Jolanta JASIK-ŚLĘZAK Sławomir WASIK^{**} Andrzej ŚLĘZAK

Concentration dependencies of the P_{ii} Peusner coeffcient for the non-electrolyte ternary solutions

STĘŻENIOWE ZALEŻNOŚCI WSPÓŁCZYNNIKÓW PEUSNERA P_{IJ} DLA TERNARNYCH ROZTWORÓW NIEELEKTROLITÓW

Streszczenie: Termodynamika sieciowa Peusnera należy do grupy termodynamiki współczesnej. Stanowi ona wygodne ramy badawcze transportów membranowych. Jednym z wielu narzędzi badawczych transportu membranowego są równania Kedem - Katchalsky'ego. Sieciowe postaci hybrydowych równań Kedem-Katchalsky'ego (K-K) dla ternarnych roztworów nieelektrolitowych mogą zwierać jeden z sześciu współczynników Peusnera: *Hij*, *Wij*, *Nij*, *Kij*, *Sij* lub P_{ii} (*i*, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$), tworzących macierze trzeciego stopnia współczynników Peusnera [*H*], [*W*], [*N*], [*K*], [*S*] lub [*P*]. Celem pracy było obliczenie rodziny zależności współczynników Peusnera P_i (*i*, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$), od średniego stężenia jednego składnika jednorodnego roztworu w membranie ($\bar{\mathcal{C}_1}$) dla kilku różnych, ustalonych wartości drugiego składnika ($\bar{\mathcal{C}}_2$). Analizowano transport wodnych roztworów glukozy i etanolu przez membranę o parametrach transportowych *L^p* , *σ* i *ω*, przy pomocy sieciowych równań K-K dla ternarnych roztworów nieelektrolitów, zawierających współczynnik Peusnera *Pij*. Stwierdzono, że współczynniki *Pij* są nieliniowo (hiperbolicznie) zależne od stężeń \mathcal{C}_1 i \mathcal{C}_2 . Nieliniowość owych współczynników wynika z właściwości równań matematycznych

 \overline{a}

Abstract. Peusner's Network Thermodynamics belongs to a group of modern thermodynamics. It provides a convenient research framework for membrane transports. One of the many research tools to study membrane transport are Kedem-Katchalsky equations. A network forms of hybrid Kedem-Katchalsky equations (K-K) for ternary non-electrolyte solutions may contain one of the six Peusner coefficients i.e. H_{ij} , W_{ij} , N_{ij} , K_{ij} , S_{ij} or P_{ij} (*i*, *j* \in {1, 2, 3}) that form third-order matrices of the Peusner coefficients i.e. [*H*], [*W*], [*N*], [*K*], [*S*] or [*P*]. Aim of this study was to calculation of family of dependencies of Peusner coefficients P_i (*i, j* \in {1, 2, 3}) on average concentration of a homogeneous solution of one component in a membrane $(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1)$ for several different values of the second component ($\bar{\mathcal{C}}_2$). Calculations were made for aqueous glucose and ethanol solutions and membrane with transport parameters *L^p* , *σ* and *ω* on the basis of network K-K equations for ternary solutions of nonelectrolytes that contain the coefficient *Pij*. It has been shown that the P_{ij} are non-linearly (hyperbolic) dependent on solutions concentrations $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_2$. Non-linearity of coefficients P_{ij} results from the properties of mathematical

 Department of Biomedical Processes and Systems, Institute of Health and Nutrition Sciences Technical University of Częstochowa

 Department of Molecular Physics, Institute of Physics, Jan Kochanowski University

opisujących współczynniki *Pij*.

equations describing them.

Słowa kluczowe: termodynamika sieciowa. transport membranowy, dyfuzja

Key words: network thermodynamics, membrane transport, diffusion

INTRODUCTION

Received: 03.2016 **Accepted 06.2016**

Membrane transport processes are of interest in many fields of science, technology and medicine [Katchalsky, Curran, 1965; Baker, 2012]. Kedem-Katchalsky formalism, developed on the basis of non-equilibrium thermodynamics, is one of the most important methods to analyze membrane transport of both homogenous and non-homogenous solutions [Katchalsky & Curran, 1965; Kargol & Kargol, 2011]. Non-homogeneity is a typical feature of physicochemical systems. It is manifested on various levels of matter organization by formations such as equilibrium or dissipative structures that may undergo at least partial destruction in certain conditions [Ślęzak 1989; Kondepudi & Prigogine, 2006]. In contrast, homogeneity is an idealized state that is demonstrated only under laboratory conditions. Thus, homogeneity state of solutions separated by a membrane can be nearly accomplished by mechanical stirring of the solutions. Stirring destroys both equilibrium and dissipative structures that are formed on the membranesolution contact surface [Ślęzak, 1989; Dworecki et al., 2005].

