } q \leq \frac{1-p+s}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $2 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ ,  $\max \left\{ \frac{1-p-s}{p}, \frac{s-p}{p} \right\} < q < \frac{3-p}{p-2}$  and  $a < \beta < b$ , with  $a$  and  $b$  as in the Lemma 4.5. Then

$$P_\beta : L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q) \mapsto {}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$$

is a bounded operator. Moreover

$$I(a) \preceq (1 - |a|^2)^s \|f\|_{p,q}^p.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the made in the Theorem 4.5. □

We describe explicitly the intervals  $(a, b)$  of the previous theorem.

**Proposition 4.3.** I. For  $2 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < s \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1-p-s}{p} < q < \frac{3-p}{p-2}$  and  $a, b$  as in 1 from Lemma 4.5 we have that

$$i. (a, b) = \left( -1, \frac{q+1-p}{p} \right) \text{ if and only if } \frac{2-p-s}{p-1} < q < \frac{2-p}{p-1}.$$

$$ii. (a, b) = \left( -1, \frac{pq+s-1}{p} \right) \text{ if and only if } \frac{1-p-s}{p} < q < \frac{2-p-s}{p-1}.$$

$$iii. (a, b) = \left( \frac{q(p-1)-2}{p}, \frac{q+1-p}{p} \right) \text{ if and only if } \frac{2-p}{p-1} < q < \frac{3-p}{p-2}.$$

$$iv. (a, b) = \left( \frac{q(p-1)-2}{p}, \frac{pq+s-1}{p} \right) = \emptyset.$$

II. For  $2 < p < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ ,  $\frac{s-p}{p} < q < \frac{3-p}{p-2}$  and  $a, b$  as in 2 from Lemma 4.5 we have that

$$i. (a, b) = \left( -1, \frac{q+1-p}{p} \right) \text{ if and only if } \frac{1-p+s}{p-1} < q < \frac{2-p}{p-1}.$$

$$ii. (a, b) = \left( -1, \frac{pq-s}{p} \right) \text{ if and only if } \frac{s-p}{p} < q < \frac{1-p+s}{p-1}.$$

$$iii. (a, b) = \left( \frac{q(p-1)-2}{p}, \frac{q+1-p}{p} \right) \text{ if only if } \frac{2-p}{p-1} < q < \frac{3-p}{p-2}.$$

$$iv. (a, b) = \left( \frac{q(p-1)-2}{p}, \frac{pq-s}{p} \right) = \emptyset.$$

We now consider the case  $L = 0$ . The result is formulated in the following theorem, but we need the following straightforward result.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $-1 < q < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ . Let  $\beta = \frac{pq-s}{p}$

$$a = \max \left\{ -1, \frac{q(p-1)-2}{p} \right\}, \quad \text{and} \quad b = \min \left\{ \frac{q+1-p}{p}, \frac{pq+s-1}{p} \right\}.$$

Then  $a < \beta < b$  if and only if

$$\frac{s-p}{p} < q < \frac{1-p+s}{p-1}.$$

Moreover

$$a = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } q < \frac{2-p}{p-1} \\ \frac{q(p-1)-2}{p} & \text{if } q \geq \frac{2-p}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$b = \begin{cases} \frac{q+1-p}{p} & \text{if } q < \frac{2-p-s}{p-1} \\ \frac{pq+s-1}{p} & \text{if } q \geq \frac{2-p-s}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.8.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ ,  $\frac{s-p}{p} < q < \frac{1-p+s}{p-1}$  and  $a < \beta < b$ , with  $a, b$  and  $\beta = \frac{pq-s}{p}$  as in the Lemma 4.6. Then

$$P_\beta : L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q) \mapsto {}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$$

is a bounded operator. Moreover

$$I(a) \preceq C(1-|a|^2)^s \|f\|_{p,q}^p.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the made in the Theorem 4.6.  $\square$

Again, we can describe explicitly the intervals  $(a, b)$  of the previous theorem.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ ,  $\frac{s-p}{p} < q < \frac{1-p+s}{p-1}$  and  $a, b, \beta$  as in Lemma 4.6. Then