Basic foundations, laws and rules of the Network Thermodynamics (NT) as well as its possible applications were formulated in *the nineteenseventies* [Peusner, 1970; Oster, Perelson & Katchalsky, 1971; Peusner, 1986]. One of the important areas of NT applications is membrane transport [Imai, 1996; Moya & Horno 2004; Szczepański & Wódzki, 2013; Bristow & Kennedy, 2013]. Peusner showed that NT enables transformation of Kedem-Katchalsky equations (K-K) for binary homogenous solutions by symmetrical or hybrid transformation of thermodynamic networks [Peusner, 1986]. Based on Peusner idea, Ślęzak and collaborations presented network form of K-K equations for binary non-homogenous non-electrolyte solutions [Ślęzak *et al.*, 2013; Batko *et al*., 2014a; 2014b; 2015].

Network Kedem-Katchalsky equations (K-K) contain Peusner coefficients that can be calculated based on experimentally determined transport parameters i.e. hydraulic permeability (*Lp*), solute permeability (*ω*) and reflection (*σ*) coefficients [Peusner 1986; Ślęzak et al. 2013; Batko et al. 2014a; 2014b; 2015]. For binary homogenous non-electrolyte solutions, we have two symmetrical and two hybrid forms of network K-K equations. Symmetrical forms of network K-K equations for binary solutions contain Peusner coefficients *Rij* or *Lij*, whereas hybrid forms contain Peusner coefficients *Hij* and *Pij* [Peusner 1986; Ślęzak et al. 2013; Batko *et al.,* 2014a; 2014b; 2015]. We have two symmetrical and six hybrid forms of network K-K equations for ternary and homogenous non-electrolyte solutions [Jasik-Ślęzak et al*.*, 2014]. Similar to binary solutions, symmetrical forms of K-K equations contain Peusner coefficients *Rij* and *Lij*, whereas hybrid forms contain Peusner coefficients H_{ij} , W_{ij} , N_{ij} , K_{ij} , S_{ij} and P_{ij} .

In series of our recent papers we presented network forms of K-K equations for homogeneous ternary non-electrolyte solutions containing Peusner coefficients R_{ii} , L_{ii} , H_{ii} , W_{ii} , S_{ii} , N_{ii} , K_{ii} and P_{ii} (i, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) that are elements of the third-order matrix [*R*], [*L*], [*H*], [*W*], [*N*], [*K*], [*S*] or [*P*], respectively [Batko et al. 2014b; Jasik-Ślęzak et al., 2014]. Dependencies of these coefficients on average concentration of one component of the solution in the membrane (C_1) were calculated for established value of the second one (C_2), values of these coefficients were also compared (Batko et al. 2014b; Jasik-Ślęzak *et al*., 2014). To expand scope of the calculations, in this paper we show dependencies P_{ij} =f(\overline{C}_{1} , \overline{C}_{2} =const.) (*i*, $j\,\in\,\{1,\,2,\,3\}$) calculated for six established $\,\overline{C}_{2}$ values. Coefficients were calculated based on mathematical model presented in Batko and colleagues paper (Batko *et al*., 2014b).

$$
\begin{bmatrix} J_{\nu} \\ \frac{\Delta \pi_1}{\bar{C}} \\ \frac{\Delta \pi_2}{\bar{C}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & P_{13} \\ P_{21} & P_{22} & P_{23} \\ P_{31} & P_{32} & P_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \mathcal{G} \\ J_{s1} \\ J_{s2} \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}
$$

where:

$$
P_{11} = L_p A \xi^{-1} \quad (2), \quad P_{12} = L_p [(1 - \sigma_2)\omega_{21} - (1 - \sigma_1)\omega_{22}] \xi^{-1} \tag{3}
$$

$$
P_{13} = L_p [(1 - \sigma_1)\omega_{12} - (1 - \sigma_2)\omega_{11}] \bar{C}_1^{-1} \xi^{-1}
$$
\n(4)

$$
P_{21} = L_p [(1 - \sigma_2) \bar{C}_2 \omega_{12} - (1 - \sigma_1) \bar{C}_1 \omega_{22}] \bar{C}_1^{-1} \xi^{-1}
$$
\n(5)

$$
P_{22} = [\omega_{22} - L_p \bar{C}_2 (1 - \sigma_1)^2] \bar{C}_1^{-1} \xi^{-1}
$$
\n(6)

$$
P_{23} = -[\omega_{22} - L_p \bar{C}_1 (1 - \sigma_1)(1 - \sigma_2)] \bar{C}_1^{-1} \xi^{-1}
$$
\n⁽⁷⁾

$$
P_{31} = L_p [(1 - \sigma_1) \bar{C}_1 \omega_{21} - (1 - \sigma_2) \bar{C}_2 \omega_{11}] \bar{C}_2^{-1} \xi^{-1}
$$
(8)

$$
P_{32} = -[\omega_{21} - L_p \bar{C}_2 (1 - \sigma_1)(1 - \sigma_2)] \bar{C}_2^{-1} \xi^{-1}
$$
\n(9)

$$
P_{33} = [\omega_{11} - L_p \bar{C}_1 (1 - \sigma_1)^2] \bar{C}_2^{-1} \xi^{-1}
$$
\n(10)

$$
\xi = A - L_p(\bar{C}_1 \alpha_1 + \bar{C}_2 \alpha_2) \tag{11}
$$

where: J_v – volume flux, J_{s1} and J_{s2} – solute fluxes of substances "1" and "2" through the membrane in conditions of solution homogeneity, L_p – hydraulic permeability coefficient, σ_1 and σ_2 – reflection coefficients of substances "1" and "2", respectively, ω_{11} and ω_{22} – solute permeability coefficients of substances "1" and "2" generated by forces with indexes "1" and "2", ω_1 and $ω$ ₂₁ – cross solute permeability coefficient of substances "1" and "2" generated by forces with indexes "2" and "1"; $\Delta \varnothing = \Delta P + \Delta \pi_1 + \Delta \pi_2$, $\Delta P = P_h - P_l - hy$ drostatic pressures difference (*Ph*, *P^l* are higher and lower value of hydrostatic pressure); Δ*πk*=*RT*(*Ckh* – *Ckl*) is osmotic pressures difference (*RT* is a product of the gas constant and thermodynamic temperature, whereas *C^h* and *C^l* are solution concentrations, $k=1$, 2), $\overline{C}_k = (C_{kh} - C_{kl})[\ln(C_{kh} C_{kl}^{-1})]^{-1}$ – average solute concentration; $\alpha_1 = (1 - \sigma_1)[\omega_{22}(1 - \sigma_1) + \omega_{21}(1 - \sigma_2)]$, $A = \omega_{11}\omega_{22} - \omega_{21}\omega_{12}$, $\alpha_2 = (1-\sigma_2)[\omega_{11}(1-\sigma_2)+\omega_{12}(1-\sigma_1)].$

Denominator of Eqs. (2)-(10) for certain \overline{c}_1 and \overline{c}_2 values may take positive values (for *ξ*>0), negative (for *ξ*<0) values or zero (for *ξ=*0). Besides, for *ξ=*0, Eqs. (2)-(10) have no solution in a real number set and

$$
\bar{C}_1 = [A - L_p \bar{C}_2(\omega_{11} \beta_1 + \omega_{12} \beta_2)][L_p(\omega_{22} \beta_3 + \omega_{21} \beta_2)]^{-1}
$$
\nwhere: $\beta_1 = (1 - \sigma_2)^2$, $\beta_2 = (1 - \sigma_1)(1 - \sigma_2)$, $\beta_3 = (1 - \sigma_1)^2$ (12)