- i.  $(a, b) = \left(-1, \frac{q+1-p}{p}\right)$  if and only if  $\frac{s-p}{p} < q < \frac{2-p-s}{p-1}$ .
- ii.  $(a, b) = \left(-1, \frac{pq+s-1}{p}\right)$  if and only if
  - ii.1  $1 < p < \frac{s}{2s-1}$  and  $\frac{2-p-s}{p-1} < q < \frac{1-p+s}{p-1}$  or
  - ii.2  $\frac{s}{2s-1} < p < \infty$  and  $\frac{s-p}{p} < q < \frac{1-p+s}{p-1}$ .
- iii.  $(a, b) = \left(\frac{q(p-1)-2}{p}, \frac{q+1-p}{p}\right) = \emptyset$
- iv.  $(a, b) = \left(\frac{q(p-1)-2}{p}, \frac{pq+s-1}{p}\right) = \emptyset$ .



## Acknowledgment

We devote this article to the professor Dr. Hab. Julian Lawrynowicz.

## References

- [1] R. Aulaskari, and P. Lappan *Criteria for an analytic function to be Bloch and a harmonic or meromorphic function to be normal* Complex Analysis and its Applications, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics **305**, Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow (1994), 136–146.
- [2] R. Aulaskari, J. Xiao, and R. Zhao *On subspaces and subsets of BMOA and UBC*, Analysis **15** (1995), 101–102.
- [3] L. L. J. Carmona, L. F. R. Ocampo, L. M. T. Sánchez (2014) *Weighted Bergman Spaces*. In: S. Bernstein, U. Kähler, I. Sabadini, F. Sommen (eds) *Hypercomplex Analysis: New Perspectives and Applications*. Trends in Mathematics. Birkhuser, 89–110.
- [4] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum, and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman Spaces*, Springer, New York, 2000.
- [5] M. Ortega and J. Fabrega, *Pointwise Multipliers and Corona type Theorem*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, Tomo **46**, No. 1 (1996). 111–137.
- [6] J. M. Ortega and J. Fábrega, *Corona Type Decomposition in some Besov spaces*, Math. Scand. **78** (1996), 93–111.
- [7] J. Pérez Hernández, L. F. Reséndis Ocampo, and L. M. Tovar Sánchez *Some hyperbolic classes of analytic functions in the unit disk*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex. (2015) 21:171. doi:10.1007/s40590-015-0062-x
- [8] R. Zhao, *On a general family of function spaces*. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss. **105** (1996a).

Luis Javier Carmona Lomeli  
Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Unidad Iztapalapa  
C.B.I.  
Email: carmona\_406@hotmail.com

Lino Feliciano Reséndis Ocampo  
Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Unidad Azcapotzalco  
C.B.I.  
Apartado Postal 16-306 C.P. 02200 México 16  
D.F. Area de Análisis Matemático y sus Aplicaciones.  
Email: lfro@correo.azc.uam.mx

Presented by Adam Paszkiewicz at the Session of the Mathematical-Physical Commission of the Łódź Society of Sciences and Arts on October 29, 2019.

## WAŻONE PRZESTRZENIE BERGMANA I PROJEKCJE BERGMANA

### Streszczenie

Wiadomo, że gdy  $-1 < q, \beta < \infty$ , projekcja Bergmana  $P_\beta$  jest ograniczonym operatorem działającym z przestrzeni  $L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q)$  na przestrzeń Bergmana  $\mathcal{A}_q^p$  wtedy i tylko wtedy, gdy  $q + 1 < (\beta + 1)p$ . W pracy badany jest operator Bergmana  $P_\beta$  z przestrzeni  $L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_q)$  w przestrzeń Bergmana z wagą  ${}_s\mathcal{A}_q^p$  i jest udowodnione, że  $P_\beta$  jest ograniczonym operatorem dla pewnych wartości  $\beta, p, q$  oraz  $s$ , a w szczególności spełnia warunek  $q + 1 \geq (\beta + 1)p$ . Tak więc praca dotyczy klas funkcji na kole jednostkowym, stanowiących przestrzenie Banacha przy odpowiednich normach zadanych całkami, z pewnych potęg modułu z odpowiednimi gęstościami. Projekcje Bergmana to pewne uogólnienia transformaty Möbiusa na takich przestrzeniach.

*Słowa kluczowe:* przestrzeń Banacha, przestrzeń Bergmana  $\mathcal{A}_q^p$ , przestrzeń ważona