RESULTS OF CALCULATIONS AND DISCUSSION

Applying an algorithm presented in the papers of Batko and colleagues, we calculate of family dependencies of Peusner's coefficients P_{ii} (*i*, *j* \in {1, 2, 3}) on average concentration of a homogeneous solution of one component in a membrane (C_1) for several different values of the second component (*C*2) for Nephrophan hemodialyser membrane (Batko *et al*., 2014b). Average concentration of components "1" and "2" in a membrane ($\overline{\mathcal{C}}_1$, $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_2$) was calculated based on equations $\overline{C}_1 = (C_{1h} - C_{1l})[\ln (C_{1h}C_{1l}^{-1})]^{-1}$ and $\overline{C}_2 = (C_{2h} - C_{2l})[\ln (C_{1h}C_{1l}^{-1})]^{-1}$ $(\mathcal{C}_{2h}\mathcal{C}_{2l}^{-1})]^{-1}$. The following assumptions were used for calculations:

- 1) Concentrations of a substance "1" in a compartment h varies in a range from C_{1h} =1 mol m⁻³ to C_{1h} =2301 mol m⁻³,
- 2) Concentrations of a substance "2" in a compartment h is constant and equals C_{2h} =6 mol m⁻³ for the first series of calculations, for the second series C_{2h} =11 mol m⁻³, for the third one C_{2h} =31 mol m⁻³, for the fourth one – C_{2h} =201 mol m⁻³, for the fifth one – C_{2h} =601 mol m⁻³ and for the sixth one $-C_{2h}$ =1051 mol m⁻³,
- 3) Concentrations of substances "1" and "2" located in compartment l are constant and equal $C_{1}/=C_{2}/=1$ mol m⁻³.

The calculations in Eqs. (2)-(10) were made for the following values of hydraulic permeability (L_p), reflection (σ_1 , σ_2), diffusive permeability (ω_{11} , ω_{22} , *ω*₂₁, *ω*₁₂) coefficients: *L_p*=4.9×10⁻¹² m³N⁻¹s⁻¹, σ₁=0.068, σ₂=0.025, *ω*₁₁=0.8×10[–] ⁹ mol N⁻¹s⁻¹, ω_{12} =0.81×10⁻¹³ mol N⁻¹s⁻¹ and ω_{22} =1.43×10⁻⁹ mol N⁻¹s⁻¹ and ω_{21} =1.63×10⁻¹² mol N⁻¹s⁻¹ (for exemple Batko *et al.*, 2014b). These values were determined in our previous study (Ślęzak, 1989). Calculations of concentration dependencies of coefficients P_{11} , P_{12} , P_{13} , P_{21} , P_{22} , P_{23} , P_{31} , P_{32} and P₃₃ resulted in curves presented in Figs. 1 and 2.

Denominator of Eqs. (2)-(10) may take positive values, negative values or zero. Considering that \overline{c}_1 and \overline{c}_2 are always positive, *ξ* value determines sign of the denominator. Denominator is negative for *ξ*<0, and positive for *ξ*>0. Thus, it is important to calculate for which values of $\,$ $C_1\,$ and $\,$ $C_2\,$ condition *ξ*=0 is fulfilled. Therefore, considering values of transport parameters for the Nephrophan hemodializer membrane in Eq. (12), we obtain the following values of \overline{c}_1 for the established values of \overline{c}_2 , \overline{c}_1 =186.05 mol m⁻³ (for \overline{c}_2 =2.79 mol m⁻³), \bar{C}_1 =185.21 mol m⁻³ (for \bar{C}_2 =4.17 mol m⁻³), \bar{C}_1 =182.41 mol m⁻³ (for \bar{C}_2 = 8.74 mol m⁻³), \bar{C}_1 =164.69 mol m⁻³ (for \bar{C}_2 =37.71 mol m⁻³), \bar{C}_1 =130.40 mol m⁻³ (for \bar{C}_2 =93.77 mol m⁻³) and \bar{C}_1 =95.44 mol m⁻³ (for \bar{C}_2 $=$ 150.92 mol m $^{-3}$).

The individual dependencies P_{ij} =f(\overline{C}_{1} , \overline{C}_{2} =const.) for *i*, *j* ∈{1, 2, 3} obtained on the base of Eqs. (2)-(5) and (7)-(9) result in pairs of coupled hyperboles (Figs. 1A and 1B). One of the curves is located in the first and the other in the fourth quadrant of a coordinate system. Thus, values of *Pij* coefficients that form curves located in the first quadrant are positive, and values of P_{ii} coefficients that form curves located in the fourth quadrant are negative. In contrast, results of calculations obtained based on Eq. (6) and illustrated by graphs presented in Fig. 3 show that dependencies *Pij*=*f*(*C*1 , *C*² =const.) for *i*, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ form three hyperbolic curves. Two of these curves are paired and one is not, since conditions C_1 >0 and C_2 >0 are always fulfilled. Two of the curves are located in the first quadrant of a coordinate system and one in the fourth quadrant of a coordinate system. Coefficients P_{ij} for *i*, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ from the first quadrant of a coordinate system are positive, and from fourth are negative.

Curves presented in Fig. 1A illustrate dependence $P_{11}=f(\overline{C}_1,\overline{C}_2)$ =const.) calculated based on Eq. (2). If *ξ*>0 then curves 1, 2, 3 and 4 located in the first quadrant of a coordinate system are solutions of Eq. (2). In contrast, if *ξ*<0 then curves 1', 2', 3' and 4' located in the fourth quadrant of a coordinate system are solutions of Eq. (2). Adequate pair of curves (1 and 1', 2 and 2', 3 and 3', 4 and 4') form pair of symmetrical hyperboles. Course of the curves presented in this figure indicate that curves illustrating dependence P_{11} =f(\overline{C}_1 , \overline{C}_2 =const.) move in direction of descending \overline{C}_1 values with increasing \overline{c}_2 values. Additionally, curves 1-4 are located in the first quadrant of a coordinate system if $\vert\overline{C}_{1}$ <186.05 mol m⁻³ (for curve 1), $\vert\overline{C}_{1}$ <164.69 mol m⁻³ (for curve 2), \overline{C}_1 <130.40 mol m⁻³ (for curve 3) and \overline{C}_1 < 95.44 mol m⁻³ (for curve 4). This indicates that curves 1'-4' are located in the fourth quadrant of a coordinate system, since \overline{C}_1 >186.05 mol m⁻³ (for curve 1'), \overline{C}_1 >164.69 mol m⁻³ (for curve 2'), \overline{C}_1 >130.40 mol m⁻³ (for curve 3') and \overline{C}_1 >95.44 mol m^{-3} (for curve 4').

Fig. 1. Graphic illustration of dependence $P_{11}=f(\overline{C}_1,\overline{C}_2=$ const.) calculated based on Eq. (2) (A) and dependence P_{12} =f(\overline{C}_{1} , \overline{C}_{2} =const.) calculated based on Eq. (3) (B) for solutions consisting of a solvent and two dissolved substances denoted with indexes "1" and "2". The average substance concentration indicated by the subscript "2" was constant and equaled: $\overline{c}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$ =2.79 mol m⁻³ (curves 1 and 1'), \bar{c}_2 =37.71 mol m⁻³ (curves 2 and 2'), \bar{c}_2 =93.77 mol m⁻³ (curves 3 and 3') and \bar{C}_2 =150.92 mol m⁻³ (curves 4 and 4').

The course of curves illustrating dependence P_{23} = $f(C_1, C_2$ =const.) and P_{32} =f(\overline{C}_1 , \overline{C}_2 =const.) obtained based on Eqs. (7) and (9) is very similar to the course of curves presented in Fig. 1. It should be indicated that coefficients *P*₂₃ and P₃₂ are measured in the same units (N⋅s⋅mol⁻¹) and slightly differ for the same c_1 and \overline{c}_2 , whereas coefficient P_{11} is measured in different unit than coefficients P_{23} and P_{32} and it is also several ranges lower for the same $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_2$.

Curves shown in Fig. 1B illustrate dependence P_{12} =f(C_{1} , C_{2} =const.) calculated based on Eq. (3) for different \overline{c}_2 values. In contrast to curves shown in Fig.1, for *ξ*>0, curves 1'-4' located in the fourth quadrant of a coordinate system are a solution of Eq. (3). If *ξ*<0, curves 1-4 located in the first quadrant of a coordinate system are a solution of Eq. (3). Corresponding pairs of these curves (1 and 1', 2 and 2', 3 and 3', 4 and 4') form pairs of symmetrical hyperboles. It may be noticed that pairs of hyperboles illustrating dependence P_{12} =f(\overline{C}_1 , \overline{C}_2 =const.) are reversed compared to hyperbolas illustrating dependence P_{11} = $f(\overline{C}_1, \overline{C}_2)$ =const.) for the same \overline{C}_2 values. The course of curves presented in this figure indicates that curves illustrating dependence

 P_{12} =f(C_{1} , C_{2} =const.) move in direction of descending $\ C_{1}$ values with increasing $\,C_2^{}\,$ values. Moreover, curves 1-4 are located in the first quadrant of a coordinate system if $\vert \overline{C}_1 \rangle$ 186.05 mol m $^{\text{-3}}$ (for curve 1), $\vert \overline{C}_1 \rangle$ 164.69 mol m $^{\text{-3}}$ (for curve 2), \overline{C}_1 >130.40 mol m⁻³ (for curve 3) and \overline{C}_1 >95.44 mol m⁻³ (for curve 4). In contrast, curves 1'- 4' are located in the fourth quadrant of a coordinate system, since \overline{C}_1 <186.05 mol m⁻³ (for curve 1'), \overline{C}_1 <164.69 mol m 3 (for curve 2'), \overline{C}_1 <130.40 mol m 3 (for curve 3') and \overline{C}_1 <95.44 mol m 3 (for curve 4').

Families of curves 1-4, 1'-4' and 1" -4" presented in Fig. 2A illustrate dependence *P*22=*f*(*C*1 , *C*² =const.) that is calculated based on Eq. (6). If *ξ*>0, then hyperbolic curves 1-4 and 1" -4" located in the first quadrant of a coordinate system are a solution of Eq. (6).

If *ξ*<0, then hyperbolic curves 1'-4' located in the fourth quadrant of a coordinate system are solution of Eq. (6). It should be mentioned that curves 1 and 1', 2 and 2', 3 and 3', 4 and 4' form pair of symmetrical hyperboles. The course of curves presented in this figure indicates that curves illustrating dependence P_{22} =f(\overline{C}_1 , \overline{C}_2 =const.) move in direction of descending \overline{C}_1 values with increasing C_2 values. Moreover, curves 1-4 are located in the first quadrant of a coordinate system if \overline{C}_1 <186.05 mol m⁻³ (for curve 1), \overline{C}_1 <164.69 mol m 3 (for curve 2), \overline{C}_1 <130.40 mol m 3 (for curve 3) and \overline{C}_1 $<$ 95.44 mol m $^{-3}$ (for curve 4).

This means that curves 1'–4' are located in the fourth quadrant of a coordinate system, since $\vert \overline{C}_1 \rangle$ 186.05 mol m⁻³ (for curve 1'), $\vert \overline{C}_1 \rangle$ 164.69 mol m⁻³ (for curve 2'), \overline{C}_1 >130.40 mol m⁻³ (for curve 3') and \overline{C}_1 >95.44 mol m⁻³ (for curve 4'). In contrast, based on analysis of the course of curves 1"-4", we can state that curves illustrating dependence P_{22} = f (\overline{C}_{1} , \overline{C}_{2} =const.) move in direction of ascending C_1 values with increasing C_2 values and that curves 1" -4" nearly overlap.

Families of curves 1-6 and 1'-6' presented in Fig. 2B graphically illustrate dependence *P*33=*f*(*C*1 , *C*² =const.) calculated based on Eq. (10). If *ξ*>0, curves

Fig. 2. Graphic illustration of dependence $P_{22}=f(\bar{C}_1,\bar{C}_2)=\text{const.}$) calculated based on equation (6) (2A) and dependence $P_{33}=f(\bar{C}_1,\bar{C}_2)$ =const.) calculated based on Eq. (10) (2B) for solutions consisting of a solvent and two dissolved substances denoted with indexes "1" and "2".

The average substance concentration indicated by the subscript "2" in Fig. 2A was constant and equaled: C_2 =2.79 mol m-3 (curves 1 and 1'), C_2 =37.71 mol m-3 (curves 2 and 2'), C_2 =93.77 mol m-3 (curves 3 and 3') and C_2 =150.92 mol m-3 (curves 4 and 4'). The average substance concentration indicated with the subscript "2" in Fig. 2B was constant and equaled: C_2 =2.79 mol m-3 (curves 1 and 1'), C_2 =4.17 mol m-3 (curves 2 and 2'), C_2 =8.74 mol m-3 (curves 3 and 3'), C_2 =37.71 mol m-3 (curves 4 and 4'), C_2 =93.77 mol m-3 (curves 5 and 5') and C_2 =150.92 mol m-3 (curves 6 and 6').

1–6 located in the first quadrant of a coordinate system are a solution of Eq. (10). In contrast, if *ξ*<0, curves 1'-6' located in the first and fourth quadrant of a coordinate system are a solution of Eq. (10). This figure shows that pairs of these curves 1 and 1', 2 and 2', 3 and 3', 4 and 4', 5 and 5', 6 and 6' form pairs of symmetrical hyperboles. Courses of the curves presented in this figure indicate that curves illustrating dependence P_{33} =f(\overline{C}_{1} , \overline{C}_{2} =const.) move in direction of descending $\,C_1^{}$ values with increasing $\,C_2^{}$ values. Moreover, it may be noticed that curves 1-6 are located in the first quadrant of a coordinate system if \overline{C}_1 <186.05 mol m⁻³ (for curve 1), \overline{C}_1 <185.21 mol m⁻³ (for curve 2), \overline{C}_1 <182.41 mol m⁻³ (for curve 3), \overline{C}_1 <164.69 mol m⁻³ (for curve 4), \overline{C}_1 <130.40 mol m⁻³ (for curve 5) and \overline{C}_1 <95.44 mol m 3 (for curve 6). This means that curves 1'- 6' are located in the first and fourth quadrant of a coordinate system, since $\,\overline{\!C}_1$ >186.05 mol m 3 (for curve 1), $\,\overline{\!C}_1$ >185.21 mol m 3 (for curve 2), \overline{C}_1 >182.41 mol m 3 (for curve 3), \overline{C}_1 >164.69 mol m 3 (for curve 4), \overline{C}_1 >130.40 mol m 3 (for curve 5) and \overline{C}_1 >95.44 mol m 3 (for curve 6).

Curves 1'–6' pass from fourth to the first quadrant through a point where *P*33=0 (Batko *et al*., 2014b). Using Eq. (10) we can show that for this point *C*1 $=\omega_{11}[\text{ }L_p(1-\sigma_1)^2]^{-1}$. Considering data in this equation, we obtain \overline{C}_1 =187.96 mol m $^{-3}$. This means that curve 1 is located in the fourth quadrant of a coordinate system for 186.05 mol m⁻³< \overline{C}_1 <187.96 mol m⁻³, curve 2 – for 185.21 mol m⁻³< \overline{C}_1 <187.96 mol m⁻³, curve 3 – for 182.41 mol m⁻³< \overline{C}_1 < 187.96 mol m⁻³, curve 4 for 164.69 mol m⁻³< \overline{C}_1 < 187.96 mol m⁻³, curve 5 – for 130.40 mol m⁻³< \overline{C}_1 < 187.96 mol m⁻³ and curve 6 – for 95.44 mol m⁻³< \overline{C}_1 < 187.96 mol m⁻³. For \overline{C}_1 >187.96 mol m⁻³ curves 1'—6' are located in the first quadrant of a coordinate system.

CONCLUSIONS

The Peusner coefficients P_{ij} (*i*, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) are non-linearly dependent on solutions concentrations \overline{c}_1 and \overline{c}_2 . Non-linearity of these coefficients results from the properties of mathematical equations describing coefficients P_{ij} . Equations describing dependence P_{ij} =f(C_1 , C_2 =const.) for coefficients P_{11} , P_{12} , P_{13} , P_{21} , P_{23} , P_{31} and P_{32} and different \overline{C}_2 values have solutions that form pairs of coupled hyperbolic curves located in the first and fourth quadrant of a coordinate system. In turn, for coefficient P_{22} , have solutions that form a family of coupled symmetrical hyperbolic curves located in the first and fourth quadrant of a coordinate system and a family of unpaired hyperbolic curves located in the first quadrant of a coordinate system. While for coefficient P_{33} has solutions forms families of coupled symmetrical hyperbolic curves. Top part of the family of curve pairs is located the first, whereas bottom part in the fourth and first quadrant of a coordinate system. Coefficient *P*³³ changes from negative to positive upon passing through a point with the following coordinates (P_{33} =0, \overline{C}_{1} =187.96 mol m⁻³). Besides the network form of K-K equations containing Peusner coefficients P_{ij} (*i*, *j* \in {1, 2, 3}) is a convenient tool suitable for the examination of the membrane transport. The presented calculations showed that the values of coefficients *Pij* are sensitive to the composition and concentration of the solutions separated by a membrane.

REFERENCES

Baker R.W. (2012) *Membrane Technology and Application*. Wiley, Chichester.

- Batko K., Ślęzak-Prochazka I., Grzegorczyn S., Ślęzak A. (2014a) Membrane transport in concentration polarization conditions: Network thermodynamics model equations. *J. Porous Med.* 17, 573-586.
- Batko K., Ślęzak-Prochazka I., Ślęzak A. (2015) Network hybrid form of the Kedem-Katchalsky equations for non-homogenous binary non-electrolyte solutions: evaluation of ${P_{ij}}^*$ Peusner's tensor coefficients. *Transp. Porous Med.* 106, 1-20.
- Batko K., Ślęzak-Prochazka I., Ślęzak A. (2014b) Network form of the Kedem-Katchalsky equations for ternary non-electrolyte solutions. 8. Evaluation of P_{ii} Peusner's coefficients for polymeric. *Polym. Med.* 44, 89-107.
- Bristow D.N., Kennedy C.A. (2013) Maximizing the use energy in cities using an open systems network approach. *Ecolog. Model.* 250, 155-164.
- Dworecki K., Ślęzak A., Ornal-Wąsik B., Wąsik S. (2005) Effect of hydrodynamic instabilities on solute transport in a membrane system. *J. Membr. Sci.* 265, 94–100.
- Imai Y. (1996) Network thermodynamics: analysis and synthesis of membrane transport system. *Japan. J. Physiol.* 46, 187-199.
- Jasik-Ślęzak J., Ślęzak-Prochazka I., Ślęzak A., (2014), Evaluation of the Peusner's coefficients matrix for polymeric membrane and ternary non-electrolyte solutions. *Polym. Med.* 44, 167-178.
- Kargol A., Kargol M. (2011) Passive mass transport processes in cellular membranes and their biophysical implications. [In:] *Porous Media. Applications in Biological Systems and Biotechnology*. Vafai K. (ed.), CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 295-329.
- Katchalsky A., Curran P.F. (1965) *Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics in Biophysics*, Harvard Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- Kondepudi D., Prigogine I. (2006) *Modern thermodynamics*. Wiley, Chichester.
- Moya A.A., Horno J. (2004) Study of the linearity of the voltage–current relationship in ion-exchange membranes using the network simulation method. *J. Membr. Sci.* 235, 123–129
- Oster G. F., Perelson A. S., Katchalsky A. (1971) Network Thermodynamics. *Nature*, 234, 393-399.
- Peusner, L., 1970, *The Principles of Network Thermodynamics and Biophysical Applications*, Harvard, Cambridge.
- Peusner L. (1983) Hierarchies of irreversible energy conversion systems: a network thermodynamics approach. I. Linear steady state without storage. *J. Theoret. Biol.* 102, 7-39.
- Peusner L., (1985) Hierarchies of irreversible energy conversion systems. II. Network derivation of linear transport equations. *J. Theoret. Biol.* 115, 319-335.
- Peusner L. (1986) *Studies in Network Thermodynamics*. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Szczepański P., Wódzki R. (2013) Bond-graph description and simulation of agitated bulk liquid membrane system – dependence of fluxes on liquid membrane volume. *J. Membr. Sci.* 435, 1-10.
- Ślęzak A. (1989) Irreversible thermodynamic model equations of the transport across a horizontally mounted membrane. *Biophys. Chem.* 34, 91-102.
- Ślęzak A., Grzegorczyn S., Batko K.M. (2012) Resistance coefficients of polymer membrane with concentration polarization. *Transp. Porous Med.* 95, 151-170.